

Who Are Qadyane's
Written By
Maulana Yousuf Ludhyanvi Rahmatullah Alaihi
<http://www.nazmay.com>

Contents: -

- 1) [What does Quran Say About the Finality of Prophet Hood\(S.A.W\).](#)
- 2) [Finality of Prophet\(S.A.W\) in the light of Ahadiht's.](#)
- 3) [What is Qadyanism.](#)
- 4) [Ahmedi or Qadyani.](#)
- 5) [Qadyani Debase the Islamic Kalimah.](#)
- 6) [Difference Between Qadyani and Muslims.](#)
- 7) [Character of Mirza Ghulam Ahmed Qadyani.](#)
- 8) [Could He be a Prophet.](#)
- 9) [Message to Muslim Ummah.](#)
- 10) [Identification of Promised Messiah.](#)
- 11) [Identification of Imam Mahdi.](#)
- 12) [Final Rejoinder of Mirza Tahir.](#)
- 13) [Dr. Abdul Salam and His Nobel Prize.](#)
- 14) [The Qadyani Funeral.](#)
- 15) [Reply to Mirza Tahir Challenge](#)
- 16) [Two Interesting Mubahalas.](#)
- 17) [Verdicts on Qadyanis.](#)
- 18) [Maloon Mirza Ghulam Ahmed Qadyani in the mirror of his own Writings.](#)

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



WHAT DOES THE HOLY QURAN SAY ABOUT FINALITY OF PROPHETHOOD

The holy Quran and the holy Prophet's Ahadith (Traditions) eloquently prove that prophethood ('nubuwwat' and 'risalat') came to an end with our Prophet Muhammad (SAW). There are decisive verses to that effect. Being the last Prophet in the chain of prophethood no one ever shall now succeed him to that status or dignity

مَا كَانَ مُحَمَّدٌ أَبَا أَحَدٍ مِّن رِّجَالِكُمْ وَلَٰكِن رَّسُولَ اللَّهِ وَخَاتَمَ النَّبِيِّينَ

"Muhammad is not the father of any man among you, but he is the Messenger of Allah and the Seal of the Prophets; and Allah (SWT) is Aware of all things." (Quran. Al-Ahzab 33:40). INTERPRETATION OF THE HOLY QURAN All the interpreters of the Holy Quran agree on the meaning of 'Khatam-un-Nabieen' that our Prophet (SAW) was the last of all the prophets and none shall he exalted to the lofty position of prophethood after him.

A. By Imam Hafiz Ibn-e-Khateer

His explanatory remarks on the above verse are as follows: "This verse is conclusive of the precept that our Prophet is the last prophet and that there shall be no `nabi' after him. When there shall be no 'nabi' to follow him the ordainment of a `rasool' cannot arise by logic of anteriority because the status of a `rasool' is more exclusive than that of a `nabi'. Every `rasool' is a `nabi' but every `nabi' is not a `rasool'. There exists a continuous and unbroken chain of citations of Ahadith from a large number of venerable `sahabah' or Companions of the Prophet (Allah be pleased with them), that, there shall be no 'nabi' or `rasool' after Muhammad." (*Tafseer Ibn-e-Khateer, Vol.3*)

B. By Imam Qartabi

Imam Qartabi comments on the quoted verse as follows: "Said Ibn-e-Atiya that the words (Khatam-un-Nabieen) according to the learned men of the Ummah of the present and the past indicate perfect comprehensiveness and signify, in consonance with the Quranic injunction, that there shall be no Prophet after Muhammad (SAW)."

C. Hujjat ul Islam Imam Ghazali

Hujjat ul Islam, Imam Ghazali explains the said verse in these words: "No doubt the Ummah has unanimously understood from this word (Khatam-un-Nabieen) and its circumstantial reference to mean non-existence of a `nabi' or `rasool' ever after Muhammad (SAW) and that (this word calls for) no reservation or tacit interpretation in it; hence its dissident is certainly the one who rejects (umamah's) unanimity." (*Al Iqtisad fil Etiqad*, p.123)

**THE BELIEF
OF
KHATME NUBUWWAT
(FINALITY OF PROPHETHOOD)
*In Light of Hadiths***

ALLAH (The Creator) began the system of guidance to humanity through His venerable Messengers and Prophethood beginning with Hazrat ADAM (Peace be upon him), and finally ending with the last prophet of all time Hazrat Muhammed (sal;I Alah;I Alayhi Wassalam). There after no new prophet is to be.

All types of nubuwwat is closed eg, ZILLI, Burozi, Tashreri, or non-Tashrehi. Similarly, the revelation through prophethood is also closed after Hazrat Muhammed (S A W), and likewise any revelation through which the reformation of ISLAM is claimed. The Quraan is the last complete and most perfect book of revelation, which is unalterable. The contents of this book are safeguarded by ALLAH himself.

It is the unanimous decision by evidence of countless Quranic revelations and hundreds of Ahadith of the prophet Muhammed (S A W), the concensus of sahabas (ALLAH be pleased with them) and the Ulemas and intellectual scholars that MUHAMMED (S A W) is the last prophet and messenger of ALLAH, this Shariat is the last Shariat, this ummah is the last ummah.

The above mentioned statements and their beliefs and admission by heart are the essential elements of faith in ISLAAM. In ISLAAM this faith is called the faith of Khatme Nubuwwat. It is the concensus of the muslim Ummah that after MUHAMMED (S A W), any person who claims to be a prophet and claims to be a messenger is a KAZZAB (liar) and Dajjal (deceiver), is an imposter and false. He has no relation with ISLAAM, similarly a believer of such a liar also became Kafir (non-believer).

Here are some references from Quraan and Ahadith related to prophet MUHAMMED (S A W) being the KHATEM UN NABIYEEN.(finality of prophethood)

References From Quraan

"MUHAMMED is not the the father of any man among you, but is the messenger of ALLAH and is the seal on the prophets ;and ALLAH is aware of all things."

(Para 21 Sur Al Ahzab 33-40)

"This day,I have perfected your faith and completed all my favours upon you; and have chosen ISLAAM for you as your religion"

(Para 6 Sur Madia 5)

REFERENCES FROM AHADITH

Hazarat abu Hurairah (ALLAH be pleased with him) narrated that ALLAH's messenger (S A W) said ; " Certainly my example and the example of prophets earlier than me is like the example of a palace most elagently and most beautifully constructed by a person except (that he left inb it) a (space) for a brick in one of its corner and that made the people (who were) going around it wonder (at its beauty) and exclaime (in perplexition) why is there no brick inlaid here ? the Phrophet (S A W) ; I am that (corners last) brick and i am the last of the phrophets ".

(Shahih al Bukhari,book Al Munaqib,Chapter 3 Khatem un nabiyeen p501 vol 1)

Its narated by Hazarat Tahuban (ALLAH be pleased withe him) that our phrophet (S A W) said ; *"In my UMMAH there shall arise thirty liars ,each of them will pretend that he is a phrophet, but I am the last of the prophets ; there is no prophet after me"*.

(Abu Dawood Vol . 2 p.228,Tirimizi Vol 2 p.45)

Hazarat Ibne Masood (ALLAH de pleased with him) has narrated that the prophet (S A W) said ; *"The doomsday would not happen until 30 Kazzabbs (liars) have arisen all who claim to be prophets Although I am last of the prophets and their is none after me"*

(Muslim Sharif Tirimizi Sharif & Abu Dawood Sharif)

There are so many proofs for MUHAMMAD (S A W) , the mesenger of ALLAH to establish the last prophet that it is imposible to write them here. During the last 14 years thousands of books have been published where in such postive proofs are cited that no sensible person dare refute or reject them.

Prophet MUHAMMAD (S A W) said that " *I am the last prophet there is no prophet after me* " and instantly warned his UMMAH that there would be liars claiming prophethood. The muslim UMMAH not only strongly refuted pretensions of such liars , but sacrificed their lives for the protection and honour of KHATUM UN NABIYEEN. Here are some events of the past.

ASWAD ANSI

Aswad ansi was a resident of Yemen. He claimed prophethood during the illustrious lifetime of MUHAMMAD (S A W). he marched on to conquer NAJLAN in the first instance; then turned towards SANIA. Then all Yemen came into his suzerainty. It became known that most of Yemen had become infidels and accepted the faith of Aswad's prophethood. Prophet MUHAMMAD (S A W) despatched a memorandum to the Yemnies , who rejected the faith of Aswad's prophethood to execute Aswad.

Feroze Daleemi , one of the most prominent sahabas defeated and executed Aswad in his historical conquest. Prophet MUHAMMAD (S A W) had received the news of Aswad's execution through revelation. The Yemnies Had sent a messenger to prophet MUHAMMED (S A W) with news of Aswad's execution in compliance with orders; but the prophet MUHAMMED (S A W) passed away before the messenger could reach Madinah.

MUSSELIHA KAZZAB

Musselima was a resident of Yamamah. He claimed prophethood before the demise of the prophet MUHAMMED (S A W). People in large numbers became infidels, and supporters of Musselima. The battle of Yamamah took place during the caliphate of Hazrat Abu Bakar Siddique (may ALLAH be pleased with him) . in this battle Musselima Kazzab was condemned to hell.His 20,000 followers died as well. In this battle just over 1,200 sahabas , including Hayffaz and those who had participated in the battle of Badr , were amongst the martyrs, and in this way the revolt came to its inevitable end.

MIRZA GHULAM AHMED QADIANI

A resident of qadiyan, which is a town in Punjab (presently in Indian part of Punjab), Mirza Ghulam Ahmed claimed prophethood in 1901 during the British rule in India. He fell victim to cholera, an epidemic disease and died ignominiously in Lahore Pakistan. His infidel beliefs had a short list. Since then all the ULEMAS have excluded him and his followers from the fold of ISLAAM . The deceased Mirza Qadiani's first successor is Khalifa Hakim Noor Ud Din, second Mirza Mahmood third is Mirza Nasir, and fourth is Mirza Tahir residing in London in political asylum. All Qadianis are recognised and treated by the MUSLIM UMMAH as infidels. In Pakistan all Qadianis had been officially declared as **NON-MUSLIMS** in 1974. Subsequently in 1984 an anti Qadiani **ORDINANCE** was enforced as a result of which Mirza Tahir fled from Pakistan and sought refuge in England and resides in London. Qadianis regard themselves as a group of Muslims. They engage themselves in activities with the profile of ISLAAM by which some ignorant and simple Muslims become

victims of their deception. All Muslims need to be aware of their machinations in religious or other social engagements.

WHAT IS QADIANISM?

By

Maulana Muhammad Saleem Dohrat

QADIYANISAM.....

...dishonours ALLAH (SWT), the creator

Where can the human escape from that being in whose hands is every atom.
He says: I will come secretly like thieves.

(Roohani Khazaa'in Page 39, Vol. 20)

..claims divinity for Mirza Ghulam Ahmad.

I (Mirza) saw in a dream that I am God. I have believed that I am God.

(Rohanni Khazaa'in Page 564, Vol 5)

...teaches that the door of prophethood is open, even after the final prophet Muhammad (SAW)

This fact is established like the day that the door of prophethood is open
after prophet Muhammad (S A W)
(Haqeeqatun Nubuwwah Page 228)

...debases the greatest of all Prophets, Muhammad (SAW)

Prophet Muhammad (SAW) and his sahabah (RAA) used to eat cheese made
by the christians, although it was well known that pig's fat was used in its
making.

(Al Fadhal, Qadiyaan 22nd Febuary1924)

..claims that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is Muhammad! the messenger of Allah (SWT).

..Muhammad is the messenger of Allah. And those with him are hard against the disbelievers and merciful amongst themselves. In this divine revelation, I

have been named Muhammad and also Rasool.
(*Rohhani Khazain Page 207, Vol 18*)

One who differentiated between me and the Mustupha has neither seen me
or recognised me.

(*Rohhani Khazain Page 258-259 Vol 16*)

**... Teaches that Mirza Ghulam Ahmed is superior to Prophet
Muhammad (SAW)**

..Muhammad has decended among us once again and he is more greater in
status than ever before. Whosoever wants to see Muhammad (SAW) should
see Ghulam Ahmad in Qadian.

(*Badr, Qadiyan 25th october 1906*)

...propogates that Mirza is superior to all the Prophets

And Allah (SWT) is showing so many signs for me that if they were shown in
the time of Noah, those people would not have drowned .

(*Rohhani Khazain Page 575 Vol 22*)

Yousuf of this UMMAH, i.e this humble one is greater than Israeli yousuf.

(*Rohhani Khazain page 99 Vol 21*)

Although many prophets have come into this world, I am no less in
knowledge and recognition of ALLAH than any of them.

(*Rohhani Khazain Page 240 Vol 18*)

Leave the mention of Ibne Maryam (Easaa Alaihe sallam); Ghulam Ahmad is
better than him.

(*Rohhani Khazain Page 240 Vol 18*)

**...teaches hatred towards Hazrat Easaa Alayhis sallam, one of the
greatest prophets of ALLAH**

(Jesus) had the habit of uttering obscenities and frequently using foul
language.

(*Rohhani Khazain Page 289 Vol 11*)

It should be remembered that he (Jesus) also had top some extent the habit
of lying.

(*Rohhani Khazain Page 289 Vol 11*)

Jesus could not portray himself as a pious man because people know him as a gluttonous alcoholic.

(Rohhani Khazain Page 296 Vol 10)

Ahmadi or Qadiani

The followers of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad of Qadian are Qadiani whether they belong to the Qadiani jamaat of Rabwah or the Lahori jamaat of Qadianis. But they call themselves "Ahmadi" pleading that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad befits the verse "Asmahu Ahmad" in the holy Quran whereas according to the muslims this quranic verse relates to the holy prophet Muhammad (SAW).

Taking undue advantage of the word, Ahmad in his name Mirza Ghulam Ahmad twisted the meaning of the above verse in his favour and claimed prophethood on this basis, though his name actually means, "slave of Ahmad". According to the Holy Quran both Ahmad and Muhammad are the holy name of our Prophet (SAW)

Therefore, the application of the said Quranic verse to Mirza Ghulam Ahmad of Qadian is a deliberate alteration of the Holy Quran. On this basis calling Qadianis as Ahmadis is "haram" (forbidden) and unlawful.

QADIANIS DEBASE THE ISLAMIC KALIMAH

This article draws special attention of the reader to the fact that the Qadianis deem Mirza Ghulam Ahmad as a Prophet incarnate through reincarnation, ie rebirth of the holy Prophet of Islam in Mirza Ghulam Ahmad's person. Thus they have rebelled against Islam and seceded from it. They have infact given the pretender a place higher than that of the holy Prophet (SAW).

The contents of this text are based on authentic Qadiani literature with references to the relevant book and page. We take full responsibility and do hereby declare that the sources are authentic and correct.

To Qadianis Muhammad (SAW) is Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Himself

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad claims that he himself is (na-azoo-billah) Muhammad (SAW):

Muhammad is the apostle of Allah. and those who are with him are strong against Unbelievers, (but) compassionate amongst each other. (HQ 48.29) Mirza said, "In this divine revelation (the above verse) I have been named Muhammad as well as the Messenger of Allah". (Ek Ghalti Ka Izala, p.3;Roohani Khazain vol.18, p.207).

The So-Called Two Prophetic Appointments Of Muhammad (SAW)

The reasons why the Qadianis deem Mirza to be Muhammad (SAW) is that according to the Qadiani belief, 'Khatam un Nabieen' (the final Prophet (SAW)) had been ordained to be born in Mecca as Muhammad. For the second time, according to the Qadianis, he was reincarnated in Qadian as Mirza Ghulam Ahmad ! In other words, the spirit of Muhammad (SAW) along with his prophetic accomplishments allegedly appeared a second time in the incarnation of Mirza. And we quote:

"And know that just as our holy Prophet (SAW) was born in the 5th century, in the same way he was reborn in the end of 19th century in the incarnation of the Promised Masih" (Mirza). (khutbah-e-Ilhamiah, p.180; Roohani Khazain, vol.16, p.270)

"The holy Prophet (SAW) had two births as a Prophet. In other words, we may say that it was promised that the holy Prophet (SAW) would be born in the world once and once more reincarnated and this was fulfilled by the incarnation of the promised Masih and the promised Mahdi" (Mirza). (Tuhfa-e-Golravia, p.94; footnote, Roohani Khazian, vol.17, p.249)

Mirza of Qadian Claimed To Be The Same As Muhammad (SAW)

According to Qadiani belief Muhammad (SAW) with all his excellence was reincarnated in Mirza of Qadian. As such the entity of Mirza of Qadian is (na-zoo-billah) the same as the entity of Muhammad (SAW). Mirza has said:

"And Allah bestowed upon me the bounty of the holy Prophet and made it perfect, and he drew towards me the kindness and generosity of that merciful Prophet, so much so that my entity became his entity. Thus he who joins my Jamaat really becomes one of the Sahaba of my chief who was better than all the Prophets. It is not hidden from those with the ability to think that this is what the words "others of them" mean. The person who makes a difference between me and Mustafa has neither seen me nor recognised me". (Khutbah-e-Ilhamiah, p.171; Roohani Khazain, vol.16, pp.258-259).

Mirza of Qadian Claimed That He Possessed All The Virtues And Excellence Of Muhammad (SAW)

Once the belief had been fabricated that the being of Mirza is exactly the being of Muhammad (SAW) and that it is Muhammad (SAW) himself who was reincarnated in Qadian as Mirza, then it must also be alleged that all the accomplishments and distinctions of Muhammad (SAW) were transferred to Mirza. Mirza has said:

"When I am the holy Prophet incarnate and when all the accomplishments of Muhammad including the prophethood are reflected in my mirror of my shadiness, then who is the man who has claimed prophethood in a separate being ?" (Ek Ghalti Ka Izala, p.8; Roohani Khazain, vol.18, p.212)

"The entity of the promised Masih (Mirza), in the sight of Allah is the entity of the holy Prophet (SAW). In other words, in the records of Allah there is no duality or difference between the promised Masih and the holy Prophet (SAW). Rather they both share the same eminence, the same rank, the same status and the same name. Although verbally they are two, yet in reality

they are one and the same". (Al-Fazl, Qadian, Vol.3, No.37, dated 16th September 1915, as cited in Qadiani Mazhab page 207, 9th edition, Lahore)

Mirza Of Qadian Claimed That He Was The Final Prophet

According to the Qadiani belief, the "Qadiani based" birth of Muhammad (SAW) as a prophet took place in the incarnate AUTAR, Mirza of Qadian. And as he became incarnate of Muhammad (SAW) then Mirza becomes the "final prophet" too ! Mirza says:

I have told so many times that according to the verse: "And others of them.." I am incarnate the last of the Prophets. Twenty years ago Allah named me in Braheen-e-Ahamadia, Muhammad and AHmad and declared that I am the holy Prophets (SAW) incarnation. (Ek Ghalti Ka Izala, p.8; Roohani Khazain vol.18; p212)

"Blessed is he who has recognised me. Of all the paths to God I am the last path, and of all his Lights, I am the last Light. Unfortunate is he who forsakes me, because without me all is darkness". (Kashti-e-Nooh, p.56; Roohani Khazain, vol.19, p.61).

Mirza of Qadian Claimed He Was The Best Of The Prophets Of Allah

"Many thrones have descended from heaven but thy throne has been placed the highest". (Tazkirah 2nd Ed, p.643)

"The various accomplishments which were bestowed upon all other Prophets were concentrated in a greater degree in the holy Prophet. All those accomplishments have been conferred on me through the holy Prophets reincarnation in me. That is why I bear the names of Adam, Abraham, Moses, Noah, Solomon, Jesus, etc. All the previous Prophets were the incarnations of special attributes of the holy Prophet but I am the the reincarnation of all the attributes of the holy Prophet". (Malfoozat-e-Mirza, Vol.3, P.270)

Mirza of Qadian Claimed That He Was Superior To Muhammad (SAW)

The Qadianis believe that Mirzas reincarnation was superior to the Prophets first birth in Mecca. Mirza says:

One who denies that the orainment of the Prophet (SAW) is related to the 6th thousand as it was related to the 5th thousand, denies the truth and the mandate of the Quran. the truth is that the spirituality of the (reincarnated) holy Prophet at the end of the 6th thousand (ie these days), is much more stronger, more complete and severe than in those early years. rathe it is like the 14th (moonlit) night". (Khutbah-e-Ilahmiah, p181; Roohani Khazain, vol.16, pp.271-272)

The Simile Of "The New Moon" Used For The Holy Prophet (SAW) And That Of "The Full Moon" Used For Mirza

The superiority of the Qadiani incarnation has been expressed in yet another style: viz. During the Meccan age the holy Prophet (SAW), Isalm was like the Crescent in which there is no light but in his so-called Qadiani reincarnation, Islam became lighted and illuminated like the full moon. Mirza said:

"And Islam started like the crescent which was destined ultimately to become in the later ages the full moon by the command of God. Thus Allah's wisdom willed that Islam should assume the form of the full moon in that century which should resemble the full moon by way of counting". (Khutbah-e-Ilahmiah, p181; Roohani Khazain, vol.16, p.275)

"To declare those who denied the holy Prophet in his first birth as unbelievers and outside the fold of Islam, but to regard the deniers of his second birth as muslim is an insult to the Prophet and a joke against the signs of Allah, although the promised Masih has in Khutbah-e-Ilahmiah, compared the mutual relation between the first and the second births of the holy Prophet to the relationship between the crescent and the full moon". (Akhbar Al-Fazl, Qadian, Vol.3, No.10, dated 15th July 1915 as cited in Qadiani Mazhab p262)

Greater Manifest Victory

In order to express the superiority of Mirza it was claimed that the manifest victory achieved by Mirza was greater than the manifest victory of the holy Prophet (SAW). Thus Mirza said

"It is apparent that the time of the manifest victory of the era of the holy Prophet has expired, and the second victory which was to be much greater and clearer than the first one had yet to be achieved. It was ordained that its time should fall during the times of the promised Masih". (Khutbah-e-Ilahmiah, pp193-194 (Khutbah-e-Ilahmiah; Roohani Khazain, vol.16, p.288)

SO-CALLED BEGINNING AND PERFECTION OF MIRZA'S SPIRITUAL EXCELLENCE

It was also claimed that the era of the Makki birth of the holy Prophet (SAW) was only the first step in his progress of spiritual attainments, whereas his Qadiani reincarnation represents the highest pinnacle of his spiritual development. Thus it is said:

"The spirituality of our holy Prophet (SAW) was conceived 5th thousand (ie Makki birth) with its precise attributes and that period was not the climax of his spirituality's development. It was rather the first step to the highest pinnacle of its perfection. Thereafter this spirituality manifested itself in its full glamour during the 6th thousand [his rebirth in Qadian] at the present time". (Khutbah-e-Ilahmiah, p177; Roohani Khazain, Vol.16, p.266)

THE SO CALLED SUPERIOR MENTAL DEVELOPMENT OF MIRZA

It is also claimed that the mental development of Mirza of Qadian was superior to that of the holy Prophet (SAW). Thus it is said:

"The mental development of the promised Masih (i.e. the Mirza of Qadian) was higher than that of the holy Prophet (SAW). And this is only a part of the superiority which the promised Masih has over the holy Prophet. The mental faculties of the holy Prophet could not manifest fully owing to the deficiency of civilization; although the ability existed. They have now manifested themselves fully through the promised Masih by virtue of the advancement of civilization." (Review, May 1929, as cited in Qadiani Mazhab, p.266, ninth edition. Lahore)

QADIANIS TERM RECITERS OF KALIMAH OF MUHAMMAD (SAW) AS KAFIR(!)

When it is admitted, according to the Qadiani belief, that the Qadiani Mirza is (God forbid) superior to Muhammad of Arabia (SAW) in dignity then it must also be necessary to believe that those who recite the Kalimah of Muhammad (SAW) are not Muslims. In other words. the Kalimae Tayyibah, (La Illaha Illah, Muhammadur Rasoolullah), without belief in the Qadiani Mirza becomes false. Thus it is said:

"The point is now quite clear. If it is 'Kufr' to deny the gracious Prophet it must also be 'Kufr' to deny the promised Masih, because the promised Masih is in no way a separate being from the gracious Prophet; rather he is the same (Muhammad incarnate). If anyone is not deemed a Kafir for denying the promised Masih, then anyone else who denies the gracious Prophet also cannot be considered a Kafir. How is it possible that denying him in his first birth as Prophet should be regarded as Kufr, but denying him in his (reincarnated) second birth as prophet should not be regarded as Kufr, when, as claimed by the promised Masih, his (Mirza's as Muhammad incarnate) spiritual attainment is stronger, complete and severe." (Kalimatul Fasl, pages 146-147, as cited in the Reuiew of Religions, dated March-April 1915).

"Any person who believes in Moses but does not believe in Christ, or believes in Christ but does not believe in Muhammad, or believes in Muhammad but does not believe in the promised Masih, is not only a Kafir, but a 'pakka' (confirmed) Kafir, and (he is) out of the fold of Islam." (Kalimatul Fasl, page 110, by Mirza Bashir Ahmad, M.A.)

"The Ahmadi movement bears the same relationship to Islam as Christianity bears to Judaism." (Muhammad Ali Lahori Qadiani cited from Mubahasah Rawalpindi, page 240).

"All such Muslims as did not swear allegiance to the promised Masih (Mirza Ghulam Ahmad of Qadian), even though they did not hear the name of the promised Masih, are Kafir and debarred from the fold of Islam." (Aiena-e-Sadaqat, page 35, by Mirza Mahmud Ahmad Qadiani).

"It is incumbent upon us that we should not regard non-Ahmadis as Muslims, nor should we offer prayers behind them, because according to our belief they deny one of the prophets of Allah. This is a matter of faith None has any discretion in this." (Anwar-e-Khilafat, page 90, by Mahmud Ahmad Qadiani).

THE SO-CALLED QADIANI KALIMAH

It also became necessary in the Qadiani strategy to include the Qadiani Mirza in the meaning of the Qadiani Kalimah: Thus it is said:

"As a result of the birth of the promised Masih (the Qadiani Mirza) a difference has cropped up (in the meaning of the Kalimah). Before the birth of the promised Masih (the Qadiani Mirza) in the world as a prophet, the words Muhammad ur Rasul Allah) included in their meaning only such prophets as had preceded him; but after the incarnation of the promised

Masih (the Qadiani Mirza) in the world as a prophet, one more prophet has been added to the meaning of Muhammad ur Rasul Allah. Therefore on account of the incarnation of the promised Masih the Kalimah, God forbid, does not become abolished; it rather shines more brightly. In short, the same Kalimah is (effective) even now for embracing Islam, with the only difference that the incarnation of the promised Masih (Mirza Qadiani) has added one more prophet to the meaning of Muhammad ur Rasul Allah". (Kalimatul Fasl, page 158, by Mirza Bashir Ahmad Qadiani).

In short, the Qadiani Religion has retained the same words of the Kalimah but the Qadiani belief has changed the meaning of the Kalimah. In the Kalimah of the Muslims Muhammad ur Rasul Allah means Muhammad of Arabia (SAW) but in the Qadiani Kalimah Muhammad ur Rasul Allah means Mirza Qadiani as Muhammad incarnate, reborn in the world as a prophet for the second time. Thus it is said:

"Moreover, even if we accept by supposing the impossible that the sacred name of the gracious Prophet has been included in the sacred Kalimah because he is the last of the Prophets, even then there is no harm and we do not need a new Kalimah because the promised Masih is not a separate entity from the gracious Prophet as he (Mirza) himself says: "My being is exactly the being of Muhammad ur Rasul Allah". Also, "One who discriminates between me and Mustafa has neither recognized me nor seen me". And the reason for this is Allah Almighty's promise that He would reincarnate "Khatam un Nabieen" in this world once more as a prophet as is evident from the verse "And others of them... Thus the promised Masih (Mirza of Qadian) is himself Muhammad ur Rasulallah, who has been incarnated in the world again to spread Islam. We do not, therefore, need any new Kalimah. Albeit, a new Kalimah would have been necessary, if some other person had been reincarnated instead of Muhammad ur Rasul Allah. So contemplate!" (Kalimatul Fasl, page 158).

QADIANI BELIEF: PROPHETHOOD OF MUHAMMAD STANDS ABROGATED AND HIS KALIMAH STANDS CANCELLED

A little thought on the above-cited passages makes it quite clear that not only do the Qadianis consider Mirza Ghulam Ahmad to be a Prophet and Messenger (of Allah) but they also consider Mirza of Qadian a complete incarnation of Muhammad (SAW) and as such recite his (Mirza's) Kalimah. They consider as Kafirs all those who recite the Kalimah of Muhammad of Arabia (SAW). This proves that for the Qadianis the Kalimah of Muhammad of Arabia (SAW) stands cancelled.

If we look more closely into the issue we shall find that according to the Qadiani belief-like that of the Bahais - the period of the Prophethood and Apostleship of Muhammad of Arabia (SAW) has expired and it stands repealed for all practical purposes, because according to the Qadiani belief the basis of salvation lies in obeying the Qadiani Mirza only. So Mirza said:

"Say to them: if you love God then come and obey me so that God also may love you".

(Mirza Qadiani's "revelation as cited in Haqiqatul Wahi, Page 79/82, Lahore, 1952; Roohani Khazain. Vol. 22, p. 82. Also see pages 46, 62, 81, 182, 205, 277, 360, 363, 378, 395, 495, 630, 634, in Tazkirah, 2nd Edition).

"In Braheen-e-Ahmadia, God has called me by the name of Ibrahim, as He said: "This means: Salutation on Ibrahim (i.e. this humble man [Mirza]). We truly made friends with him and saved him from every sorrow. And O ye who follow, let the place of Ibrahim's footsteps be the place of your prayers. That is, obey completely so that you may attain salvation." (Arbaeen, Vol. 3, pp. 30-31; Roohani Khazain, Vol. 17, p. 420).

"As regards the assertion (verse from Quran regarding Ibrahim) this is a verse of the holy Quran. Here it means: Perform your prayers and hold beliefs on the pattern of Ibrahim (i.e. Mirza Qadiani) who has been sent, and mould yourself on his model in every matter". (Arbaeen, Vol. 3, p. 31; Roohani Khazain, Vol. 17, p.42).

"Similar is the verse (same verse quoted above) which contains a hint that at a time when the Ummat-e-Muhammadia will become divided into many sects, then during the last era an Ibrahim (i.e. Mirza Qadiani) will be born and out of all the sects, the sect which follows this Ibrahim, (i.e. Mirza!), will be saved". (Arbaeen, Vol. 3, page 32; Roohani Khazain, Vol. 17, p. 4213).

"My teachings contain orders as well as prohibitions and renovation of important injunctions of the Shari'at. For this reason God has named my teachings and the 'wahi' (revelation) that comes to me as a 'boat. Thus see, God has declared my 'wahi', my teachings and allegiance with me to be Noah's Ark and the basis of salvation for all human beings. Let he who has eyes may see and he who has ears may hear." (Arbaeen, Vol. 4, footnote of page 6; Roohani Khazain, Vol. 17, p. 435).

Thus obedience to Mirza Qadiani's prophethood, teachings, 'wahi' and his renovated Shari'at is allegedly the only basis for salvation for all mankind. This only means, that the Shari'at of Muhammad of Arabia (SAW), his teachings and 'wahi" are no longer the basis of salvation. In other words, Mirza's incarnation has rendered all these useless, suspended and abolished!

THE PRE MIRZA ISLAM IS THE 'DEAD RELIGION

The above-cited quotations confirm beyond a shadow of doubt that in the opinion of the Qadianis, Islam without the Qadiani Mirza is dead. So it is said:

"Probably in the year 1906, at the proposal of Khwaja Kamaluddin, Moulvi Muhammad Ali entered into an agreement with the Editor of Akhbar-e-Watan to the effect that the Review of Religions would publish no articles about the (Qadiani) sect; it would only publish general articles on Islam and the Editor of the Watan would propagate in his paper for the assistance of the Journal, Review of Religions. The promised Masih disapproved this proposal and it was strongly opposed by the Jamaat also. Hazrat Sahib said: 'Will you

present dead Islam before the world by excluding me?" (Zikr-e-Habib by Mufti Muhammad Sadiq Qadiani page 146, First Edition, Qadian).

"We believe that a religion which does not have the chain of prophethood (as in Islam-- Compiler) is a dead religion. We call the religions of the Jews, the Christians and the Hindus dead only because now there are no prophets in them. If this were the position in Islam too, we would be no more than mere story-tellers. Why do we regard it superior to other religions? It must have some distinction". (Malfoozat-e-Mirza, Vol. 10, page 127).

"During the lifetime of the promised Masih (Mirza Qadiani), at the proposal of Moulvi Muhammad Ali and Khwaj a Kamaluddin, the Editor of Akhbar-e-Watan started a fund-collecting scheme in 1905 for the purpose of sending copies of the Review of Religions to foreign countries, on the condition that it should not contain the name of the promised Masih (Mirza Qadiani). But 'hazrate aqdas' (Mirza Qadiani) rejected this proposal saying: Will you present dead Islam by excluding me?' Thereupon, the Editor Watan announced the closure of this fund-collecting scheme". (Al-Fazl, Qadian, Vol. 16, No. 32, dated 19th October, 1928, as cited in Qadiani Mazhab, pages 461-462).

QADIANIS DENOUNCE ISLAM AS THE 'CURSED, SATANIC AND CONTEMPTIBLE RELIGION

According to the Qadiani belief, the Religion of Islam, without the prophet hood of Mirza Qadiani, is only a 'collection of tales', it is a 'cursed, satanic and contemptible religion'.

"That religion is no religion and that prophet is no prophet by following whom a man does not come so close to God as to be honoured with divine conversation (i.e. Prophethood - Compiler). That religion is cursed and contemptible which teaches that human progress depends only on a few narrated anecdotes (i.e. the Shari'at-e-Muhammadiyah which is narrated from the holy Prophet (SAW) - Compiler) and that the 'wahi' has lagged behind instead of going ahead Hence such a religion deserves to be called satanic rather than divine." (i.e. Islam of the holy Prophet (SAW) is, God forbid, Satanic - Translator). (Zamima-e-Braheem-e-Ahmadiyah, Part V, pages 138-139; Roohani Khazain, Vol. 21, p. 306).

QADIANI ARROGANCE

"How absurd and false it is to believe that after the holy Prophet (SAW) the door of the divine 'wahi' has been closed for ever and there is no hope of it in the future till the Day of Resurrection - only worship tales. Can a religion having no direct trace of Almighty Allah be called a religion? I say, by Almighty God, that in this age there is no one more disgusted than myself with such a religion. (There is no doubt about this.- Compiler). I name such a religion as satanic religion, not divine (religion)". (Zamima-e-Braheem-e-Ahmadiyah, Part V, page 183; Roohani Khazain, Vol. 21, p. 354).

The above-cited incontrovertible proofs indicate beyond the slightest doubt that Qadianism is an entirely different religion from Islam. The reality of the Qadiani religion is that if you accept the Qadiani Mirza as Prophet then it is all right, otherwise the Religion of Islam is abused as being dead, cursed, satanic and contemptible, and the Prophethood and Apostleship of Muhammad (SAW) too is denied. May not Almighty Allah deprive anyone of Wisdom and Faith!

by Maulana Muhammad Yusuf Ludhianvi

Difference between Qadianis and Muslims

I want to tell you briefly how Qadianis differ from other 'Kafirs'. But before I do so, I shall answer a question which is in the minds of some of the Ummah

THE QUESTION (In 3 Parts):

Firstly: Why is it that an organization called Aalami Majlise Tahaffuze Khatme Nubuwwat has been set up, specifically aiming to pursue Qadianis to whichever part of the world they go and expose them by Allah's help and with the cooperation of Muslim brethren when there are other non-Muslims also in the world, such as Jews, Christians, Hindus, Sikhs, Buddhists, etc?

Secondly: Why is there no such organization against any other 'Kafir' community?

Thirdly: What was the reason for such stalwarts of Islam as Imam-ul'asr Muhammad Anwar Shah Kashmiri, Sheikh-ul-Islam Maulana Muhammad Yusuf Binnori, Ameer-e-Shariat Ataullah Shah Bukhari, and Hazrate Aqdas Maulana Mufti Mahmood, (Allah's mercy on all of them), attached so much importance to counter the 'Kufr' of Qadianis that it was considered necessary to establish an organization, on an international level, namely Aalami Majlis-e-Tahaffuz-e-Khatme Nubuwwat?

ANSWER:

Before I answer, I sum up the question which in short is: What distinguishes a Qadiani from other Non-Muslims?

First I lay before you an example:

You know that alcohol is prohibited in Shari'at. Drinking alcohol, manufacturing and selling it are all prohibited, i.e., 'Haram.' Similarly, you know that a Pig is filthy and impure. Selling its meat which is called pork and its eating, giving or conveying are absolute prohibitions, i.e., 'Haram Mutlaq.' Everyone is aware of these precepts.

Now imagine a situation: A man sells alcohol and calls it alcohol. Another man also sells alcohol but pastes a label of 'Zam-Zam' on the bottle, i.e., he is selling alcohol under the name of 'Zam-Zam'. Both persons are criminals but who is a greater criminal? You know the answer.

Take another example: There is a butcher who is selling pork. He sells it as such. Clearly he says this is pork. Whosoever wants to buy may buy it. Certainly he is a criminal in Shariat for selling pork. Against this is a person who, sells pork but calls it mutton. Now both those persons have committed a crime in Islamic Law; but sky-high is the difference in the nature of the offence between the two. One sells 'Unlawful,' i.e., 'Haram' calling it 'Unlawful,' Haram. The other sells 'Unlawful' Haram, calling it 'Lawful', i.e. 'Halal.' Anybody, unawares, could fall into his trap and eat 'Unlawful-Haram' pork thinking it to be 'Lawful-Halal' mutton. Thus the difference between a butcher selling pork as mutton and a butcher selling pork as pork is precisely the difference between a Qadiani and other Non-Muslim such as Jew, Christian and Hindu, etc. 'Kufr' is 'Kufr' in both cases because it is negation of Islam. But 'Kafirs' of the world do not put label of Islam on their 'Kufr' and do not propagate their 'Kufr' as Islam. A Qadiani, on the other hand, puts a label of Islam on his 'Kufr' and deceives Muslims by saying this is Islam.

CATEGORIES OF 'KAFIRS'

So far I have spoken to you in general terms. I shall explain the theology. 'Kufr' is of several kinds but three are very obvious:

First: The 'Kafir' who is undoubtedly a 'Kafir.

Second: That 'Kafir' who is 'Kafir' from inside but pretends as Muslim from outside.

Third: That 'Kafir', who tries to label his 'Kufr' as Islam

Category No. 1:

Jews, Christians, Hindus, etc., are unmistakably and undoubtedly Kaffirs. The Polytheist (mushrikeen) of pre-Islamic Mecca were openly included in this category. All of them are openly Kaffirs.

Category No. 2:

In the second category are Hypocrites (munafiqueen) who recite the Kalimah with the tongue but hide Kufr in their hearts. In their case Allah, the Exalted, has proclaimed:

When they (hypocrites) come to thee, they say: "We believe": but in fact they enter with a mind against Faith, and they go out with the same but Allah knoweth fully all that they hide. (HQ 5.61)

Hazrat Imam Shafai, (Allah's mercy on him) used to say about Ibrahim bin Ulayya: "I am his opponent, so much so, that I shall oppose him even if he recites the Kalimah Tayyiba"

It means that some persons are so compulsive liars that they lie even in 'Kalimah Tayyiba' because their 'Kalimah' is a show of falsehood.

Category No. 3:

The 'Kufr' of the third category exceeds the 'Kufr' of the second category because their offence is that they call their 'Kufr' as Islam. They present pure 'Kufr' in the nomenclature of Islam by twisting the verses of the holy Quran, the sacred 'Ahadith' of the Prophet (SAW), the statements of his Companions and sayings of revered saints in order to prove their 'Kufr' as Islam. Such men are called *zindiq* (Dualist-infidels) in Shari'at terminology.

To sum up, the three categories are Unbelievers; Kafir); Hypocrites: (Munafiq); and Dualist- Infidel: (Zindiq).

'Kafir' (Unbeliever) is a person who does not believe in Allah and the Prophet neither outwardly nor inwardly. 'Munafiq' (Hypo crit) is a person who hides 'Kufr' in his heart and his recitation of 'Kalimah' is false. He is a pretender. 'Zindiq' (Dualist-Infidel) is a person who guilt-edges, his 'Kufr' with the gold of Islam and tries to present it as real Islam.

WHO IS AN APOSTATE

An apostate (Murtad) is a person who abandons Islam. A precept should be understood here which is recorded in the books. All the four schools of 'Fiqah' unanimously agree that in case of an apostate (Murtad), Shari'at allows him three days to enable him to remove his doubts. All possible efforts should be made to clarify his doubts. If he understands and re-enters Islamic fold, well and good; otherwise he shouk~ be got rid off. This precept is known as 'killing of apostate' (Qatl-e-Murtad). None of the Imams differs on this issue. Laws of all civilised countries and governments award death punishment to rebels. As apostate is a rebel of Islam, therefore apostasy (Irtidad) is punishable with death.

CONCESSION OF SHARI'AT FOR APOSTATE

Islamic Shari'at offers a concession to its rebels while laws of the world do not do so. They must put their traitors to death even if they beg for mercy after their arrest and award of sentence.

Take the example of a rebel of any modern government. He may repent, beg to be excused or vouch for good behaviour a hundred times, assuring the government that in future he will never resort to revolt; still he will not be heard. The capital sentence will be executed on him. His repentance will be unacceptable. At no cost will he be excused.

But Islamic Shari'at Law gives a concession to its rebel. The Apostate (Murtad) is allowed three days to think over. He is advised to withdraw and renounce his apostatic stance. If he assures for future and is repentant, he is not punished. In spite of this humane concession afforded by Shari'at, Non-Muslims criticise the Islamic punishment. It is a matter of surprise that there are no objections to the hanging of a person when caught in conspiracy, say for toppling the lawful Govern- ments of the American President or the Russian President or the Pakistani President. Conspirators are sentenced to death and no law of any civilised court disagrees with this.

Why do then people disapprove of punishing a person who rebels against Allah's Prophets and say: Do not put him to death! Islam does concede three days' grace to enable the apostate to remove his doubts and come back into the Islamic fold. If he begs for mercy or repents, Shari'at grants him his life back. But if he is adamant and refuses to renounce his apostasy then Allah's sacred soil must be cleaned of his foul existence.

APOSTASY IS A DEADLY DISEASE OF MILLAT: WHY?

It is so because it takes the form of a carbuncle which stinks. If a malignant growth (Allah forbid) appears on some part of human body, doctors advise its amputation. Who would call this a tyranny over the patient? Rather a favour to him! By this removal the sound parts of the body are saved from septic poisoning, else death would be certain. Therefore it is wise to cut away the diseased part to protect the healthy part.

In the same way apostasy is a carbuncle of Islamic Millat. The malignant part, i.e., the 'Murtad,' despite preaching does not retrace his steps. Therefore, cancerous malignancy must necessarily be removed otherwise his poison will gradually travel inside the entire physiology of the Islamic Millat. This indeed is the unanimous verdict of the four Imams, and theologians and doctors of Shari'at law. Wisdom and sagacity demands it. The safety of Millat lies in it.

ORDER OF SHARIAT FOR DUALIST - INFIDEL: ZINDIQ

A dualist-infidel is worse than an apostate, because he is bent upon presenting his 'Kufr' as Islam. Imam Shafai and Imam Ahmad, in their well-known sayings, were pleased to ordain that a dualist-infidel (Zindiq) is governed by the same orders as an apostate (Murtad); that is, afford him a chance first, give him time to repent and permit him to return to the Islamic fold. If within three days he does so, leave him unscathed, otherwise it is obligatory to award him capital sentence.

VIEWS OF IMAM MALIK:

Imam Malik, (Allah's blessing on him), goes one step forward to say:

"I will not accept the penitence of a Dualist- Infidel".

By this he means to say that if a person guises his 'Kufr' with Islam and does not repent, when caught in the act, then, (leaving it to Allah whether or not He accepts his penitence), we shall certainly promulgate Allah's Shari'at on him, i.e., shall pronounce capital punishment for him. This is a crime which is similar to adultery in which a person may be penitent (Taaib) for future but his penitence will not save him from death. Therefore, he will be punished under all circumstances. Similarly, hands are to be amputated for stealing. A thief, on arrest, may offer penitence ('Tauba') but his offence will not go unpunished. It is in this light that Imam Malik says:

"I will not accept the penitence of a Dualist Infidel." Capital punishment will certainly be given to him, although he may be penitent ('Taaib') a hundred times.

Similar sayings are on record from Imam Abu Hanifa and Imam Ahmad bin Hambal, (Allah's blessing on them.) In books of 'Fiqah' such as 'Durr-e-Mukhtar' and 'Shami' and some others, it is written that if a Dualist-Infidel offers penitence ('Tauba') of his own free will, his penitence will be accepted without capital penalty, provided no one came to know of his offence. Similarly, he will be excused from punishment if he became penitent of his own free will, on Allah's guidance. Therefore, if a Qadiani renounces his 'Qadianism' on his own and offers penitence ('Tauba') then he will be excused. On the contrary, if he offers penitence ('Tauba') after arrest then there will be no excuse, irrespective of his repentance even a hundred times.

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN APOSTATE AND DUALIST - INFIDEL:

Shari'at enjoins upon us to preach to the apostate. If he as a result of preaching, undertakes penitence ('Tauba'), he will save himself from capital punishment but this does not apply to a dualist-infidel. There is another saying from Imam Malik and Imam Abu Hanifa, and one from Imam Ahmad, (Allah's blessings on them all), that penitence ('Tauba') of a dualist-infidel is not acceptable because he has committed the crime of dualist infidelity (Zindaqa), i.e., he applied false coating of Islam over his 'Kufr'

I repeat, he has sold pork as mutton and wine as 'Zam-Zam.' This is a crime which is not excusable and is certainly punishable with capital sentence.

QADIANIS' COVENANT OF 'KUFR'

My purpose here is not to tell you why Qadianis are 'Kafirs.' What I do wish to tell you is that in spite of their being diehard 'Kafirs' they present their 'Kufr' with the label of 'Islam'. Take the case of our Kalimah Tayyiba.

They do not believe in our 'Kalimah'. How can they claim to be Muslims? Please understand this clearly that a person who doubts in their being a 'Kafir' is not a Muslim. They pretend to be Muslims and say, "We are Musalman, we are only a sect of Islam called Jamat-e-Ahmadiya." But they are liars. They have established their colony near London and have named it "Islamabad" and behave as protagonists of Islam. When they come across a Muslim they deceive him and tell him "You see, we offer 'Namaz', keep fasts, do this and do that and we consider Hazrat Muhammad (SAW), as 'Khatam un Nabieen', i.e., the last of the Prophets." They play fraud on simple ignorant Muslims and assure them that every person who enters their 'Jamaat' has got to agree to one condition of their Charter of Loyalty which reads as follows:

"I acknowledge Hazrat Muhammad as 'Khatam un Nabieen' with all sincerety of my heart.

By this covenant they deceive poor simple Muslims.

WHY QADIANS ARE DUALISIT - INFIDELS (ZINDIQ)

They are so for the simple reason that they pour Islam into their mould of 'Kufr' and label urine as 'Zam-Zam' and dog's carrion as 'Halal'. The whole

world knows that Allah's Prophet Muhammad (SAW) was the last of the Prophets. This Islamic doctrine of finality of prophethood is a definite certainty. On the occasion of his farewell Haj, the Prophet declared:

"O people! I am the last prophet and you are the last Ummat." Besides, there are more than two hundred 'Ahadith' in which the Prophet (SAW), himself explained about the finality of Prophethood ('Khatm e Nubuwwat'). In different ways and through different expressions he was pleased to say that there will be no prophet after him and that nobody will be given prophethood after him.....(SAW)

MEANING OF FINAL PROPHETHOOD

It does not mean that any previous prophet is not alive. It simply means that if for the sake of argument all the previous prophets supposedly come in the world in the times of our Prophet Muhammad (SAW) and become his servants, even then our Prophet (SW) shall continue to be the last prophet since nobody has been awarded prophethood after him. The list of the blessed prophets in the manifest of the All-Knowing Allah carried his exalted name in the last. With his arrival, the list of the holy prophets became complete.

MEANING OF 'LAST OF CHILDREN AND 'LAST OF PROPHETS'

A child who is born last in a family is called their youngest off-spring. He is the final child and none is born after him. It does not mean that he is the last to survive among his brothers. Of course, it sometimes happens that he is born last but dies earlier. Then the father says: this child who died was my last one.

In the same way, the last prophet ('Khatam un Nabieen') means no prophet after him; no person shall wear the crown of prophethood or sit on the throne of prophethood after Muhammad (SAW) Those prophets who were sent down earlier are certainly within our Faith but our Prophet (SAW) is the last one. None else will wear the prophetic mantle of honour after him; nor will the Ummat put faith in any such pretender.

QADIANIS HAVE ALTERED MEANING OF 'KHATAM UN NABIEEN'

Qadianis say that the epithet of 'Khatam un Nabieen' does not mean that our Prophet is the last of the Prophets, and that there shall be no prophet after him. In their opinion it means that our Prophet Muhammad (SAW) will certify future prophets with his seal, i.e., on whomsoever he will stamp, that person shall become a prophet. What a nonsense ! In fourteen hundred years only one person, namely Ghulam Ahmad of Qadian has been stamped as prophet and he too a cock-eyed man! The seal has authenticated a one-eyed 'Dajjal' from Qadian!

I repeat that the term 'Khatam un Nabieen' means that our Prophet Muhammad (SAW) is the last prophet. All new entries have been stopped. They have been sealed. No prophet will come after him. It is like an envelope which has been closed by sealing it. 'Khatam' means sealing. Therefore, the term 'Khatam un Nabieen' means that the list of the prophets is completed

and the seal has been placed on it. Neither a fresh entry is possible nor will there be any removal from that list.

But the Qadianis have changed the meaning of 'Khatam un Nabieen' to mean that our Prophet grants certificates of prophethood to persons. In other words, Allah, who was previously sending down prophets, has now transferred this department to Prophet Muhammad (SAW) for stamping people and making them prophets!!

This is called infidelity ('Zindaqa'). In this way, they pronounce the name of Islam for meeting their nefarious designs and twist the meaning of verses of the holy Quran to suit their agnostic beliefs. That is why I say they sell pork and dog's carrion as 'Halal' meat and produce liquor under the holy label of 'Zam-Zam.'

By Allah, I would not have cared one bit for them if they had plainly said: "We are not Muslims and Islam is not our religion."

LOOK AT THE 'BAHAI' RELIGION

This is also a religion of the world. The Bahais believe in Bahauallah of Iran as their prophet. This group still exists. We call them 'Kafir' and they have also declared that they have nothing to do with Islam. Well, there ends the matter.

But these Qadianis call their 'Kufr' as Islam and in this way deceive Muslims. It is for this reason that they are dualist-infidels (Zindiq) and not simply Non-muslims. Remember! A Muslim can be at peace with a non-Muslim but never with a dualist-infidel ('zindiq') or apostate ('Murtad').

THREE QUESTIONS TO QADIANIS

Who has allowed you to:

- 2) Cancel the Kalimah of Prophet Muhammad (SAW) and adopt the Kalimah of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad?
- 3) Call the so-called 'Wahi' of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad as the basis of salvation in place of the true 'Wahi' of Prophet Muhammad (SAW)?

You impudently declare yourselves Muslims and call us 'Kafirs'. I quote from your Mirza Bashir Ahmad Qadiani's Kalimatul Fasl, p.110: "Every person who believes in Moosa but does not believe in Isa or believes in Isa and does not believe in Muhammad or believes in Muhammad but does not believe in the promised Masih (Mirza Ghulam Ahmad), (such a person) is not only an Unbeliever (a Kafir) but a thorough Unbeliever ("Pakka Kafir") and an outcast from the Islamic fold".

QADIANI KALIMAH

The Qadianis claim that Prophet Muhammad was destined to come down into the world two times, first in Makkah and this prophethood lasted 1300 years, and secondly in Qadian, at the start of fourteenth Hijra in the incarnation of

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. Therefore in their opinion, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is the reincarnation of Muhammad ur Rasulallah and consequently the Qadianis think of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad as Muhammad ur Rasulallah in their minds when reciting the Kalima-e-Tayyiba. This is veiled Kufr'.

I quote again Mirza Bashir Ahmad Qadiani from his Al Fasl, p.158:
"The promised Masih (Mirza Ghulam Ahmad) is Muhammad ur Rasulallah himself who was reincarnated in the world for propagation of Islam. Therefore, we do not need a new Kalimah. Yes, if somebody else would have reborn instead of Muhammad ur Rasulallah then this need (to have a new Kalimah) would have arisen"

From the above it is evident that for the Qadianis La ilaha ill Allah Muhammad ur Rasulallah' means 'La ilaha ill Allah Mirza Rasulallah' who (Muhammad ur Rasulallah) has been reincarnated in Qadian. (Allah protect us from this sacrilege) The Qadianis believe that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani is Prophet Muhammad incarnate. Mirza Bashir Ahmad Qadiani acknowledges that for the Qadianis Mirza himself is Muhammad ur Rasulallah and that they recite the Kalimah believing Mirza to be Muhammad ur Rasulallah. Therefore they do not need to construct a new Kalimah. However we say that Mirza was not Muhammad ur Rasulallah incarnate; rather Mirza was Devil incarnate!

DO PEOPLE KNOW THAT

The Qadianis fabricated:

1. a different Prophet
2. a different Quran (named "Tazkirah", which has the same status in the eyes of Qadianis as is accorded to Torah, Zabur, Injeel and Quran by the Muslims).
3. a different Kalimah
4. a different Shari'at
5. a different Ummat

How with all these very basic differences the Qadianis have the cheek to tell the world that they are Muslims and that their religion is Islam! They are Dualist-Infidels indeed. Allah protect us from their calumny and cunning!

NONSENSE

I ask Qadianis: You call us 'Kafirs'. Why? What tenets of 'Deen' of Prophet Muhammad (SAW) have we refused to accept? Just because of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad's birth all the Muslims of the world have become 'Kafirs'! Before his birth, our 'Deen' was alright as Islam and we were Muslims. After his creation our 'Deen' has become 'Kufr' and we have become 'Kafirs' ! ! Allah protect us from the Qadianis' snare! Can there be any more nonsense to compare with?

CRIMES OF MIRZA GHULAM AHMAD OF QADIAN

He has committed these heinous crimes:

- a . Claimed Prophethood
- b. Started a new religion
- c. Called his followers Muslims
- d. Called followers of Muhammad as 'Kafirs'.

Please tell me, if any Jew, Christian, Hindu, Sikh, etc., has ever committed this crime. Therefore I say that the Qadianis are 'Kafirs' of the highest magnitude and of the worst degree ever born.

CONCESSIONAL TREATMENT OF QADIANIS BY MUSLIMS

By Law of Shari'at, they should be awarded capital sentence because they are Dualist-infidels ('Zindiq'), calling Islam 'Kufr' and 'Kufr' Islam. If they are masquerading as Muslims on the face of the globe, it is because they have not been sentenced. Despite this concession, they proclaim that they are being tyrannized in Pakistan. Truly speaking, they are taking undue advantage of our politeness and the Pakistan Government is treating them most kindly which they do not deserve. The Government has not placed any restriction on the performance of their religious rites. They have been only told to stop calling the religion of Prophet Muhammad (SAW) as 'Kufr' and to stop calling their Qadiani religion as Islam; no more restriction than this.

Otherwise, remember! The Law of Shari'at ordains to award them capital sentence. But the government of Pakistan has given them a concession. The Qadianis occupy high posts and offices in Government administration and public services. In spite of these concessions, they appeal to the United Nations and to the Courts of Jews and Christians, clamouring that the Pakistan Government has snatched their rights. What were their rights which have been snatched? What wrong has been done to them? Only they have been told that Kalimah Tayyiba is the Kalimah of Islam.

HOW CAN THE QADIANIS:

- a) be permitted to paste bottles of liquor with labels of 'Zam-Zam'?
- b) be allowed to sell pig's pork and dog's carrion as 'Halal' meat?
- c) be allowed to call our Islam as 'Kufr'?
- d) be allowed to present the religion of cock-eyed Mirza Ghulam Ahmad as Islam?

DISGRACE

The pronouncement of just Kalimah Tayyiba from the mouth of these hypocrites (i.e. the Qadianis) is:

1. A disgrace of our Kalimah
2. A disgrace of Allah's Prophet.
3. A disgrace of Deen-e-Islam.

They cannot be allowed to go ahead with this disgrace and deceit. Allah has said about these hypocrites:

"Allah testifies that the hypocrites are liars".

These dualist-infidels ('Zindiq') like an apostate ('Murtad') must be awarded capital sentence. In the following paragraphs I record my reasons

COMMANDS OF SHARI'AT FOR DESCENDANTS OF APOSTATES ('MURTADEEN')

Shari'at allows three days' grace period for an Apostate (Murtad) to get back into the Islamic fold. If he does not, he is to be awarded capital punishment.

I present a case for your study. Consider for example some apostates who forsake Islam and who happen to be in so large numbers that, being a sizable group, they appear to be out of control for an Islamic Government to execute them. Let me be more precise. Take the example of an Islamic country where the Muslim population of a town decides to become, let's say, Christians, and having done so, manage somehow to save themselves from the Shari'at punishment. After a passage of time these Christians die their natural death leaving their children as Christians. The precept for them is to force these people back into the Islamic fold, by imprisoning them or by other appropriate means but not by capital punishment. In other words, if the original parent was an apostate, his son will also be an apostate. However, the grandson will not be an apostate; he will be a simple 'Kafir' and shall not be liable to punishment for apostasy.

COMMANDS OF SHARI'AT FOR DESCENDANTS OF DUALIST- INFIDELS ('ZINDIQ')

The breed of Qadianis will never change. They may multiply up to ninety-nine generations, still the hundredth one will continue to be Dualist- infidel and Apostate. The reason is that their crime is a never-ending one. So long as they call their Kufr as Islam their infidel dualism shall see no end. This offence shall be ongoing in their progeny.

For anybody unaware of the facts, let it be clear to him that every Qadiani, whether he is so by conversion, or by birth, or by heritage, is a dualist-infidel under the Shari'at Law because his crime flows unending throughout his lineage.

TEN LEGITIMATE SONS AND ONE 'NON-DESCRIPT'

Something more I want to tell you for which I shall draw an example which, I am afraid, is crude but it suits here.

A father had ten sons. All his life he treated them as his sons. After his death a fellow gets up to claim that he is the sole legitimate son and the ten are illegitimate. Two questions arise here:

Firstly: Will any sane person accept the claim of this fellow who is born of a non-descript origin and who never laid his claim while the father was alive? Conversely, never did the deceased father say to anybody that he had a son of that description. Can any Court of Justice in the whole world adjudicate in favour of that fellow and declare the ten legitimate sons as illegitimate? No. Never!

Secondly: What will be the reaction of the ten legitimate sons against the non-descript who calls them sons of adultery?

Keeping the answers of the two questions in mind, now listen: We belong to the Ummat of Prophet Muhammad (SAW). We fully believe in the Deen which he brought forth. We are his spiritual children. If I say so, it is no idea of mine but it is in the holy Quran which speaks in these terms:

The Prophet is more related to Momins than their self is to them. (Quran: Ahzab 33:6) That is to say, no member of the Ummat of Prophet Muhammad (SAW) has a stronger relation with his self than what Prophet Muhammad (SAW) has with each member of his Ummat.

And the holy Quran further declares:

'And his wives are their mothers' (HQ 33.6). It is therefore evident that all the revered wives of the Holy Prophets (SAW) are our mothers and we call each of them 'Ummul Momineen' (Mother of Muslims); for example, Ummul Momineen Hazrat Aiysha Siddiqah; Ummul Momineen Hazrat Khadijat ul Kubra; Ummul Momineen Hazrat Maimoona; Ummul Momineen Hazrat Umme Salma, and so on (may Allah be pleased with them). When they arise our mothers, surely Hazrat Muhammad (SAW) is our spiritual father.

But children in a family are seldom identical. Some are more obedient, some are less helpful, some are more virtuous, some are less dutiful, some are more wise and some are less clever, but they are all members of one family despite their differing personality and varying individuality. Still they are known as children of the same parents.

Muslims have ever been the spiritual children of Allah's Prophet Muhammad (SAW) for thirteen centuries, till, at the start of the fourteenth century, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad popped up from Qadian and claimed solely for himself the spiritual sonship of Allah's Prophet Muhammad (SAW) and excluded all others. Mirza did not stop at that, he dubbed the Muslims as "Kafirs." The whole Ummat of Islam has been abused by him as "illegitimate" keeping legitimacy only for himself. On this basis Mirza Ghulam Ahmad calls all the Muslims as "haramzadey" (bastard). Allah protect us from his slander and abuse. Excuse me for quoting the words of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad but I had to.

RAVING CLAIM

I ask the civilised courts of the world to dismiss Ghulam Ahmad's raving claim of spiritual sonship as a stupid claim of that sinful vagrant of non-descript lineage who claims legitimacy to the exclusion of ten real sons and who attempts to sever the connection of the whole Ummat from their Prophet (SAW) in spite of the Ummat's complete submission to his 'Deen' from A to Z. Have we made any alteration in the doctrines of Islamic faith or is it Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani who has sinfully done so?

DIALOGUE WITH A QADLANI

I happened to talk to a Qadiani once. I told him: "We have been Muslims for thirteen centuries all along. Suddenly, in the fourteenth century your Mirza Ghulam Ahmad appeared and made impossible claims. Thus the discord ensued. Listen and be just. Here is an offer to you: if our present beliefs are according to Islamic beliefs of the past thirteen centuries, accept us and leave Ghulam Ahmad; and if your present beliefs are according to the Islamic beliefs of the past thirteen centuries we shall accept you. Thus the discord will come to a permanent close. Now, answer me because pros and cons are equal for both of us."

That Qadiani was a Punjabi of Sialkot city. He replied in the Punjabi dialect: (Translation) "The truth is that for us everybody is a liar except Mirza Sahib. "(!)

Now you must have understood that the Qadianis are a people who consider as liars the Companions ('Sahabah of the Prophet (SAW) the 'Tabeyeen' (contemporaries of Sahabah) 'Aimma Mujtahideen' (authorities on religious matters and jurists), and 'Mujaddideen' (theological reformers) and the entire Ummat spread over fourteen hundred years (God forbid) "All liars, except Mirza! On this premise can the Qadianis claim even an iota of relationship with Islam and Muslims? No. Never.

CHALLENGE TO MUSLIMS

Brothers and sisters, I am sure you have now understood that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, the cheat, is deceiving the entire world by calling himself a spiritual child of the holy Prophet (SAW)

I turn to Muslims and ask them: Don't you have any grace left in you to answer these shameless Qadianis? They come to you at social level, attend your meetings in public and private and repeat their nonsense. On your part, you are a quiet listener to the descendants of a non-descript masquerader.

Alas, our simple Muslim brethren! Now what are the obligations - yours, mine and that of every Muslim? What does our honour demand? It demands at least to have faith in the truth of Islamic Shari'at's decree on this issue, viz., capital punishment for an apostate and dualist-infidel. But it is up to the Government to respond to the Shari'at's call. However, this much is within our reach that we cut off all social connections with them and don't let them enter our gatherings and face them boldly everywhere.

LIAR IN MOTHER'S LAP

Corner the liar in his mother's lair. He has already been led away into his mother's haunt; praise be to Allah. The country of Britain is their mother. She gave birth to them. It is for this reason that Mirza Tahir Ahmad, the Qadiani Arch-priest, has run away from Pakistan to sit snug in the lap of his mother and chew the cud in British manger.

From there he is barking at the Muslims of the world. Many simple-natured Muslims of Africa, America and Europe do not know what is Qadianism. They are on the 'hit-list' of these Qadianis. They have decided to mislead these

Muslims as well as non-Muslims and are doing so. For this purpose, tons of money have been budgeted to beguile the Muslims and others and trap them into the snare of Qadiani apostasy and infidelity. But by Allah's grace and support of Aalami Majlise Tahafuzze Khatme Nubuwwat we have taken up the gauntlet under the banner of Hazrat Khatamun Nabieen Muhammad (SAW). The Qadiani camouflage and coat will soon be peeled off from every nook and corner of the world, just as it has been accomplished in Pakistan.

TRAITORS

That day is not far away when the world will get to know of the truth: that the Qadianis are not Muslims, that they are traitors of Islam, traitors of Muhammad (SAW) and traitors of humanity. Anti-Qadiani movement is now surging the whole world, sweeping the Qadianis out. Final victory shall be that of our Prophet Muhammad (SAW) and his bondsmen.

BONDSMEN OF PROPHET MUHAMMAD (SAW) IN PAKISTAN

For some time in Pakistan too, people considered the Qadianis as Muslims till the sacrifices, which were offered by the humble adherents of Muhammad (SAW) ended in success, amputating the growth of Qadiani cancer from the Ummat's body. Allah willing, this shall happen in other countries of the world also because 'Aalami Majlise Tahaffuze Khatme Nubuwwat' has launched its operations on an international scale.

The Character of Mirza Ghulam Qadiani (the founder of the Ahmadiyya Cult)

Mirza Ghulam Qadiani was born in 1839-40 in the county of Qadian, Gurdaspur district in Punjab, India, to a Mughal family. His father's name was Ghulam Murtada and his mother's name was Charagh Bibi. Mirza's family was in the service of the British government and represented the British interest to the extent of supporting the British forces during the Islamic War of Independence.

Mirza received his early education from his father and other teachers of the village and was given a humble job in the office of the Deputy Commissioner. During his employment, he participated in and failed promotional exams for better assignments. Discouraged with his failures, he returned home to take care of the family business. Up to this period in his life, Mirza Qadiani was an ordinary Muslim; he had the Aqeedah (the belief) of Muslims and believed in the finality of the Prophet Muhammad (SAW).

At about the same time, British government, wary of anti-British Islamic movements in India, ordered the creation of an Apostolic Prophet to subdue the spirit of Jihad (striving against oppressors) in Muslims (British Parliament

Report 1870). In 1871, Mirza Ghulam was elected to the post of Apostolic Prophet.

Between 1882 and 1890, like a confused story-teller trying to get his fable straight, Mirza made numerous unfounded and conflicting claims; among these are his assertions to be a Mujaddid (a revivalist), Musleh (a reformer), Mehdi (a divinely guided one), and Masih Mawoud (the Promised Messiah). In the year 1890, he declared that *Jihad* and resistance to British rule had become unlawful; in 1901, he claimed prophethood for himself; and, in 1904, he claimed to be Krishna (Hindu Lord).

In short, Mirza Qadiani spent much of his adult life fabricating lies against Allah (SWT), insulting the Prophets of Allah, declaring false prophecies, committing acts of treason against Muslims, lying, cheating, and deceiving simple minded Muslims, and serving the British by discouraging and eliminating the concept of *Jihad* from Islam. The height of his shameless attempt at deception is best stated in his own message to Governor of Punjab, in 1898, reminding him that he is the "self implanted and self cultivated seedling of British Raj," who has been a loyal servant to the British rule.

Mirza uttered such non-sense Kufr in his books as "I am God, in my vision, I am a woman, Allah showed his manly power with me and metaphorically I became pregnant"! Contrary to his own "Prophecies" and "revelations", Mirza died on May 26, 1908, in his own hated disease of cholera. Obviously, he was not *Mehdi, Messiah, or a Prophet*. He was rather an immoral and treacherous opportunist guided by his hunger for wealth and power. His claim to be the promised *Messiah* is not much different from the present day claims of David Koresh, Jim Jones, and Charles Manson. The followers of these cults are now well known in the West; by God's leave, we shall reveal the truth about the Qadiani leadership to the world.

Could He be a Prophet (In light of his own Writings)

Mirza Ghulam Qadiani did not have any scruples at using profanities to try to ridicule, intimidate, or threaten people who did not accept his false claim to prophethood. Here are a few examples of his writings:

"My enemies are dirty swine and their women are more wretched than bitches."

(*Roohany Khazaen*, Vol. 14, P. 53; *Najmul Huda*, P. 10, 53)

"All Muslims regard my books with reverence and care and benefit from their sublime thoughts except those who are the offspring of prostitutes (*bastards*); God has put a seal upon their hearts and they do not accept me."

(*Roohany Khazaen*, Vol. 5, Page 547-548; *Mirat-o-Kamalat-i-Islam*, P. 547;

Aeena-e-Kamalat Islam, P.547-548)

[Mirza Ghulam translated this Arabic word as "Bastard" in *Roohany Khazaen* Vol. 11, P. 282)

"The one who has no belief in our ultimate victory is fond of becoming bastard and he is bound to be product of fornication."

(*Roohany Kazaen*, Vol. 9, P. 31; *Anwar ul Islam*, P. 30)

"Are they prepared to swear? No, they'll never do so because they are liars and are derooting the corpse of falsehood like dogs."

(*Supplement to Anjam-e-Atham*, P. 25; *Roohany Khazaen*, Vol. 11, P. 309)

His eulogy about Maulvi Saadullah Ludhianwi was:

"I look a sinful man among debauched who is more scoundrel and an execrated being like Satan...

He who is called Saadullah by the ignorant is slanderer, wicked and a falsifier...

You injured me, bastard. I won't be truthful if you won't have a disgraceful death." (*Haqiqat-ul Wahi*, P. 14-15; *Roohany Khazaen*, Vol. 22, P. 734-735)

"If Abdullah Athum is saved from death (as per Mirza's prophecy) and if all the world say the Christian was correct, then the bastard will not follow the right path."

(*Roohany Khazaen*, Vol. 9, P. 32)

"Abdul Haq is not content with our victories. He is itching to become a bastard."

(*Anwar-ul-Islam*, P. 30)

"There is nothing more foul than a pig in the world. But the ulema who oppose me are more foul than pigs."

(*Anjam-i-Atham*, P. 21)

"You have inflicted pain on me with your foulness. You are not truthful. I pray that you die in shame, you son of a harlot."

(*Anjam-i-Atham*, P. 288)

"This bastard of a doctor does not thread the straight path."

(*Anwar-ul-Islam*, P. 30)

He called Shaikhul Islam Saanaullah of Amristar:

"O, the son of wind, o traitor..."

(*Ijaz-i-Ahmadi*, P. 43/77)

The puzzling thing is that he himself wrote:

"I have never abused anyone."
(*Moahiburahman*, P. 18)

"He is worst who is abusive, his heart is as filthy as Latrine."
(*Sar-e-Sumain*, P. 74)

"Abuses and rebukes are not the acts of a believer and a believer can not be a curser."
(*Azalat-ul-Auham*, P. 66)

Is this how a Prophet or even a rightly guided individual would speak?

COULD HE BE A PROPHET???

AN URGENT APPEAL TO THE MUSLIM UMMAH OF THE WORLD

All praises to Allah Almighty and Peace be upon the holy Prophet and his Companions (Sahabas)!! The holy Prophet (SAW) once defined "Faith" as advice and included it amongst one of the obligations of our faith for the Muslim Ummah. Therefore, the universal body of "Tahaffuz-e-Khatme Nubuwwat" wish to invite the attention of the Muslim Ummah towards an important universal and religious matter, which is "The Qadiani problem".

This group (the so-called Ahmadi or Ahmadiya group) was founded by one Mirza Ghulam Ahmed from Qadian, a town in the district of Gurdaspur in India. He started his campaign by confronting non-Muslims in polemics. But later on when he found people reposing faith in him he started making improbable claims. In 1884 he claimed himself to be a "Mujaddid" of 14th century. In the year 1891 he disclosed a revelation, viz "Prophet Isa alaihis salaam is dead and thou art now the promised Masih as described in the holy Quran and Hadith". In 1892 he claimed himself to be both, Masih as well as Mahdi. In 1901 he claimed that whereas Christ is described as a "nabi" (prophet) in a Hadith [Sahih Muslim], therefore, he too was a "nabi" or prophet. In one of his pamphlets titled, "Ek Ghalati Ka Izalah" he claimed that he was exactly the same as Muhammed-ur-Rasool Allah (Allah forbid). In other words, he proclaimed himself as the re-incarnation of the holy Prophet and his prophethood (SAW). Mirza Ghulam Ahmad pronounced the following articles of faith to his followers:

Belief No. 1

That the holy Prophet Muhammad (S A W) has reappeared in the shape and person of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, and in the verse of the Holy Qu'ran "MUHAMMAD-UR-RUSULLAH WALL LAZEENA MA'HU" Muhammad ur-Rasullah stands for Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani. (Ek Ghalati Ka Izalah).

Belief No. 2

The Qadiani faith places Mirza Ghulam Ahmad in the same respect, status, nomenclature, and honour as that of the holy prophet Muhammad (SAW). (*Al-Fazl*, September 16, 1925, Qadiani Mazhab, p. 275).

Belief No. 3

The Qadiani faith enjoins upon all human beings to accept Mirza Ghulam Ahmad as we recognise the holy prophet (S A W), because from 14th century onward Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is a proclaimed prophet (Tazkirah p. 260).

Belief No. 4

The Qadiani faith considers Mirza Ghulam Ahmad as Khatam-ul-Anbia, the last prophet. (Al Fazl, 26th Sept 1915).

Belief No. 5

The Qadiani faith claims that the highest throne amongst the heavenly thrones is that of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. (Haqiqatul Wahi, p. 89).

Belief No. 6

The Qadiani faith claims that Islam was just like a crescent of opening night (Shedding no light) while the Islam of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad's time is shining bright like the full moon of 14th night. (Khutba-e-Ilhamiyah, p. 183).

Belief No. 7

The Qadiani faith claims the spiritualism of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is much better and comprehensive as compared to that of the holy Prophet (S A W). (Khutbat Ilhamiyah, p. 181).

Belief No. 8

The Qadiani faith claims that in this age following the holy Prophet (S A W) alone is not 'madaare-e-nijat' (basis of salvation), but the madaar-e-nijat' is obedience to Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. (Arbaeen No. 4, p. 7).

Belief No. 9

The Qadiani faith deems everyone as disobedient to Allah and Rasool and hell-bound if one does not follow Mirza Ghulam Ahmad. (Istihar Meyar Alakhbar, dated 25 May, 1900).

Belief No. 10

The Qadiani faith termed all those persons as infidel and outside the pale of Islam if they did not declare faith in Mirza Ghulam Ahmad even though they did not hear his name. (Aina-e-Sadaqat, p. 35).

The above cited beliefs are a few examples of hundreds of misleading and blasphemous beliefs of the Qadianis. The learned Ulemas from East to West have therefore, declared in their unanimous "fatwas" since Mirza Ghulam Ahmad's times that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad and his followers are infidel, "murtad" and "zindeeq" (See Fatawas). Further more, Rabita Aalam-e-Islami, the paramount religious organization of the Muslim World, has in its meeting held in April 1974, (Rabiul Awwal 1394 AH) exhorted all Muslim world to enforce a ban on the activities of the Qadianis within their countries. The Majma-e- Alfiqahal Islami in their meeting on 22-28 December, 1985, at Jeddah called Qadianiat as infidelity and "zindiqah". The first Asian Muslim summit conference in its session held at Karachi from 6 - 8 July, 1978, passed a resolution against Qadianis. Mirza Ghulam Ahmad had himself

admitted in one of his pamphlets while addressing his followers that all Muslim Ulemas considered Qadianis as 'murtad' and liable to be slain. We quote from "Majmu'ah -e-Ishtaharaat, Vol 3". He says, "Do you think that by living in the Kingdom of Sultan-e-Room or by building a house in Makkah or Madinah you can escape the attacks of mischievous people? No, never! But you will be cut to pieces by their swords within a week. You must have heard the fate of Sahibzada Maulvi Abdul Lateef, a prominent and respectable person of Kabul State, commanding a group of followers numbering about 50 thousand. After embracing my faith and being impressed by my teachings he became opposed to holy war (Jehad), then Amir Habibullah Khan mercilessly got him stoned to death. Do you still believe you can live a prosperous life under the Islamic Sultans? Rather, you will become an opposed target of the Islamic Ulemas who have already given verdict of your death. You have heard the "fatwa" of these Muslims who are against the Ahmadiyah sect. You have heard the fatwa of their Ulema, i.e. you deserve death in their view. In their eyes even a dog deserves mercy but not you. The "fatwas" of entire Punjab and India, rather the "fatwas" of the whole Islamic world have declared that you are liable to be slain. To loot your property, forcibly to bring your wives in their matrimonial bond, desecration of your dead bodies and disallowing your burial in Muslim graveyards - all these are considered not only justified but pious acts". End of quote. The fatwas of the Ulema of Islam and the above mentioned writings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmed are sufficient proof to show that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad and his Qadianis/Ahmadis group is a separate entity and not in any way connected with Islam.

POLITICAL OVERTURES

After the establishment of Pakistan, a large part of Qadiani group as well as their leaders migrated to the Punjab province, and rehabilitated themselves on a thousand acre piece of land and named it 'Rabwa' for exclusive settlement of the Qadiani group. Rabwa became a centre of their nefarious activities, and in a way served as a parallel Government. Clandestinely they occupied important key posts in Pakistan, supported by the then Foreign Minister of Pakistan, Chaudhry Zafrullah Khan Qadiani who recruited numerous Qadianis in Pakistan's foreign missions and thereby exploited his exalted position for the propagation of Qadiani faith both at home and abroad. The Muslim Ulemas warned Muslims against their move, and in 1953 they launched a campaign against the Qadianis. They demanded that the Qadianis should be declared a 'Non Muslim' entity. Unfortunately, due to Qadiani bureaucrats holding key positions, this movement was crushed by the killing of some ten thousand Muslims. But this did not deter the Muslims at large and they persisted in their efforts till 1974 when the Government of Pakistan decided to declare the Qadianis as Non-Muslims. The Parliament accordingly passed an Act on 7th September, 1974, by virtue of which Mirza Ghulam Ahmad and his followers were proclaimed non-Muslims under the leadership of late Zulifkar Ali Bhutto, The then prime minister of Pakistan.

Despite this decision, the Qadiani jamaat kept on propagating its ideology, articles of faith and exploiting the name of Islam for its missionary work. It

was, therefore, felt obligatory to stop them from such activities under the garb of Islam. Again due to sustained struggle of Muslims at large a Presidential Ordinance was issued by the then President of Pakistan, the late Gen. Muhammad Ziaul Haq, in the year 1984, prohibiting Qadianis by law to present themselves as Muslims or to use Islam for the propagation of their faith. They were also prohibited from using religious hall-marks of Muslims. This was a logical sequence towards preservation of Muslim identity and to clear the doubts created by the Qadianis' misleading publicity. This action also did not deter them from their negative tactics, and their current leader, Mirza Tahir Qadiani, set up his headquarters in a place near London and named it "Islamabad." From here they started a negative and hateful campaign against Pakistan, duly supported by Western Media and anti-Islam forces which called for giving religious freedom and human rights to the Qadiani sect. As a matter of fact when Qadianis claim their Prophet and religion as a separate entity, and label Muslims of the world as infidels, then why and how can they be allowed to use the name of Islam and adoption of Muslim tenets for propagation of their new religion because this creates confusion amongst Muslims and debases their religious identity.

It seems proper to mention here some of the activities indulged in by the Qadianis for the information of Muslim Ummah as follows:

1. The Qadiani group is busy in its propaganda campaign against the Government of Pakistan and religious circles with active connivance and backing of lobbies and media of Western countries; from their base newly created at "Islamabad" in London, United Kingdom.
2. They have acquired an international channel via satellite in order to transmit their faith in the guise of Islam. This will create misunderstanding about Muslim religion amongst those youngsters who do not possess sufficient religious knowledge.
3. The well-known Qadiani scientist Dr. Abdus Salam in western media is endeavouring to convene an International Science Conference with the assistance of certain Muslim and non-aligned countries with a view to obtaining secrets of scientific developments within Muslim Countries and to lay hands on the atomic research in Pakistan.
4. Talented young men of Muslim countries are lured for lucrative jobs in foreign countries. They are asked to fill in Qadiani forms on the pretext that political asylum will be sought for their settlement due to their victimisation because of their faith. Any applications made for political asylum by Qadianis in countries other than Pakistan, need careful scrutiny through Pakistan Embassies in those countries.
5. The Qadianis are busy distributing literature as well as wrong and confusing translations of the holy Qur'an in the Muslim countries of the world. This is misleading the innocent and ignorant Muslims, who fall prey to

their fraud. We must stop this nuisance by taking appropriate preventative measures, which, inter alia, may include the following steps:

A. All Muslim Ummah may regard Qadianis as non-Muslim, and impose a ban on their activities and organizations. The profundity of human liberty in Muslim Society, and the enjoyment of fundamental human rights are unparalleled in the whole history of mankind, whose sanctity and security is guaranteed to every member within the frontiers of human decency and conduct and action Qadiani's as non-Muslims engaged in activities beyond the frontiers of human liberty and fundamental human rights. Muslim Ummah need guard the pristine glory of human society enlightened by Islam at all times, by at all costs, at all places across the national frontiers.

B. Beware of their anti-Muslim activities which include spying and conveying secrets to non-Muslim countries, particularly Israel, with whom they have friendly relations.

C. Beware of the legacy of Qadiani so-called scientist, Dr. Abdus Salam, who was burning with malice and who wanted to entangle Muslim rulers in favour of the Islamic Science Conference, just to acquire information and destroy their scientific research technology. Keep an eye on such activities and do not associate yourself in consultations.

D. Inform all international organizations not to accept Qadianis as Muslims because they have nothing in common with Muslims, and must not be allowed to offer their identification under the label of Muslim.

E. The Ulema and intellectuals of Muslim countries have to apprise the Ummah of the true facts about Qadiani sect and its poisonous programme and faith.

6. We hope our brother Muslims will realise their religious and universal obligations and take all necessary actions to suppress the evil designs of the Qadiani movement.

Finally, in conclusion, may we advise you that should you require further information please contact any office of AALAMI MAJLISE TAHAFFUZE KHATME NUBUWWAT.

Identification of the Promised Messiah

The Holy Prophet Muhammad (SAW) has prophesied about several events that will occur just before the advent of the day of judgment. Among these, Rasulullah (SAW) has foretold the return of Isa (pbuh) (Jesus), which will materialize when a one eye claimant to divinity (Dajjal or Anti-Christ) will attempt to misguide the humanity into worshipping him. Messiah will descend from the heavens in Damascus, pray behind [Imam Mahdi](#), chase and

kill the Dajjal, destroy the savage and unbelieving armies of Gog and Magog, and bring peace and brotherhood to the world.

Everyone of the people of the book will recognize the truth and accept him only as a Messenger of Allah (SWT); the religion of Allah, Islam will justly rule the world.

*"And there is none Of the people of the Scriptures (Jews and Christians) But must believe in him (i.e. Jesus as an Apostle of Allah and a human being) before his death. And on the Day of Judgment He will be a witness against them."
(The Holy Quran, An-Nisa, 4: 159)*

There will be no oppression and no need to fight oppressors (war will be abolished) and no need to collect Jizyah (since there will be no non-Muslim people of the Book to collect this tax from). Every human being will be well-off and no one will accept charity. Messiah will perform Hajj and/or Umra, marry, remain married for 19 years, beget children, and die after living on earth for 40 years. His death will signal the beginning of the last days:

*"And (Jesus) shall be a Sign (for the coming of) the Hour (of Judgment): therefore have no doubt about the (Hour), but follow ye Me: this is a Straight Way."
(Quran, Az-Zukhuruf, 43:61)*

Unfortunately, counting on the general ignorance of Muslims regarding this entire prophecy, a few individuals have laid claim to being Messiah and managed to misguide some people. For some of these individuals (like Mirza Ghulam of India) the claim to being Messiah was just a stepping stone to his claim of prophethood and the creation of a cult which broke away from the religion of Allah^(SWT). It is, therefore, at least prudent to learn the truth about this prophecy and avoid falling pray to false claimants that will appear from time to time.

As Muslims, we should remember that the prophecy about Messiah is one that will come to pass. This prophecy, however, does not absolve the Muslim ummah from its duty to strive in the cause of Allah (SWT), oppose injustice, and seek peace and betterment of human condition. Centuries have passes from the time of the holy Prophet (SAW) and there is a good possibility that many more will expire before the advent of the Promised Messiah. Muslims who are negligent in their duty hoping for a savior are committing a grave mistake and are not following the divine decrees ordained in Quran or taught by Rasulullah (SAW).

The following represent a few of the over 110 Ahadith regarding the advent of the Promised Messiah and the fact that he will be none other than Jesus (pbuh), son of Mary:

Narrated Abu Huraira (RA) that the Prophet of Allah (SAW) said:

*By Him in Whose Hands my soul is, Son of Mary (Jesus) will shortly descend amongst you people (Muslims) as a just ruler and will break the Cross and kill the pig and abolish the Jizyah (a tax taken from the non-Muslims, who are in the protection, of the Muslim government). Then there will be abundance of money and no one will accept charitable gifts.
(Sahih Bukhari, Vol. 3, Book 34, No. 425)*

Narrated Abu Huraira (RA) that hazrat Muhammad (SAW) said:

*The Hour will not be established until the Son of Mary (i.e. Jesus) descends amongst you as a just ruler, he will break the cross, kill the pigs, and abolish the Jizyah tax. Money will be in abundance so that nobody will accept it (as charitable gifts).
(Sahih Bukhari, Vol. 3, Book 43, No. 656)*

Allah's Apostle (SAW) declared:

*I swear by Him in Whose hands is my life that soon there will descend among you Hazrat Isa Ibn-e-Mariam as a Just Ruler; so (he) will break the 'cross', kill swine and prohibit war!
(Sahih Bukhari, Vol. 1, No. 668, Book of Prophets, P. 490)*

Narrated Abu Huraira (RA) he heard Allah's Apostle (SAW) say:

*By Him in Whose Hands my soul is, surely (Jesus,) the Son of Mary will soon descend amongst you and will judge mankind justly (as a Just Ruler); he will break the Cross and kill the pigs and there will be no Jizyah (i.e. taxation taken from non Muslims). Money will be in abundance so that nobody will accept it, and a single prostration to Allah (in prayer) will be better than the whole world and whatever is in it." Abu Huraira added "If you wish, you can recite (this verse of the Holy Book): -- "And there is none Of the people of the Scriptures (Jews and Christians) But must believe in him (i.e Jesus as an Apostle of Allah and a human being) before his death. And on the Day of Judgment He will be a witness against them." (Quran 4: 159)
(Sahih Bukhari, Vol. 4, Book 55, No. 657; Fateh-ul Bari, Vol. 7, P. 302)*

A similar narration by Ata (RA) has been recorded by Muslim with the following sentence added at the end:

Mutual hatred, jealousy and grudge will disappear.

Narrated Abu Huraira (RA) that hazrat Muhammad (SAW) said:

How will you be when the Son of Mary (i.e. Jesus) descends amongst you and he will judge people by the law of the Quran and not by the law of Gospel.

(Sahih Bukhari, Vol. 4, Book 55, No. 658; Fateh-ul Bari, Vol. 7, P. 304-305)

Narrated Abu Huraira (RA) that the Prophet (SAW) said:

There is no prophet between me and him, that is, Jesus (peace be upon him). He will descent (to the earth). When you see him, recognize him: a man of medium height, reddish fair, wearing two light yellow garments, looking as if drops were falling down from his head though it will not be wet. He will fight the people for the cause of Islam. He will break the cross, kill swine, and abolish jizyah. Allah will perish all religions except Islam. He will destroy the Antichrist and will live on the earth for forty years and then he will die. The Muslims will pray over him.
(Sunan Abu Dawud, Book 37, No. 4310)

It is reported by Anas (RA) that the holy Prophet (SAW) said:

Whosoever from amongst you lives to see Jesus, son of Mary, he should convey my greetings to him.
(Hakim)

Jabir ibn Abdullah (RA) narrated, I heard the Messenger of Allah (SAW) say:

A section of my people will not cease fighting for the Truth and will prevail till the Day of Resurrection. He said: Jesus Son of Mary will then descend and their (Muslims') commander (Al Mahdi) will invite him to come and lead them in prayer, but he would say: No, some amongst you are commanders over some (amongst you). This is the honor from Allah for this Ummah.
(Sahih Muslim, Book 1, No. 0293)

Allah's Apostle (SAW) said:

Allah will send Maseeh ibne Maryam (Messiah son of Mary). Thus he will descend near the White Eastern Minaret of Damascus, clad in two yellow sheets, leaning on the shoulders of two angels.
(Sahih Muslim, Vol. 8, P. 192-193)

It is reported by Aws Ibn Aws al-Thaqafi (RA) that hazrat Muhammad (SAW) said:

Jesus, son of Mary, will descend near the white minaret towards the east of Damascus.
(Tabarani)

Narrated Ibn Abbas (RA) the Prophet (SAW) said:

On the night of my Ascent to the Heaven, I saw Moses who was a tall brown curly-haired man as if he was one of the men of

Shan'awa tribe, and I saw Jesus, a man of medium height and moderate complexion inclined to the red and white colors and of lank hair. I also saw Malik, the gate-keeper of the (Hell) Fire and Ad-Dajjal amongst the signs which Allah showed me. (The Prophet then recited the Holy Verse) "So be not you in doubt of meeting him' when you met Moses during the night of Mi'raj over the heavens (Quran, 32:23)".
(Sahih Bukhari, Vol. 4, Book 54, No. 462)

Allah's Apostle (SAW) said:

I saw myself (in a dream) near the Ka'ba last night, and I saw a man with whitish red complexion, the best you may see amongst men of that complexion having long hair reaching his earlobes which was the best hair of its sort, and he had combed his hair and water was dropping from it, and he was performing the Tawaf around the Ka'ba while he was leaning on two men or on the shoulders of two men. I asked, 'Who is this man?' Somebody replied, '(He is) Messiah, Son of Mary.' Then I saw another man with very curly hair, blind in the right eye which looked like a protruding out grape. I asked, 'Who is this?' Somebody replied, '(He is) Messiah, Ad-Dajjal.'
(Sahih Bukhari, Vol. 9, Book 87, No. 128)

Yahya related to me from Malik from Nafi from Abdullah ibn Umar that the Messenger of Allah (SAW) said:

I dreamt at night that I was at the Kabah, and I saw a dark man like the most handsome of dark men you have ever seen. He had hair reaching to between his ears and his shoulders like the most excellent of such hair that you have seen. He had combed his hair, and water was dripping from it. He was leaning on two men or on the shoulders of two men doing tawaf around Kabah. I asked, 'Who is this?' It was said, 'al-Masih ibn Maryam.' Then we were with a man with wiry hair and blind in his right eye, as if it was a floating grape. I asked 'Who is this?' It was said to me, 'This is al-Masih ad-Dajjal.'
(Malik's Muwatta, Book 49, No. 49.2.2)

Narrated Abu Huraira (RA) that Allah's Apostle (SAW) said:

On the night of my Ascension to Heaven, I saw (the prophet) Moses who was a thin person with lank hair, looking like one of the men of the tribe of Shanua; and I saw Jesus who was of average height with red face as if he had just come out of a bathroom....
(Sahih Bukhari, Vol. 4, Book 55, No. 607)

Narrated Abdullah ibn Amr (RA) that:

Someone came to him and said: What is this hadith that you narrate that the Last Hour will come at a certain time? Thereupon he said: Hallowed be Allah, there is no god but Allah (or words to the same effect). I have decided that I shall not narrate anything to anyone now. I have only said that you will see after some time an important event: that the (sacred) House (Ka'bah) will be burnt and it definitely happen.

He then reported that Allah's Messenger (peace be upon him) said: The Dajjal will appear in my Ummah and he will stay (in the world) for forty -- I cannot say whether he meant forty days, forty months or forty years. Allah will then send Jesus, son of Mary, who will resemble Urwah ibn Mas'ud. He (Jesus Christ) will chase him and kill him. Then people will live for seven years, during which time there will be no rancor between any two persons. After that Allah will send a cold wind from the direction of Syria. None will survive on Earth, having a speck of good in him or faith in him: he will die. Even if some among you were to enter the innermost part of the mountain, this wind would reach that place also and cause your death.

I heard Allah's Apostle (peace be upon him) as saying: Only the wicked people will survive and they will be as careless as birds with the characteristics of beasts. They will never appreciate good nor condemn evil...

(Sahih Muslim, Book 40, No. 7023)

Narrated Abdullah (RA) the Prophet (SAW) mentioned the Messiah Ad-Dajjal in front of the people saying:

Allah is not one eyed while Messiah, Ad-Dajjal is blind in the right eye and his eye looks like a bulging out grape. While sleeping near the Ka'ba last night, I saw in my dream a man of brown color the best one can see amongst brown color and his hair was long that it fell between his shoulders. His hair was lank and water was dribbling from his head and he was placing his hands on the shoulders of two men while circumambulating the Kabah. I asked, 'Who is this?' They replied, 'This is Jesus, son of Mary.' Behind him I saw a man who had very curly hair and was blind in the right eye, resembling Ibn Qatan (i.e. an infidel) in appearance. He was placing his hands on the shoulders of a person while performing Tawaf around the Ka'ba. I asked, 'Who is this?' They replied, 'The Masih, Ad-Dajjal.'
(Sahih Bukhari, Vol. 4, Book 55, No. 649)

Hanzalah al-Aslami reported to have heard Abu Huraira (RA) as narrating from Allah's Apostle (SAW):

By Him in Whose Hand is my life, Ibn Maryam (Jesus Christ) would certainly pronounce Talbiyah for Hajj or for Umrah or for both (simultaneously as a Qarin) in the valley of Rawha. (Sahih Muslim, Book 7, No. 2877)

Allah's Prophet (SAW) said:

Hazrat Masih (pbuh) will die after completing the duration he was destined to pass in the world and that Muslims would offer his funeral prayers and bury him next to the grave of the holy Prophet, Hazrat Abu Bakr and Hazrat Umar (RAs). (Mishkat, P. 480)

Allah's Apostle (SAW) said:

After his descent on earth, Hadhrat Isa (A.S.) will marry. He will have children, and he will remain on earth 19 years after marriage. He will pass away and Muslims will perform his Janaza Salaat (funeral prayers) and bury him next to Rasulallah (SAW). (Tirmidhi)

Narrated Abu Huraira (RA) that hazrat Muhammad (SAW) said:

...Satan will cry: The Dajjal has taken your place among your families. They will then come out, but it will be of no avail. When they reach Syria, he will come out while they are still preparing themselves for battle, drawing up the ranks.

Certainly, the time of prayer will come and then Jesus (peace be upon him), Son of Mary, will descend and lead them in prayer. When the enemy of Allah see him, it will (disappear) just as salt dissolves in water and if he (Jesus) were not to confront them at all, even then it would dissolve completely. Allah would kill them by his hand and he would show them their blood on his lance (the lance of Jesus Christ). (Sahih Muslim, Book 40, No. 6924)

Narrated An-Nawwas ibn Sam'an (RA) that Rasulallah (SAW) said:

...He will then call (that young man) and he will come forward laughing with his face gleaming (with happiness). It will at this very time that Allah will send Christ, son of Mary. He will descend at the white minaret on the eastern side of Damascus, wearing two garments lightly dyed with saffron and placing his hands on the wings of two Angels. When he lowers his head, there will fall beads of perspiration from his head, and when he raises it up, beads like pearls will scatter from it. Every non-believer who smells the odor of his body will die and his breath will reach as far as he is able to see. He will then search for him

(Dajjal) until he catches hold of him at the gate of Ludd (Jerusalem) and kills him.

Then a people whom Allah had protected will come to Jesus, son of Mary, and he will wipe their faces and inform them of their ranks in Paradise. It will be under such conditions that Allah will reveal to Jesus these words: I have brought forth from among My servants such people against whom none will be able to fight; you take these people safely to Tur, and then Allah will send Gog and Magog and they will swarm down from every slope. The first of them will pass the lake of Tiberias and drink out of it. And when the last of them passes, he will say: There was once water there...

(Sahih Muslim, Book 40, No. 7015)

Mujammi Ibn Jariyah al-Ansari (RA) stated that he heard the Messenger of Allah (SAW) say:

The son of Mary will kill the Anti-Christ (Dajjal) at the door of Ludda.

(Tirmidhi and Ahmad)

Abdullah Bin Umar (RA) reported that Rasulullah (SAW) said:

How can perish the Ummah of which I am at the beginning and Jesus, son of Mary, is at the end.

(Hakim and Nasai)

Narrated Hudhayfah ibn Usayd Ghifari (RA):

Allah's Apostle (peace be upon him) came to us all of a sudden as we were (busy in a discussion) He said:

"What are you talking about?" (the Companions) said: "We are discussing about the Last Hour". Thereupon, he said:

It will not come until you see ten signs before and (in this connection) he made a mention of the smoke, Dajjal, the beast, the rising of the sun from the west, the descent of Jesus son of Mary (Allah be pleased with him), The Gog and Magog, and landslides in three places, one in the east, one in the west and one in Arabia at the end of which fire would burn forth from the Yemen, and would drive people to the place of their assembly.

(Sahih Muslim, Book 40, No. 6931)

According to these authentic and universally accepted Hadith:

1. The Promised Messiah is none other than hazrat Isa bin Maryam (pbuh) (Jesus);
2. He is a man of medium height and moderate complexion inclined to the red and white colors and of lank hair;

3. He will descend among Muslims, near the White Eastern Minaret of Damascus, Syria, clad in two yellow sheets (dyed with saffron), while leaning on the shoulders of two angels;
4. He will pray behind Imam Mahdi;
5. He will fight with, chase, and kills Dajjal (the Anti-Christ) at the gate of Ludd;
6. Messiah will rule justly according to the Laws of Islam and not Gospel;
7. People of the Book will accept him as a Messenger of Allah (SWT) and will embrace Islam (*Quran 4:159*); subsequently, Cross (Christianity) and Jizyah tax (on non-Muslims) will be abolished;
8. He will encounter attack of Gog and Magog and will be victorious;
9. Islam and justice will rule, oppression will be eliminated, and no fighting (War) will be needed (will be abolished);
10. Everyone will be well off and hatred, jealousy, and grudge will disappear;
11. Messiah will perform Hajj and/or Umra;
12. He will get married, remain married for 19 years before his death and have children;
13. He will die after living on earth for 40 years and will be buried in a grave next to the holy Prophet (SAW). His advent is a sign for the closeness of the day of Judgment (*Quran, 43:61*);
14. Afterwards, all believers will die before the advent of the day of Judgment and the other prophecies will take place.

Identification of the Prophesied Imam Mahdi

The Holy Prophet Muhammad (SAW) has prophesied about several events that will occur just before the advent of the day of judgment. Among these, Rasulullah (SAW) has foretold the advent of one of his descendants, Al Mahdi (the guided one), which will materialize when the believers are severely oppressed in every corner of the world. He will fight the oppressors, unite the Muslims, bring peace and justice to the world, rule over the Arabs, and lead a prayer in Mekkah at which Isa (pbuh)(Jesus) will be present.

Throughout the history of Islam, a few individuals -- driven either by the desperate state of Muslims in their community or a selfish pursuit of power

and prestige -- laid claim to being Mahdi and found a following among the uninformed masses, looking for salvation from heavens. For some of these individuals (like Bab of Iran or Mirza Ghulam of India) the claim to being Mahdi was just a stepping stone to the development of heretic sects which broke away from the fold of Islam. Thus, it is at least prudent to visit the facts of this prophecy and avoid falling pray to false claimants that will appear from time to time.

As Muslims, we should remember that the prophecy about Mahdi is one that will come to pass. This prophecy, however, does not absolve the Muslim ummah from its duty to strive in the cause of Allah (SWT), oppose injustice, and seek peace and betterment of human condition. Centuries have passes from the time of the holy Prophet (SAW) and there is a good possibility that many more will expire before the advent of Al Mahdi. Muslims who are negligent in their duty hoping for a savior are committing a grave mistake and are not following the divine decrees ordained in Quran or taught by Rasulullah (SAW).

The following are the collection of Sahih (authentic) Hadith regarding Mahdi.

Hadhrat Abdullah bin Mas'ood (RA) reports from the Prophet (SAW), who said:

"The world will not come to pass until a man from among my family, whose name will be my name, rules over the Arabs."
(Tirmidhi Sahih, Vol. 9, P. 74; Abu Dawud, Sahih, Vol. 5, P. 207;
also narrated by Ali b. Abi Talib, Abu Sa'id, Umm Salma, Abu Hurayra)

The Prophet (SAW) said:

"Allah will bring out from concealment al-Mahdi from my family and just before the day of Judgment; even if only one day were to remain in the life of the world, and he will spread on this earth justice and equity and will eradicate tyranny and oppression."
(Musnad Ahmad Ibn Hanbal, Vol. 1, P. 99)

Hadhrat Ali (RA) narrates that Rasulullah (SAW) said:

"Even if only a day remains for Qiyamah to come, yet Allah will surely send a man from my family who will fill this world with such justice and fairness, just as it initially was filled with oppression."
(Abu Dawood)

Ali b. Abi Talib (RA) has related a tradition from the Prophet (SAW) who informed him:

"The promised Mahdi will be among my family. God will make the provisions for his emergence within a single night."
(Ibn Majah, Sahih, Vol. 2, P. 519)

Hazrat Umme Salmah (RA), Prophet's wife, narrates that she heard the Prophet (SAW) say:

"The promised Mahdi will be among my progeny, among the descendants of Fatima."
(Abu Dawud, Sahih, Vol. 2, P. 207; Ibn Majah, Sahih, Vol. 2, P. 519)

Rasulullah (SAW) announced:

The Mahdi will be of my family, of the descendants of Fatima (the Prophet's daughter). (Sunan Ibn Majah, Vol. 2, Tradition No. 4086)

The Prophet (SAW) told:

"al-Mahdi is one of us, the members of the household (Ahlul-Bayt)."
(Sunan Ibn Majah, Vol. 2, Tradition No. 4085)

Abu Sa'id al-Khudari (RA) narrated that the Prophet (SAW) said:

"Our Mahdi will have a broad forehead and a pointed (prominent) nose. He will fill the earth with justice as it is filled with injustice and tyranny. He will rule for seven years."
(Abu Dawud, Sahih, Vol. 2, p. 208; Fusul al-muhimma, p. 275)

Hadhrat Abu Saeed Khudri (RA) relates that Rasulullah (SAW) said:

"Al Mahdi will be from my progeny. His forehead will be broad and his nose will be high. He will fill the world with justice and fairness at a time when the world will be filled with oppression. He will rule for seven years."

Hadhrat Umme Salmah (RA) narrates that Rasulullah (SAW) said:

"After the death of a Ruler there will be some dispute between the people. At that time a citizen of Madina will flee (from Madina) and go to Makkah. While in Makkah, certain people will approach him between Hajrul Aswad and Maqaame Ibraheem, and forcefully pledge their allegiance to him.

Thereafter a huge army will proceed from Syria to attack him but when they will be at Baida, which is between Makkah and Madina, they will be swallowed into the ground.

On seeing this, the Abdaals of Shaam as well as large numbers of people from Iraq will come to him and pledge their allegiance to him. Then a person from the Quraish, whose uncle will be from the Bani Kalb tribe will send an army to attack him, only to be overpowered, by the will of Allah. This (defeated) army will be that of the Bani Kalb. Unfortunate indeed is he who does not receive a share from the booty of the Kalb. This person (Imam Mahdi) will distribute the spoils of war after the battle. He will lead the people according to the Sunnat and during his reign Islam will spread throughout the world. He will remain till seven years (since his emergence). He will pass away and the Muslims will perform his Janazah salaah."

(Abu Dawood)

The holy Prophet (SAW) said:

"A group of my Ummah will fight for the truth until near the day of judgment when Jesus, the son of Marry, will descend, and the leader of them will ask him to lead the prayer, but Jesus declines, saying: "No, Verily, among you Allah has made leaders for others and He has bestowed his bounty upon them."

(Sahih Muslim)

It is reported from Abu Hurayra (RA) that the Prophet (SAW) said:

"What will be your reaction when the son of Mary (Jesus) descends and your Imam is from among yourselves?"

(Sahih Muslim, bab nuzul 'isa, Vol. 2; Sahih Bukhari, kitab bad' al-khalq wa nuzul 'isa, Vol. 4)

The holy Prophet (SAW) said:

"What would be your situation if the Son of Mary (i.e. Jesus) descends upon you and your Imam is from among you?"

(Bukhari, kitabul-Anbiya, Chapter Nuzul Isa bin Maryam)

According to these reliable, authentic, and universally accepted narrations, Mahdi will:

1. Be from among the family of Prophet (SAW), among the descendants of Fatima;
2. Have a broad forehead and pointed nose;
3. Appear in one night;
4. Appear just before the day of judgment;
5. Have same name as hazrat Muhammad (SAW);

6. Escape from Madina to Makkah where people will pledge allegiance to him;
7. Receive pledge and help of Iraqi people;
8. Fight in battles;
9. Rule over the Arabs for seven years according to Sunnah;
10. Spread justice and equity on earth;
11. Eradicate tyranny and oppression;
12. Lead a prayer in Mekkah which Jesus (pbuh) will follow in;
13. NOT be the same individual as [the Promised Messiah](#) (Jesus).

The following narrations have been taken from books on which there is no consensus among Muslim scholars. Their authenticity is in question.

The holy Prophet (SAW) said:

"The world will not come to an end until a man from my family (ahl al-bayt), who will be called al-Mahdi, emerges to rule upon my community."

(Bihar al-anwar, Vol. 51, P. 75; Ithbat al-hudat, Vol. 1, P. 9)

Umme Salmah (RA), the wife of the Prophet, has related a tradition of the Prophet (SAW):

"Mahdi will be among my progeny, among the children of Fatima."

(Bihar al-anwar, Vol. 51, P. 75)

Rasullullah (SAW) said:

"The world will not come to an end until a man from the descendants of Hussain takes charge of the affairs of the world and fills it with justice and equity as it is filled with injustice and tyranny."

(Bihar al-anwar, Vol. 51, P. 66)

Abu Sa'id al-Khudari (RA) has related a tradition from the Prophet (SAW) who declared:

"The earth will be filled with injustice and corruption. At that time, a man from among my progeny will rise and will rule for seven or nine years and will fill the earth with justice and equity."

(Ahmad b. Hanbal, Musnad, Vol. 3, P. 27)

Abu al-Hujaf (RA) quotes the Prophet (SAW) saying three times:

"Listen to the good news about the Mahdi! He will rise at the time when people will be faced with severe conflict and the earth will be hit by a violent quake. He will fill the earth with justice and equity as it is filled with injustice and tyranny. He will fill the hearts of his followers with devotion and will spread justice everywhere."

(Bihar al-anwar, Vol. 51, P. 74)

Ali b. Abi Talib (RA) said, I asked the Prophet (SAW):

"Is Mahdi going to be among our own family or from some other?" He replied: "He will be among us. God will conclude His religion through him, just as He began it with us. It will be through us that people will find refuge from sedition, just as it was through us that they were saved from polytheism. Moreover, it will be through us that God will bring their hearts together in brotherhood following the animosity sown by the sedition, just as they were brought together in brotherhood in their religion after the animosity sown by polytheism."

(Bihar al-anwar, Vol. 51, P. 84; Ithbat al-hudat, Vol. 7, P. 191; Majma` al-zawa'id by `Ali b. Abi Bakr Haythami (Cairo edition), Vol. 7, P. 317)

I heard the Prophet (SAW) declare from the pulpit:

"The Mahdi from among my descendants, from my family, will rise at the End of Time, while the heavens will pour rain and the earth will bring forth green grass for him. He will fill the earth with justice and equity as it is filled with tyranny and injustice."

(Bihar al-anwar, Vol. 51, P. 74; Ithbat al-hudat, Vol. 7, P. 9)

Abu Sa'id al-Khudari (RA) narrated that Prophet (SAW) said:

"Severe calamity from the direction of their ruler will befall my people during the Last Days. It will be a calamity which, in severity, shall be unprecedented. It will be so violent that the earth with injustice and corruption will shrivel for its inhabitants. The believers will not find refuge from oppression. At that time God will send a man from my family to fill the earth with justice and equity just as it is filled with injustice and tyranny. The dwellers of the heavens and the earth will be pleased with him. The earth will bring forth all that grows for him, and the heavens will pour down rains in abundance. He will live among the people for seven or nine years. From all the good that God will bestow on the inhabitants of the earth, the dead will wish to come to life again."

(Ibn Hajar, al-Sawa'iq al-muharriqa, P. 161; Yanabi' al-mawadda, Vol. 2, P. 177)

Abu Hurayra (RA) narrated on behalf of the Prophet (SAW):

"People will pay allegiance to the Mahdi between rukn and maqam (in Makkah)."

(Ibn Tawus, Kitab al-malahim wa al-fitan, P. 64.)

According to these hadith, Mahdi will:

1. Be from the Family of Prophet (SAW) and descend from Hussain (RA), son of Fatima (RA) ;
2. Appear at the end of time;
3. Appear when earth is filled with injustice and tyranny and believers are severely oppressed;
4. Appear when a severe earthquaker will occur and green grass will grow (presumably in Arabia);
5. Fill the earth with justice and equity;
6. Spread brotherhood, equity, and devotion among Muslims;
7. Rule over Muslim community, according to Hadith, for seven or nine years;
8. Live and act with the qualities of the holy Prophet (SAW).

The following are narrations **not** attribute to the Holy Prophet (SAW) and only represent second hand narrations attributed to companions of the holy Prophet (SAW). Thus, they are included only for completeness and are unreliable.

Ammar Yasir has related:

"At the time when Nafs al-Zakiyya is killed a caller from the heaven will say: 'Your commander is so-and-so.' (announce Mahdi) Following it the Mahdi will emerge and fill the earth with justice and equity."

(Ibn Tawus, Kitab al-malahim wa al-fitan, P. 179)

Abd Allah b. Umar mentioned the name of Mahdi in the presence of an Arab who said: Mahdi is Mu'awiya b. Abu Sufyan. Abd Allah said:

"It is not as you say. Mahdi is a person behind whom Jesus will offer his prayers."

(Ibn Tawus, Kitab al-malahim wa al-fitan, P. 179)

Mujahid said about Mahdi:

"One of the Prophet's companions told me that the Mahdi will not appear until that time when Nafs al-Zakiyya will be killed. At that time he will take the command and will fill the earth with justice and equity."

(Ibn Tawus, Kitab al-malahim wa al-fitan, P. 171)

Nufayl's daughter Umayra narrates that she heard Hasan b. Ali's daughter saying:

"This affair about which you are waiting will not occur until among you some seek to distance themselves from the others and curse each other."

(Majlisi, Bihar al-anwar, Vol. 52, P. 211)

Salma b. Zafar reports that one day people were talking about the appearance of the Mahdi in the presence of Hudhayfa. Hudhayfa said:

"If Mahdi has indeed appeared while you are living close to the Prophet's period and while his companions are living among you, then you are truly fortunate. However, that is not the case. Mahdi will not appear until people are devoured by oppression and tyranny and there is no one absent more beloved and more needed than him."

(Kitab al-hawi li al-fatawa, Vol. 2, P. 159)

These narrations are based on opinion of single individuals and are not reliable. However, they indicate that Mahdi might:

1. Not appear until all Muslims are divided and curse each other (i.e. no brotherhood);
2. Appear when tyranny and oppression rule and no Muslim is free;
3. Be announced by a voice from heavens;
4. Fill the earth with justice and equity;
5. Lead the prayer which Jesus (pbuh) will follow.

The following narration has not been attributed to the Holy Prophet (SAW). It has been identified as false and has been rejected by Muslim scholars for centuries.

Amr son of Shamer quoted Jabir, who quoted Mohammed bin Ali to have said:

"For our Mahdi, there are two signs. Since the birth of Universe, these events have never taken place. Those two signs are that there will be Lunar Eclipse on the first night of Ramadhan and

Solar Eclipse in the middle of Ramadhan."
(Dar-e-Qatni, Vol. 1, P.188)

Note:

This narration is not attributed to holy Prophet (SAW), but attributed to Mohammed bin Ali. No other person has substantiated this alleged statement of Mohammed bin Ali.

Muslim scholars have always rejected this and other narrations of Amr and Jabir, as both have been known to transmit unreliable and forged narrations.

FINAL REJOINDER TO MIRZA TAHIR

Mirza Tahir Qadiani played a trick on his Jamaat recently. He produced for them a stunt which he named 'Mubahala'. By injecting morphia into his jamaat in this manner he sought to deaden their sensibility and therefore headed his Mubahala pamphlet as follows:

"Open challenge of Mubahala from Jamaat Ahmadiya Alamgir to the enemies, the kaffir-makers and the beliers the world over".

Whereas, Mirza Tahir sent the copies of his published challenge contained in his pamphlet of 'Mubahala' to the elders of Ummat-e-Islamia and the elite of Millat-e-Muhmmadiya, he included, among the recipients, the name of this dervish, unknown and humble, for reasons best known to him. This humble being having received it, prepared its rejoinder, Reply to Mirza Tahir's Challenge of Mubahala, and addressed it to Mirza Tahir during Muharram ul Haram, 1409, AH. Several magazines and journals of Pakistan and India published it under the caption: "In reply to Mirza Tahir". Also, it was brought out in the form of a separate booklet. Its copies and photostats in thousands were distributed in Canada, America and other countries. Not only that, its various translated versions in the English language were also printed and vastly distributed in foreign lands. By the grace of Allah, the Qadiani progeny of liars could not and cannot wriggle out from the impact of arguments contained therein till Doomsday.

Since my rejoinder had bound down Mirza Tahir for a response and knowing it would be no easy matter I allowed him a comfortably long period of four months, i.e., till January 1, 1989. Despite my let-up, he failed to meet the date because he stood in a quagmire. Confused, non-plussed and check-mated he had no ground to move backward or forward and no room to wheel about. Ultimately, he managed with his Secretary to draft some sort of a random reply a copy of which is reproduced below followed by my comments captioned: "Final Rejoinder to Mirza Tahir, Last Nail in Qadiani Coffin".

Respected readers would not fail to discern that Mirza Tahir really fell through great blunders by coming out with his 'Mubahala' challenge, because:

Firstly: The decomposed and putrefied Qadiani corpse had to be exhumed for a post-mortem. This challenge subjected it to its detailed diagnostic examination.

Secondly: When at Mirza Tahir's invitation I called upon him to come out in the open ground of Mubahala and pick up the gauntlet in a rendezvous, he curled down his tail meekly-- a welcome token of submission --and fled for safety. As Qadiani norms go, scores of interpretations flowed forth instead.

Thirdly: Although this humble self had allowed a four month period for giving me a reply, Allah the Exalted inflicted a death like stupor over Mirza Tahir and his progeny who lay benumbed till the term expired. At last, the Qadiani Secretary managed to muster himself up to write out some sort of reply. Actually, this was a work of hardly five minutes for the Qadiani secretary, but Allah, the Exalted wanted to expose Qadiani infamy and effrontery and therefore a five minute work took more than four months.

Fourthly: Mirza Tahir and his whole Jamaat fell short of words because Allah made me recount such startling facts from Qadiani history in respect of Mirza Tahir's father and grand-father as thoroughly exposed Mirza Qadiani to be a compulsive liar, dualist-infidel and accursed apostate.

Fifthly: Mirza Tahir failed in his effort to distort Quranic sense of the term 'Mubahala'. His twisting earned him nothing but a run-out from Mubahala rendezvous, befitting his grandpa's own unwitting utterance, viz., 'Died under divine execration'.

In my humble opinion Mirza Tahir will not dare take up this renewed call-out of mine. Rather, he would not have the grace to respond even.

Now I produce a copy of the Qadiani letter, followed by our comments on it.

MUHAMMAD YUSUF LUDHIANVI

English translation of the Qadiani Letter

London 23.12.1988

Janab Maulana Muhammad Yusuf Sahib Ludhianvi,

The pamphlet, published on your behalf, in reply to the 'Mubahala' invitation of Imam-e-Jamaat-e-Ah-madiya, Hazrat Mirza Tahir Ahmad, has been received in which you have left no stone unturned in twisting truths and have tried once again to show your internal condition to the world by repeating absolutely loose and filthy blames. The way in which you have tried to put foul charges over holy personages of Allah, the Exalted, what reply can there be except in the words of Quran-e-Kareem which says: 'Allah's curse on the liars'.

It's surprising that on the one hand you are showing attachment to the holy raiment of Muhammad (SAW) and on the other your disposition is so abhorrent as is not even distantly related to Islam.

You say "falsity of Mirza has become as apparent as the sun at its meridian", but, queer enough, Allah, the Exalted, is continuing to give day by day advancement to the high order Ahmadiya whose foundation Mirza Sahib laid in 1889 and auspicious souls in large numbers are entering this holy order, but blind like you are still groping in darkness.

In your pamphlet, you have repeated all over again all those blames which you have been alleging for almost a century, for which reason, the Imam-e-Jamaat-e-Ahmadiya, had to give the challenge of 'Mubahala', at last. If there was one bit of nobility in you, you should have accepted the challenge of Mubahala of Imam Jamaat Ahmadiya in a straight-forward manner, so that the world would have known that you are truthful and you would not have thought of undertaking the path of flight. 'Mubahala' is not the name of argumentation or disputation but it means taking the matter to the divine court through invocations going beyond debates and discussions. 'Mubahala' is the name of asking for decision through invocation from Allah, the Exalted, but gathering together of parties (to dispute) at some specified place is not necessary by the (interpretation of) the verse of Mubahala. Therefore your asking to come out on such and such date at such and such time, at Minar-e-Pakistan or any other place means nothing but your fleeing away from 'Mubahala'. Our belief is that Allah is present everywhere in the universe and from His Grasp of Authority no place is outside and no spot without His sway and might. His seat circumscribes the earth and the sky. Why do you hesitate to address Allah and pronounce His curse on the liars and why do you consider that presence of the concerned parties is necessary? Therefore if you possess moral or conscientious courage then come out like a man of action and after writing out all those blames which have been narrated in the 'Mubahala' pamphlet published on June 10, 1988 and which you think are correct, you write 'Allah's curse on the liars', and put your signature. Then see, how does Allah the Exalted distinguish between a truthful and a lying party within the fixed duration.

But we give you advice to undertake righteousness and seek forgiveness of Allah and leave temerity against Allah's appointed Imam so that you save yourself from Allah's wrath and take warning from the corroborative tokens which Allah the Exalted has so far revealed.

Humble,
Rasheed Ahmad Chowdhry
Press Secretary Jamaat Ahmadiya

**FINAL REJOINDER TO MIRZA TAHIR
LAST NAIL IN QADIANI COFFIN**

(Note: QADIANIS CALL THEMSELVES "AHMADI")

Janab Mirza Tahir Ahmad Sahib,

Peace be on those who follow the right path!

You may recall that some time back you arranged for sending a copy of your 'Mubahala' challenge in the name of this humble self also. In reply, I accepted your challenge. My letter dated 18th Muharram ul Haram 1409 A.H. refers.

I told you in this letter: Start in the name of Allah; come out by appointing some date, time and place where you shall find this dervish waiting for you. In this very letter, I proposed to you, on my own, a certain place, date and time for holding this rendezvous. I did so lest you should back out. I gave you four months time for sending me a reply till the first of January 1989. Your reply reached me on 3rd January 1989 but it bore the signature of your Secretary Sahib. Anyhow, thanks for the acknowledgement and permit me to comment on certain points which have been raised in your letter.

POINT NO. 1

Your Secretary Sahib writes:

'The pamphlet published on your behalf, in reply to the 'Mubahala invitation of Imam-e-Jamaate- Ahmadiya, Hazrat Mirza Tahir Ahmad, has been received in which you have left nothing undone in distorting truths and have tried to exhibit; once again, your inward nature to the world, by repeating absolutely loose and filthy blames. In this way you have endeavoured to place foul allegations on holy personages of Allah, the Exalted. What reply can there be to them, except in the words of Quran-e-Kareem: "Allah's curse on the liars".

COMMENTS POINT NO. 1

Let me, first of all, do you justice for your truthfulness. You call my communication a 'published pamphlet'; no, it was a letter, written on my letterhead with my name on it. It was sent to you by registered post and it carried my signature and seal. To call my letter a published pamphlet reminds me ~f the famous proverb: "A thief might leave stealing but not prying-about. Imagine, when tricksters are apt to dodge unwary people by calling letters as published pamphlets, what distortions would they not have perpetrated on the Quranic text and Ahadith?

You say, I have tried to bare out my latent morbidity before outside people, by having repeated my earlier-levelled charges but there is my letter before all. Anybody reading it will conclude that my presentations were historical truths, taken from the Qadiani history. Do you call them allegations and blames from my side? No, I presented ten facts--all from the Qadiani history, five of them related to your grand-father, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani and five to your father Mirza Mahmud Ahmad Qadiani. Those historical facts mirrored your face and reflected on Qadianism. No surprise, when this mirror was held up to you, for looking in, you took it ill.

Now, I enumerate those ten facts and challenge you to pin-point the one which is not recorded in your Qadiani history.

DETAILS OF 5 FACTS IN RESPECT OF MIRZA GHULAM AHMAD QADIANI

FACT NO. 1

In his book, *Anjam-e-Atham*, p.282, Mirza Qadiani declared: "We would not, in future, call Ulema for a 'Mubahala'." I ask you: why in view of this categorical declaration, did you break the pledge made by your Sire-Mirza and how could you address the Ulema? It is obvious you have no reply to this tweezer of a question of mine. I told you earlier and say again that Insha Allah, you'll never be able to answer me till the day of your Doom. You are left now with no recourse and I see you wearing a countenance of run-out shame. You are sputtering at me when you say I am repeating allegations.

FACT NO. 2

In order to relate this fact, I invite your attention to a writing of your sire, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, from his book *Appendix to Anjam-e-Atham*. p.54.

"Remember, if the second part of this prediction does not come about, I should be deemed worse than every wicked". What was the second part of the prediction to which Mirza Qadiani referred? I shall tell one who may not be knowing it. It was a prediction, made by Mirza for his second marriage with an already married lady, called Muhammadi Begum; that is, when her husband would die, Muhammadi Begum, the widow, would marry Mirza Qadiani.

This was the second part of his prediction to which he referred in his above writing (in *Appendix to Anjam-e-Atham*)

But luck did not smile over Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, the dejected Romeo. The elusive bride could not be got hold of because her husband, the rival-in-love, Sultan Muhammad, did not die. Instead the angel of death came to help Mirza Ghulam Ahmad pack up, to carry him to the higher storey! Therefore, this cavalier don met no success with the dona.

I ask you, Mirza Tahir Ahmad, did Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani's second part of prediction come true?. Did Muhammadi Begum become widow in Mirza Qadiani's lifetime? No, then call him in his out-landish lingo: "Worse than every wicked. Any doubt in it? Mirza Tahir! Am I untrue?"

I am amazed, Mirza Tahir, at your rude impudence. You are coming to a Mubahala rendezvous in support of a 'worse' man. Tell me, have I concocted this comparative-degree- adjectival-epithet against your so-called 'holy personage'. Have I coined it ("worse than every wicked") or your grand-papa has himself done so? This is my second question which your entire Jamaat Qadiani, misnamed by you as Jamaat Ahmadiya, feels frustrated to reply.

FACT NO. 3.

This is an interesting episode, again from the Qadiani history.

Once, Mirza Qadiani arranged to convene a discussion with a local head of episcopal diocese, named Atham. For fifteen days, argumentations continued. When Mirza could not beat his adversary he invoked Allah for decision. He pronounced that Allah had made this decision for Atham that this liar would be thrown into 'Ha via', (Hell) within fifteen months from June 5, 1893.

Mirza predicted in these words in his book 'Junge-Muqaddas' p.293.

"I admit right now that if this prediction goes false i.e., if within fifteen months from this day, the party deemed to be liar in Allah's view does not fall into 'Ha via' as death punishment then I am prepared to undergo every type of punishment: disgrace me, blacken my face, collar a rope around my neck or hang me on the gallows. I am prepared for every thing".

Time-limit started shrinking and Qadiani Jamaat was certain that Atham would die within fifteen months because Sire Mirza Qadiani had written:

"And I swear by the greatness of Allah that He will certainly do so, will certainly do so; will certainly do so; the earth and sky may deviate but not His ordainments".

As the zero hour drew nearer and the countdown started, Mirza Qadiani madly shouted:

"If I am a liar, keep the gallows ready for me and consider me the most accursed of all the accursed persons, the evil-doers and the satans". (Jung-e-Muqaddas, last page).

During the night preceding the avowed day- break, the town of Qadian went into a drone of tumult and tribulation. Men, women, big and small rubbed their noses on the earth, lamenting:

"O Allah, Atham may die; O Allah Atham be dead!

"O Allah, send a bolt from the blue for Atham!"

They were certain Atham would not live to see the dawn of the next day. Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, on his part, worked out spells of sorcery the whole night before Atham's death and had charms recited over black grams to be thrown into blind dry wells. (Seerat-ul-Mahdi, Vol. 1: p:178; Vol. 2 p:7).

Despite all invocations, contrivances and hulla-baloo Atham saw the dawn and many more dawns for many years. Thus, Allah the Exalted, testified that:

*Mirza's prediction was not a divine revelation;

*Mirza's prediction was his imposture;

*Mirza deserved the punishment he had proposed on himself, that is,

--"Disgrace me"
 --"Blacken my face"
 --"Collar a rope around my neck"
 --"Hang me on the gallows".

Mirza Tahir Sahib, I ask you: In view of your Sire's calling himself "the most accursed of all accursed persons, the evil doers and the satans," have I used these superlative-degree adjectival- epithets about him or it was your sire grand-papa himself who used them about his own self? Are you wanting a 'Mubahala' rendezvous in support of a satan who is most accursed of all satans? Tell me, is there one single word wrong in this factual event from the Qadiani history?.

FACT NO. 4

The fourth fact from your Qadiani history is a Mubahala challenge between Mirza Qadiani and Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi (May Allah rest his soul in peace). This was held on Zeeqada 10, 1310 AH in Eidgah of Amritsar. The late Maulana's challenge was on this issue: Mirza and his Mirzais were a coterie of liars, unbelievers, heretics, impostors and Dualist-infidels. (Majmua-e- Ishtiharat Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani Vol. 1 p. 425). And Mirza Qadiani had already declared that:

"Whosoever is the liar out of the Mubahala participants, perishes during the lifetime of the truthful ' (Malfuzat Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani Vol. 9 p. 440).

In accordance with the above stipulation Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani was the liar as he perished during the lifetime of Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi on May 26, 1908, whereas the Maulana Sahib, (Allah's mercy on him), lived for 9 years after Mirza's death. (Raees-e-Qadian, Vol. 2 p. 192 and Tarikh-e-Mirza, p. 38).

Mirza Tahir Ahmad Sahib! In your letter you have defined Mubahala in these words: "To take a matter to the court of Allah, the Exalted, through invocation is named Mubahala. To supplicate Allah, the Exalted, for a decision is called Mubahala." From this definition, it is obvious that Divine Court is the supreme-most court whose decision is definite, direct and unambiguous. There can never be an iota of a mistake in it, God forbid. When, as a result of the aforesaid Mubahala, the Divine Court has already decided that Mirza Qadiani was an arch-liar, Dualist- infidel, one-eyed impostor, renegade and a Kafir, do you wish now to appeal against this decision? Remember, to reject this court's jurisdiction is kufr, to deviate from its decision is kufr, to appeal for its revision is kufr. Tell me, if there is one single word which I have uttered here is untrue. Actually, the questions I have raised cannot be answered by the whole Mirzai progeny put together.

Mirza Tahir Ahmad! If you believe in Allah and if you are not an atheist, then come out to accept Allah's Decision whole-heartedly and proclaim to the World that your grand-father was a grand-liar. If you will not do that, then

people will have no choice but to consider you a re-production of what your grand-father once said in his Majmua-e- Ishtiharat Vol. 1, p. 397.

"The Jewish people by becoming targets of execration turned into baboons and swine. In their respect it is recorded in some commentaries on the holy Quran that apparently they were humans but their inward condition had become like that of baboons and swine and receptivity for accepting truth was wholly wrested from them. This is the sign of metamorphosed inferior shapes that they cannot accept truth, even when manifestly revealed to them. "

In Zameema-e-Anjam-e-Atham, p. 21, your grandfather wrote:

"The most unclean among all the animals of the world and highly loathsome is swine but more polluted than swine are those men who hide evidence of truth and honesty due to their selfish motivation"

Incidentally, here the grandpa has exposed his grandson unwittingly!

FACT NO. 5

I refer to Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani's published notification, titled:

"The Final Decision with Maulvi Sanaullah Saheb Amritsari">

In this, Mirza implored Allah, humbly and earnestly: "O Allah! if I am truthful, destroy Maulvi Sanaullah in my lifetime, by strokes of celestial punishments like plague and cholera and if Maulvi Sanaullah Sahib is truthful and I am a liar, a knave, a mischief-monger in Your eyes, then destroy me in the lifetime of Maulana Sanaullah.

Allah, the Exalted, issued His Decision. In line with Mirza's own malediction, Allah destroyed him on May 26, 1908, through the pestilence of cholera. (Hayat-e-Nasir, p.15). But Maulana Sanaullah Sahib, (Allah's mercy on him), lived on and on for an amazingly long period of forty-one years after Mirza's doom. This Divine Decision proves again that Mirza was a liar, a knave, a trickster, and a mischief-monger, to quote his own words.

I ask you, Mirza Tahir Ahmad, have you asked me for a Mubahala rendezvous in support of this impostor? Tell me, which word in this writing of mine will you call an accusation?

Here, I turn your attention to a notable point The Quran-e-Hakeem has quoted an imprecation which was uttered by Kuffar-e-Makkah. They said:

"O Allah! if this (Deen) is indeed the truth from Thee, then rain down on us a shower of stones from the sky or send on us painful torments". (Quran, Al-Anfal 8:32)

Look at the extreme misfortune, stupidity and perverseness of the idolaters of Makkah that they did not invoke Allah for granting to them His favour. They did not say, O Allah! grant us the ability to accept the Deen, brought by

the Holy Prophet Muhammad (SAW) in case it is really from Thee. Instead, the Kafirs of Makkah said if Deen-e-Islam was genuine then stones should rain down on them or they be annihilated by Divine Punishment!

Tafseer-e-Usmani (Maktaba Rashidia, p-234) says: "Abu Jahl is reported to have invoked Allah in these words in front of Ka'ba when leaving Makkah (for the Battle of Badr). As a result, he witnessed a sample of what he had asked for".

Following the example of Kuffar-e-Makkah, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani did not say: O Allah! if I am astray in Your eyes, set me on right path and forgive me. Instead he prayed: "O Allah! if I am a liar, knave or a mischief-monger then destroy me during Maulana Sanaullah's life". Compare this self-curse of Mirza Qadiani to that of Abu Jahl. Where is the difference in the purport? Abu Jahl said: "If Muhammad (SAW) is truthful and we are liars, destroy us through bolts and stones from the sky". Mirza said: "If Maulana Sanaullah is truthful and I am a liar, destroy me in front of him". Abu Jahl got his asked-for in Badr and Mirza got his asked-for on May 26, 1908, both getting their asked-for ultimately. Does this carry any lesson for you, Mirza Tahir Ahmad Sahib, the Imam of self-styled Jamaat "Ahmadiya"?

DETAILS OF FIVE FACTS RECORDED IN QADIANI HISTORY IN RESPECT OF MIRZA MAHMUD AHMAD QADIANI

Mirza Tahir Ahmad! I have enumerated five facts recorded in Qadiani history about your 'grandpa', Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, and now enumerate a similar number selected from your Qadiani history concerning your 'daddy' Mirza Mahmud Ahmad. My purpose in doing so is to stress that the 'Dad', despite Mubahala challenges made to him for half a century, did not come forward to reply not even once; nor did any of his progeny. Therefore, clearing this outstanding debt of five decades is your first charge, Mirza Tahir Ahmad! You should settle that encumbrance first. I tell you a way out, if you are in search of one: Start with a denial of these facts and swear on Oath that Allah's wrath fall on you if you are telling a lie. Having done that you should take on the Mubahala rendezvous with Ulema of Ummat.

I am again reminded of a proverb, which runs like this: Let a winnowing fan sing if it wants to but why let a sieve which has seventy two holes, that is, if somebody else wants to run the gauntlet, he may, but not you, Mirza Tahir, who are loaded with fifty to sixty outstanding Mubahalas. Sure, you are sitting snug in mother's lap cuddling in the British manger; what has pricked you to have come out mooing!

'Don't eulogise your stained cloak too much; just look at its flap, just glance at the tunic's knot'.

FACT NO. 1

Maulana Abdul Kareem accused your father, Mirza Mahmud Ahmad, of immorality in 1927. He asked him to face him in a Mubahala but Mirza Mahmud would not. As a result, the poor soul was penalised. Attempt was

made on his life, his friend's house was put to flames and he was dragged in courts. Ultimately, he was driven out from Qadian. Till the last, Mirza Mahmud did never have any courage to face him in Mubahala. Still worse, none of the sons of the accused had the graciousness to project their father's morals. None has come forward to take oath before Allah on pain of His pestilence catching him if Abdul Kareem was true and their father immoral.

FACT NO. 2

Similar immorality charge was made by Abdur Rahman Misri in 1936. As a result, he was also maltreated and a breach of peace case was instituted against him in which this poor man gave the following statement in the court on oath:

"The present Khalifa (Mirza Mahmud) is extremely immoral. He hunts women under the guise of sainthood. For this purpose, he has kept some men and women as his agents. Through them, he gets hold of innocent girls and boys. He has formed a society in which men and women have been taken in and adultery is committed in this social get-together." (Mumtaz Ahmad Farooq, Fateh Haq, p.41).

Before proceeding further, let me highlight the background of this incident for the benefit of those who may not be knowing. This timid dotard Abdur Rahman Misri used to be a slave-like disciple of Mirza Mahmud Ahmad. As a 'Mureed', he was so foolishly obedient to his 'Peer' that he cringed about with folded arms and low gaze in front of him. Once, the 'Peer' i.e., Mirza Mahmud Ahmad, feeling lusty over his 'mureed' Misri's son, Satisfied his lust by making the boy his target on his practicing board. Misri was shocked. He gaped and marrow in his bones numbed. Collecting his fallen-out crest, he asked Mirza Mahmud to appoint a high-powered committee of Qadiani elite and said he would appear in person before the elders, to prove that this sordid and unnatural act was actually perpetrated by the Khalifa over his minor son. Instead of accepting this demand, Mirza Mahmud Ahmad Qadiani sprang up to make Misri and his friend Fakhrud Din Multani a butt of his reprisal. As a result, Misri was dragged into courts while Multani was found assassinated.

You, Mirza Tahir, have never come forward, vouching for your daddy's morals. Why not, I ask? Why have you not sworn before Allah, on pain of His wrath falling on you if this is true. Well, you can do it now. Your father Mirza Mahmud tried to side-track Misri's complaint by calling the affair an act of enmity of the hypocrites. By hypocrites, I know, he meant the Lahori party of Mirzais.

Here I digress, for an interesting episode which is recorded in Qadiani history. Once, in a Jum'a Khutba, Mirza Mahmud read out a letter he had received from a person whom he later called a hypocrite. This letter said:

"Hazrat Sire, the promised messiah (i.e., Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani) was a friend of Allah and friends of Allah commit adultery now and then only. Therefore, if the grand-sire committed adultery occasionally, so what?"

The letter further said:

"We have no objection on Hazrat grand-sire, the promised Messiah (i.e., Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani) because he committed adultery at times only but our objection is on the present Khalifa (i.e., Mirza Mahmud Ahmad Qadiani) because he commits adultery all the time!"

Mirza Mahmud Ahmad was pleased to read out this 'holiest of holy' document, brazen-faced, from a pulpit in his Jum'a Khutba. After reading it, he did not contradict its contents. He did not swear before Allah that Divine wrath might fall on him if he was an adulterer. He only passed a harmless sort of remark which is recorded in black and white and published by Qadiani newspaper Al-Fazl, dated 31st August 1938: Mirza Mahmud said:

"It appears from this objection that this person is Paighami Tab'a" (i.e. of Lahori party of Mirzais).

My digression ends here. In view of this gross charge, the readily seen conclusion is that limb by limb, Joint by joint, and pore by pore, Mirza Mahmud was a doer of unsocial, immoral and unnatural acts. Can anybody doubt the stinking fermentation of his base elements?

FACT NO. 3.

In 1956, some young men from amongst Qadianis formed a party by the name of Haqiqat Pasand Party with the object of exposing Mirza Mahmud Ahmad's evil conjurations which he performed in Samri style. They published a book called Tarikh-e-Mahmudiat. In this they collected eye-witness accounts of twenty-eight Qadiani men and women who left Qadianism because of Mirza Mahmud's immorality. All the narrations in the book are given on oath before Allah that they are not lies. Evidences therein prove that Mirza Mahmud was a very immoral person. Although Mirza Mahmud was alive those days when the book was published he dared not disprove any of those acts of his, to the extent that when they were repeated in subsequent writings none of his progeny challenged them. How about you, Mirza Tahir, to pick up the gauntlet now? But I know you wouldn't do so. This betrays that your criminal conscience abets it. Having been challenged for so many Mubahalas, as if they were oozings of mother's milk for your suckling, you have now come forward, on your own, asking for a Mubahala.

These facts which I have given are from Qadiani household after 1937 but previous to this there have been reports of dissolute acts of the Don Juan (i.e., Mirza Mahmud Ahmad) in his student life and of his adolescent waywardness.

Is it not from your history that your grandfather, (the counterfeit messiah, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani), once set up an enquiry against your father (Mirza Mahmud Ahmad) for his juvenile delinquency but your father escaped punishment for lack of four eye-witnesses to his actual acts?

Mumtaz Ahmad Farooq, in 'Fateh Haq' has also described the above episode: How Mirza Mahmud Ahmad had sunk into sexy involvements from the very

start of his puberty. ~His father, (i.e., Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani), once instituted an enquiry against him on receiving reports of his committing immoral acts. There were four persons appointed to this commission of enquiry: Maulvi Noorud Din, Khawja Kamal ud Din, Maulvi Muhammad Ali and Maulvi Sher Ali. When the commission met to enquire, the mother of the accused presented herself secretly before them and held out front flap of her shirt supplicating before the commissioners. Admitting his guilt she begged for mercy on behalf of her son. She pleaded to them that his sinful son, if caught, would be turned out of the house by his father. As a result of this inter-cession by your grandmother, these "four sententious pundits acquitted the accused under cover of Qadiani Fiqah.

As luck would have it, Allah punished these four exponents of Qadiani Shariat at the very hands of this very 'accused' when he took over the reins of Khalifaship later. Mirza Muhammad Hussain in his book, Fitnn-e-Inkar-e-Khatm-e-Nubuwwat, has apprised us of this event.

FACT NO. 4

The fourth fact, recorded in your Qadiani history, Mirza Tahir Ahmad, is about the manner in which both your father and grandfather tumbled out of this world. Here I put a question to you. Would you not say that their deaths were in the nature of a Divine Warning given to mankind? If you say they were not, OK, then would you pray to Allah to afford you the same type of ouster from the world. This question I had put to you earlier also but you did not reply because where are your guts for it! I appreciate your reasons. You are scared of that death-bed agony: into hell before hell. But would you not allow the people of the world an opportunity to see the spectacle of Allah teaching you a lesson? You could still provide the world some fun if you Published an answer to my question.

FACT NO. 5

I have drawn your attention to a number of challenge made by my renowned friend, Maulana Manzoor Ahmad Chinioti, first to your father as long he was alive and then to your brother Mirza Nasir and after his death to you lastly. I should say he has been holding annual anniversaries of these challenges. To ensure, he sends these challenges to Qadiani leaders by registered post and in every meeting which he addresses, he speaks from public platform in these words:

'Swear by Allah that you or your daddy were never an active subject or a passive object of an immoral act'?

Your father and your brother did not have the courage to answer. Now your good self too lack sufficient pluck.

Blind the evil eye! Have you invited Ulema for a Mubahala on behalf of such unsanctimonious persons! Mirza Tahir Ahmad Sahib, these are not blames. These are facts which you and your family cannot deny till your Doomsday.

These are bright like the sun but you- Secretary Sahib, blind like bat, writes to me:

'You have tried to put foul charges over holy personages'

Libertines, holy personages? O Allah protect us! Was Mirza Ghulam Ahmad holy? Was Mirza Mahmud Ahmad holy?

I refer to a 'Fatwa', given by your Mufti of Qadian to a person named Muhammad Hussain Qadiani. He had asked: 'Why does holy sire (i.e., Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani) has his arms and legs pressed by unrelated women? The (Mufti) Chief jurist of Qadianism replied:

"He is an innocent prophet. Touching and caressing with him is not prohibited; it's rather a source of blessing and auspiciousness (Ret. Newspaper Al-Hakm, Vol.11, No:13, p.13, dt. 17th April, 1907). Compare this with Mirza's own denial of innocence on page 5 of his book, Karamat-a-Sadiqeen:

"Sorry that Batalvi Sahib did not understand that myself or any human can ever claim to be innocent after the prophets, Allah's benediction on them all" ! But your Secretary Sahib's remarks uphold even Mirza Mahmud as innocent and holy! All greatness to Allah!

It will not be out of place, if I refer to a book titled, Hamarey Ismaili mazhab ki haqiqat aur us ka nizam, by Doctor Zahid Ali in which Doctor Sahib, on page 363 quotes a standing order from an Ismaili Imam, namely, Qazi Noman Bin Muhammad, as follows:

"If you see the Imam doing adultery with your own eyes or see him drinking wine or committing acts of lewdness, don't let your heart or tongue consider those acts as unapproved and don't doubt their correctness and righteousness at all".

It appears your Secretary Sahib and the choicest Qadianis like him have been tutored on the lines of the aforesaid Imam, to consider their Khalifa holy despite the Khalifa's lechery, despite his adultery and unnatural acts.

When men and women have produced firm evidences of immorality against Mirza Mahmud and when the latter's off-springs have kept mum, the facts stand verified. But for the Secretary Sahib Mirza Mahmud is holy! Whereas Allah decided that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani was a liar, Kafir, apostate, impostor, disgraceful, contemptible 'worse then every wicked' and sire of the clan of accursed satans; your Secretary Sahib considers these epithets sanctimonious! In other words, "My 'peer' is only a wee bit cheat, otherwise he is a Perfect saint"!

I call your attention to a recent communication sent by Janab Hafiz Bashir Ahmad Masri which is a rejoinder to your Mubahala pamphlet. He summarised in it acts of profligacy of your household thus:

The elder son of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, that is Mirza Mahmud (your father) was a habitual adulterer, having affairs with married women and unmarried girls, to the extent that he committed incest with the forbidden womenfolk of his household.

Both the brothers of Mirza Mahmud, i.e., Mirza Bashir Ahmad and Mirza Shareef Ahmad were habitual sodomites and particularly the young were their targets.

The maternal uncle of Mirza Mahmud, by name Meer Muhammad Ishaq too was a habitual sodomite and innocent school-going children in particular were his victims.

In short, dignitaries of Qadiani hierarchy satisfied their sexual lust, feeling free of any social or moral restraints.

"The whole house gleamed with luminaries!"

Mirza Tahir Ahmad Sahib, you cannot get away by brushing these historical facts calling them unfounded allegations. Unless you absolve yourself from the charges preferred against your father, you cannot brazen it out through your 'Mubahala' challenge.

POINT NO. 2

Your Secretary Sahib writes: "Allah the Exalted is continuing to give day by day advancement to the high order Ahmadiya whose foundations Mirza Sahib laid in 1889 and auspicious souls in large numbers are entering this holy order but the blind like you are still groping in the dark".

Mirza Tahir Ahmad it is stupid to call numerical increase of your party a proof of Divine support. I give you six explanations of which you are ignorant.

Explanation No. 1

Why look at the increase alone. Look to which side it is tilting, whether towards virtuosity or towards evil. If it is towards the former then it is a happy augury otherwise don't be happy because it is an indication of a let-up by Allah for a subsequent pull-up. Initially offenders are warned. When they don't take heed, a let-up starts, that is, Allah allows them some latitude to develop.

Therefore Allah is pleased to say:

(Translated by Mirza Mahmud himself, in his Tafseer-e-Sagheer, in Urdu, whose English rendering is:

"Then leave me and leave those who belie this Book (i.e., don't go punishing them yourself). We shall pull them towards gradual annihilation from sides they wouldn't know and I will permit them a latitude (i.e., don't pray for their destruction). My contrivance is very strong (that will ultimately put them to destruction).

In Sura 'Al-Nisa': 115, Allah is further pleased to say:
(Translated by Mirza Mahmud, in his Tafseer-e-Saghir, in Urdu, whose English rendering is:

"And if whosoever to whom guidance has been fully unfolded goes on opposing (this) prophet and follows a path other than the path of believers, We shall set him after the same thing that he is doggedly pursuing and will cast him in Hell and that is a very bad abode"

Allah has made apparent Mirza Ghulam Ahmad's imposture many times, and not only that, the pot of Mirza Mahmud's fake piousness bangburst not once but several times on Qadiani and Rabwah crossroads. Simultaneously, your party has been thrown into different types of torments and troubles. As a result your father had to run away secretly from Qadian and your good self, too, following his footsteps flew from Pakistan, incognito. You ran helter-skelter to London, straight into the lap of your foster-mother, so fast that you drew a second breath only after arriving there. Why you don't take heed from me that Allah has provided you some let-ups. In His Divine Prudence He has extended your rope for a short run. Now don't misconstrue your noose loosening. It amounts to no progress, advancement or increase of your Order of Qadianis, in any tangible sense. Therefore don't measure yourself by yardstick of numerical increase. Distinguish between profligacy and Divine Approbation.

In this world, Allah affords equal opportunities for truth and untruth to develop. This is the nature of His Law. Those who cannot discriminate between inflation and progress are victims of mutilated psyche. I give you an instance Atheism is plentiful today in the world; Satanic forces are doubling up. Evil is going up by leaps and bounds Will any wise man call this abundance a proof of Divine support?. Is increase of atheism an argument for its godliness? Hence, don't puff yourself up over flatulence of Qadiani numbers. Gaseous expansions in the belly are not a sign of health. Remember artificial stones cannot hide their identity from the eyes of a connoisseur. Animal-cules multiply after rainy seasons

Explanation No. 2

The manner in which your party has manoeuvred to enlarge its numbers corroborates its shady nature. In support of my argument I ask you to turn to the claim which your grandfather Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani made. He said that he was the same promised messiah about whom Allah's Prophet (SAW) has foretold.

Let us now recount as to what conspicuous deeds that would-be Messiah shall be performing when he will come into the world. For this purpose, I quote none but the actual words of your father, Mirza Mahmud Ahmad written in his book Haqiqat ul nubuwwat. He says, Allah's Prophet (SAW) used these words:

"Prophets are like brothers-in-affinity. Their Mothers are different but Deen is one. And I have the closest connection with Isa bin Mariam because in

between him and myself there is no prophet and he is about to come down. Therefore when you see him, recognise him that he is even-statured, red white mixed Complexion, wearing yellow clothes. Water shall be dropping from his head although water will not have been shed over the head. He will break the cross, kill the swine and will forsake 'jizia' and will invite people to Islam. In his times, all religions will be dead and only Islam will exist. Lions and camels, leopards and cows, wolves and goats will graze about together. (children will play with snakes and they will not harm them. Isa bin Mariam will remain on earth for forty years and then he will die and Musalmans will offer his funeral prayers".

(Mirza Mahmud, Haqiqat un Nubuwwat, p. 192).

Out of so many signs that have been foretold is there a single one which can be microscopically traced into the personality of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani in his life or deeds he performed?. Surely not. Then what sort of a counterfeit messiah is Mirza Qadiani in whom not a single micro indication is present. Just look at one fore-telling by the holy Prophet (SAW) that in Messiah's times all religions will be dead and only Islam will exist. But during the false messiahship of Mirza Qadiani what to say of all the world religions getting extinct, there was one mosque in Qadian which had gone under Sikh possession but which could not be liberated by him. It is now eighty years that he died but his followers are not even as much as 'salt in flour'. Even sweepers are more in numbers than the Qadianis.

Tell me now, are you still proud of your numbers?. If your unrelenting presumptuousness prevents you from opening your eyes and prompts you to boast I can only repeat what Allah's Prophet (SAW) said:

"When you become shameless then do what you like".

Explanation No. 3

Mirza Tahir Ahmad Sahib, I shall now beat you over statistics because I have every sort of arrow in my quiver with which I shall lay your Jamaat flat on every ground.

If your supposed numerical abundance is an indication of Allah's support to the religion of Qadianis, then this support is available more to the Christians. Actually, it was your ill-omened Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani who helped the Christians to achieve numerical superiority over your people. I give population figures of Christians in the very home district of Mirza Qadiani, that is district of Gurdaspur (India), taken from Muhammadia pocket-book.

Year --- Numbers

1891 --- 2400

1901 --- 4471

1911 --- 2336

1921 --- 32832

1931 --- 43243

NOTE: Even during 1891 to 1911 when Mirza was a contemporary figure till

1908, 'busy in eradicating Christianity' the Christians multiplied tenfold from 2400 to 23365!

Your daily newspaper, 'Al-Fazl: Qadian, dated 19th June, 1941, says: "Daily 224 men of different religions in India are becoming Christians". This means 81,760 men were converted annually. If this is calculated from 1941, it works out to 39,24,480 individuals during the last 48 years.

The increase in Christian population in Pakistan is occasionally published. It is said Christians were 80,000 in both wings of Pakistan at the time of Partition in 1947. In 1951, they were 432,000 in West Pakistan which increased to 584,000 by 1961 and 908,000 by 1972. The President and Secretary of Christian Organisation claimed a population of 6 million in 1976. At this rate how many more might have been added to it by 1989, you can imagine.

Recently a report came from Bangladesh that 500000 left Islam to join Christianity. For the African countries, you are not ignorant. Over there, whole populations and settlements fell en block into missionary nets. Keeping these statistics in front of you tell me if numerical strength of your party has any proportion worth the name; not even a distant comparison. Therefore, if you call your numbers a proof of Divine support to your Qadianism, can not the Christians bring the same argument several times stronger and do the Christians not have a right to assert forcefully: auspicious souls are joining the holy Order of Jesus Christ in large numbers but blind Mirzais are still groping in the dark?. If you don't think them auspicious then, by the same measure, Qadiani converts are not auspicious either; nay, they are perpetual wretches, having fallen a prey into the claws of Qadiani Mirza, the Dualist-infidel, and having left the cloak of mercy of Muhammad (SAW) (a blessing for the entire universe) by preferring Qadiani execration to Islamic blessing.

Explanation No. 4

The numerical advancement of Christianity as mentioned above, is not a proof that it is enjoying Divine support but it is a proof that Mirza Qadiani was an arch-liar because due to his arrogant claim of messiahship, he took upon himself the job of breaking the 'Cross' of Christianity. He wrote to Qazi Nazar Hussain, editor of newspaper 'Qul Qut' Bijnor (India):

"My work for which I am standing in this ground is none but this that I will shatter the pillar of Isa worship and will spread Monotheism instead of Trinity and exhibit the eminence and dignity of the holy Prophet (SAW) to the world. Hence. if even millions of signs may appear from me but this real work does not come to pass through me, then I am a liar. Therefore, why is the world hostile to me and why does it not see my accomplishment? If I succ

Tj-0.04608 Tc () Tj0 9t h

The world saw the finale of this fake sire: It is now eighty years after his death, the pillar of Isa worship has not yet crumbled, faith in Trinity has not yielded to monotheism and Christianity has seen no impediments; only Mirza's loquacity has gone bottom-side up! It has helped to spiral a greater ascendancy for the Christians. In consequence, the world must go on calling Mirza, as he wished to be called, a liar, a liar, a liar, till his Day of Reckoning!

Explanation No. 5

In order to strike awe into guileless folk, your technique has always been to put forth false statements. Inter alia, you produce incorrect statistics and give out those figures which you have yourself fabricated. This habit of telling lies is not a recent innovation. The sire Mirza Qadian was a past master at fabricating lies. I say nothing without proofs. Contradict me if I am wrong.

Mirza, the god-father of the progeny of liars, gave the number of the Qadianis in several books as follows:

Tuhaftul-Nadwa p.8; Oct 1902. Followers numbered at 100000

Mawahib-ur-Rahman p.87; Jan 1903. Followers numbered 200000

Haqiqat-ul-Wahi p.117; 1905. Disciples number 400000

Al-Istifta Haqiqat-ul-Wahi p.32. Followers uncountable

The last entry is interesting. It may be related that once a Qadiani propagandist, from whom the German Herr Goebbles perhaps learnt the art of concoction, wrote a letter to Mirza from Alexandria (Egypt) in Arabic:

"Your followers have become numerous in this country (Egypt) and they are as many as pebbles and particles of sand. Not a single man is left here who has not acted upon your advice and not followed your helpers".

To continue with my comments, if somebody did really write this humbug from Alexandria (Egypt), Mirza Qadiani lost no time to proclaim it as his miracle. I am reminded here of a very suitable saying: the stupid believed what the knave said. But I ask, where is this milliard of multitude today who were 'like pebbles and particles of sand' in Egypt?

The aforesaid were Mirza's machinations. Now see some later manufacturings regarding Qadiani population which I enumerate:

YEAR-----SOURCE-----NUMBER
1927-28 ----- Newspaper 'Mubahala'----- 100000
1930 ----- Qadiani author of 'Qaukab Durry' ----- 200000
1932 -----Qadiani debater in MUNAZIRA BASIRA ----- 500000

Against this sky-rocketing graph the census of 1931 counted only fifty-five thousand heads in the Punjab including the Lahori party. Mirza Mahmud Ahmad Qadiani, while accepting this figure commented as follows:

"You suppose, there live another twenty thousand men of our party in the rest of India".(Qadiani Mazhab, p.513).

Thus to call seventy-five thousand as 7,500000 ten-thousand per cent lie. Herr Goebbles couldn't have touched these heights. Mirza Tahir, better not talk statistics with me.

After establishment of Pakistan, your party gave a false impression to the world that real sovereignty in Pakistan lay with 'Ameerul Momineen'(!) Mirza Mahmud Ahmad and Pakistani rulers were merely his representatives. I got a proof of it personally when I went on a tour to Indonesia where I was told that Qadianis had made it known that all Pakistanis were 'Ahmadis'. Therefore anybody going from Pakistan to Indonesia in those days was taken to be a Qadiani. This misunderstanding was later removed.

Not many years back, your party alleged that Qadianis were one million in the world against seventy-two million Muslims. This was a hidden hint towards a holy saying by the holy Prophet (SAW), "That there shall be seventy-three sects of Muslims out of which only one will reach salvation and the rest seventy-two will be consigned to Hell". Thus the Qadianis say that they are one million and the Muslims are seventy-two million and therefore the Qadianis will go to Heaven and the Muslims will go to Hell!!

This artifice got quashed when figures for the 1981 Census were released. Reference, Newspaper 'Jung', Karachi, 13th July, 1984. The Qadianis were counted at 1,04,244. If the rest of the world is taken into account there may be another one hundred thousand elsewhere.

Therefore, you twisted the possible 200000 to one million. I have just heard the latest. The graph has jumped from one million to one and a half million, i.e., 50% increase! Obviously, Mirza Tahir Ahmad, you are cool and composed over this situation, because who is going to put your figures to a test. You may go on bawling out your wares as pompously as you like. But an anecdote comes to my mind here. They say, a rustic posed a question to a mathematician, once: "Sir, you are renowned for your mathematics, tell me where is the centre of the earth"? The pundit looked askance. The rustic jeered: "Pooh! I am illiterate but I know". Saying this he took his stick and drew a circle on the ground as best as he could. Planting the stick in the middle of his drawing he said. "This is the earth's centre. If you say no, then go and measure all sides of the earth".

Just as the rustic knew he could not be challenged because no one could measure the radius of the earth from his chosen centre, similarly you too are comfortable in your bombastics. You know that nobody would count the Qadiani population to put you to nought. True, the mule of falsehood can move with the lash of lies only. To exult over untruths befits the slaves of the pseudo-prophet.

"Verily Allah does not confer guidance on those who are immoderate and liars".

Explanation No. 6

Your manner of preaching is not in consonance with that of the prophets, (Allah's peace on them). Your principles resemble the "Ismaili Batini" principles, details of which Hazrat Shah Abdul Aziz Sahib Muhaddis Dehlavi has mentioned in his book, *Tuhfa-e-Athna-e-Ashriya*, and your modus operandi is like that of Christian missionaries, namely, setting up missionary schools, colleges, hospitals and similar institutions. You offer employment to converts, provide them girls for marriage and give them day-to-day things of life. Money is doled out and you resort to all sorts of unhealthy practices. To effectuate this, you spin webs of lies, falsehoods and exaggerations. Unwary public in this way is misled by deceit played craftily on them under cover of benefiting them with scientific technology.

If you go through the holy lives of prophets, (Allah's blessing on them), you shall find none of this crookedness. There is no greed, no worldly motive, no propaganda stunt. These holy personages invited people to perform virtuous deeds on the basis of benefits accruable in the Hereafter as the be-all and end-all.

Conduct and Life-style of Holy Prophets

By way of a summary in *Khatam un Nabieen* (par a No.130), Hazrat Imam ul Asr Maulana Muhammad Anwar Shah Kashmiri, (Allah's mercy on him), has commented on the lives of the Prophets as lived by them. For your edification, I produce a translation of an excerpt from it.

He says: "We should study the holy conduct and sacred disposition of the respected prophets in their life-histories and the Quran Hakeem. If one analyses whatever is mentioned in their respect by way of questions and answers it shall be seen that matters pertaining to these personages were based on the following virtues:

"Reliance, confidence, uprightness, sub- mission, endurance, self-restraint, resoluteness, magnanimity, modesty, constancy, mildness, dignity, nobleness, excellence, generosity, penitence, repentance, sincerity, selflessness, purity, wisdom, mercy, attachment, temperateness in beliefs, coolness of bosom, credence and intelligence like the dawning day, love and compassion for Creation, trustworthy, truthful, trustee, kind, clement, chaste, abstinent, neat, clean, abstemious, recouring to Allah, faith in invisible resources, disinclined towards worldly deliciousness in all eventualities, adhering to Allah and forsaking the rest, disregard of worldly goods, unconcerned with riches, embarking on heritage of knowledge, dismissing mundane trifles or money, leaving legacy of learning and noble conduct, abandoning the superfluous, guarding their tongue, supporting the truth in all cases and circumstances, and following it up. Their outward appearance conforms to their inward state, without any breach, deviation or derangement. They don't advance lame excuses, improper pleas or perverse interpretations, and do not resort to deceitful stratagems for fulfilling their tasks. They prefer Allah's ways over worldly contrivances, shun material connections, adore Allah in misfortunes, thanksgiving and hymning Him in multitudinous situations, remember Him all the time, recite His Praise every

breath, train and teach Allah-gifted knowledge to people under His Favour with human affability without philosophizing or sermonizing. In them is found complete surrender, total obeisance, perfect servitude to Allah and a satisfaction deeply imbedded in constancy. These personages, during their life in the world, never entertained flattery, never compromised with unbelievers, never retreated under any pharaonic intimidation. Greed, covetousness or avidity never stigmatised their holy cloak, not an invisible stain even. Never were they attracted towards desire, lust, ambition or egotism, except Allah's love. Virtuous deeds, by their performance or knowledge, saw no variation from them. Argumentation, refutation and altercation were not their practice. They never blamed people nor lampooned them nor passed derogatory remarks nor abused them. Impossible, if they ever felt proud over their perfections or indulged in self-admiration! They never stooped to bragging or boasting under promptings of selfishness. In short, Divine favour was granted to them by Allah. Whatever they did was due to Allah's bounty, beyond personal parameter and sans any human achievement".

Mirza Tahir Sahib! not a single quality quoted above is visible in your pseudo-prophet. The inverted manner of your preachings and your diametrically opposed methods are irrefutable proofs of your voidness. But what lesson can those, who are unable to identify black from white even in broad daylight, draw from true prophetic demeanour.

POINT NO. 3

Your Secretary Sahib writes: "If there was one bit of nobility in you, you should have accepted the challenge of Mubahala of Imam Jamaat Ahmadiya in a straightforward manner so that the world would have known that you are truthful and you would not have thought of undertaking the path of flight" .

In this sentence you have passed two strictures on me, one, that there is not a bit of nobility in me and secondly, that I have undertaken the path of flight.

For the former, I don't need any certificate of nobility from you. Still, if you say I am ignoble, I don't mind to be called so because do I not know that you have sprouted from spill-overs of Mirza Qadian, the same Mirza whose habit was to use filthy language against his adversaries. Some of his abuses are listed in the book, Mughallazat-e- Mirza and what people thought of him, I quote: "For use of bawdy terms, obscene words, foul language, abuses and curses, Mirzaji had obtained exclusive right from the king's court. He is an acknowledged adept in this art". (Page 70).

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad abused his opponents in such terms as: dogs, sons of bitch, swine and addressed them as bastards, sons of prostitutes, etc. Therefore if the Jamaat of this 'Noble' patriarch calls me ignoble, thanks indeed for this light epithet.

In this context, I tell you now, Mirza Tahir, about a certain mirror which nobody else but your own papagrandee manufactured. How did it get manufactured, I tell you about it. It started like this There was a gentleman by name, Shaikh Akbar (Allah's mercy on him). He wrote a book Fusus ul Hikam in which he made certain predictions regarding the final birth in mankind. Explaining the contents of this book your grandpa wrote these words:

"And the final man to be born in mankind shall be a follower of Hazrat Sheesh, (Allah's peace on him). This person will be a repository of secrets of Hazrat Sheesh. After the birth of this person none will be born in this mankind. Along with him, a sister shall also be born who shall precede him in birth and his head will joint the feet of the baby girl His birth shall be in China and his language shall be the tongue of his town and (after his birth) the disease of unproductivity will creep in men and women, that is, there shall be numerous marriages but no birth of children. This child (when grown up) will call people towards Allah the Exalted but nobody would listen to him" (Tiryaqul Quloob, p.3S4).

Mirza Qadiani's old habit was that when any type of prediction caught his eye he would mould it upon himself. Therefore, having read the prediction of Shaikh Akbar, he declared that this related to the 'promised Messiah'. Since he claimed messiahship for himself he announced that the prediction should be related to him. But, in order to do so, one problem arose: the child would be the last of the mankind with no more births after him and after his birth all men and women would go barren. How could this prediction fit upon Mirza because generations and lineages not only continued during Mirza's times but are also still continuing. To sort out this anomaly, Mirza reached the height of his foolishness when he explicated the prediction thus:

"The prediction contains these words also that after the death of that child, the disease of sterility will infect mankind. It means those born later will resemble animals and beasts but real humanity will have disappeared from the face of the earth. Lawful will not be lawful for them (i.e., in the subsequent progeny of mankind and prohibitions will not be prohibitions. Therefore, Resurrection will set upon them". (Ibid p.355).

This explication from Mirza Qadiani means that after his own death (1) those born in mankind shall be without any civility or human virtue; (2) they will resemble beasts and brutes; (3) they will not discriminate between lawful and unlawful; (4) that commotional distress of the Doomsday will devolve over them. Mirza Tahir Sahib, I add nothing to it from my side. What can I and who am I? It is your own grandpa who has called you so and so. I'll merely request you kindly to figure out the face of your Secretary sahib in this mirror and don't forget to recognise the beastly countenance of your good self too in this mirror which your sire, the papa-grandee manufactured through his evil genius.

I comment on the situation for the benefit of the Qadianis. If Mirza Qadiani is the promised Messiah, if people born after his death are brutes, if no human excellence is left in them except savagery then establish your own status, Mirza Tahir Sahib, and along with yours, the status of your party individuals who were born after Mirza Qadiani's death on 26th May 1908.

Mirza Tahir Sahib, I am sorry your grandpa has included you and all Qadianis in the category of savages and brutes. He has made you and all Qadianis outcasts from humanity. Now there are two alternatives before you all: If you and your Qadianis want to get back into the fold of humanity then deny your sire grandpa's Messiahship; otherwise second choice for you and for all Qadianis is that you prefer to remain beasts, in terms spoken by your grandpa, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, in his book as I have quoted him. In case your choice and the choice of all Qadianis is to remain beasts then none of you shall have any civility or human virtue and lawful will not be lawful and unlawful will not be unlawful for you all. Tell me now, can such human-faced animals possess nobility! An animal because he is an animal has no concept of legalities and, therefore, is unable to discriminate between lawful and unlawful, such as between wife and daughter. Therefore, if I am censured as a person who does not possess an atom of nobility how can I take it ill from the mouth of beastly men?

The second blame which your Secretary Sahib has placed on me is that I am undertaking a path of flight from Mubahala rendezvous. If a person has read those of my words which were written in prominent characters in my original reply to you (vide Reply to Mirza Tahir's Challenge of Mubahala, p.27), he must say you are a great liar because, having accepted your Mubahala Challenge, I had addressed you thus:

'FAQIR VERSUS FASCIST'

"COME! FACE THIS FAQIR IN THE GROUND OF MUBAHALA. COME! WIDEN YOUR EYES AND OPENLY SEE THE SPECTACLE OF MY KIND MASTER'S MANIFESTATION OF TERRIBLE WRATH AND IREFUL INDIGNATION FALLING ON YOU. ALLAH'S PROPHET (SAW) SAID THAT IF CHRISTIANS OF NAJLAN HAD COME OUT FOR MUBAHALA NOT ONE BIRD ON THEIR TREES WOULD HAVE SURVIVED. COME! COME OUT TO SEE AGAIN THE MIRACLE OF KHATME NUBUWWAT OF ALLAH'S PROPHET (SAW) ON THE GROUND OF MUBAHALA.

After that, I had predicted your flight from my challenge. "because you know that will mean your Jumping into the inferno of leaping fire that will lick every thing. On the contrary you would surely prefer doing the way your father and grandfather did, rather than stepping into the arena of Mubahala~ against this humble Ummati of Allah's Prophet (SAW).

I am glad my prediction of your flight came true. If there is any bit of honour left in you, it is time you jump into the arena, to show at least this much to the world that my prediction had gone untrue. But, alas! Where is that jot of inviolability or that particle of sincerity in the spillovers of the arch-liar

pseudo-Messiah. It is futile to have any expectation from you. Yet, millions of thanks to Allah that my shout-out browbeat you, sending shivers running down the bones of the sham Messiah's progeny; fear and anxiety gnawed their hearts at the spectre of my Mubahala with Mirza Tahir. I repeat, your falling into jitters is not due to any excellence on my part because I am rather an unworthy and incapable ummati but this awe is a miracle of the truthfulness of our Prophet (SAW) (My life in sacrifice for him (SAW)).

"Say, Truth has come and Falsehood has vanished away. Verily Falsehood is ever bound to perish. (Quran, Al-Isra, 17:81)

POINT NO. 4

Your Secretary Sahib writes: "Mubahala is the name of asking for decision through invocation from Allah, the Exalted, but gathering together of parties (to dispute) at some specified place is not necessary by the (interpretation) of the verse of Mubahala. Therefore, your asking to come out at Minar-e-Pakistan or at some other place on such and such date at such and such time means nothing but your fleeing away from Mubahala"?

I have just said that I am shouting out at Mirza's progeny to come into the arena of Mubahala but they do not. Therefore, who is running away from the ring? Bravo! Your shamelessness! You are running away yourself and while running you say I am running away. Clever is the thief who, when the house-inmates wake up and shout "thief, thief", also starts shouting "thief, thief" and thus disappears in darkness. But Mirza Tahir, your cleverness will no more pay you dividend. Darkness of night is gone and light of morning has appeared. The face of arch-liar Messiah's progeny has been search-lighted.

I have written that the Ayat of Quran Hakeem and holy actions of the Prophets (SAW) both confirm that the manner of holding a Mubahala requires the two parties to bring out their children, women and relatives, in an open ground face to face. But what is the use of quoting references to you because you have neither any faith in the Quran nor you give any credence to the holy actions of the personality to whom the Quran was revealed (SAW). Therefore, you coined a new sense to the word Mubahala for the sake of your advantage.

But I will not stop at only saying that, since there is a proverb which says: take the liar to his mother's house. But, I take you to your grandfather's house. What did your dear grandpa do? He declared that parties to a Mubahala must meet by appointment at some fixed place and time, for a face-to-face confrontation. Listen intently! Here are three examples set by your grandpa if you have some faith in him.

Example No. 1

In 1886, Mirza Qadiani, your grandfather, invited the Aryans to a Mubahala and wrote:

"If some Arya (Samaji) having read this whole pamphlet of ours does not want to leave his obstinacy and does not turn back from his blasphemies,

then on a token received from Allah the Exalted we call him towards Mubahala". (Surma-e-chashm Arya, p.280).

Again in the same book on pages 300-301, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad wrote:

Last resort is Mubahala to which we have referred earlier. For Mubahala, it is not necessary to be a reader of the Veda (Hindu religious book). Yes, he should be a well-mannered renowned Arya who may impress others also. Hence, if they really consider these teachings of the Vedas as really correct and true about which we have written in this pamphlet to some extent and they deem those principles and teachings of Quran-Shareef written by us in this very pamphlet as wrong and untrue then they may do Mubahala with us in this regard. **AND HAVING DECIDED SOME SPOT FOR MUBAHALA BY MUTUAL AGREEMENT THE TWO PARTIES MAY PRESENT THEMSELVES ON THE FIXED DATE AT THAT PLACE".**

Example No. 2

In 1896, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad invited the Christians to a 'Mubahala' and wrote:

"And the manner of operation for obtaining Divine Decision will be like this that one respectable padre sahib, selected out of the following padrees **BE READY TO COME AGAINST ME IN THE GROUND OF CONTEST FIXED BY MUTUAL AGREEMENT AND AFTER THAT WE BOTH PRESENT OURSELVES AT THE DECIDED SPOT ALONG WITH OUR RESPECTIVE PARTIES** and beseech Allah, the Exalted, by invoking him that whoever person deserves punishment out of we two as a liar in His Eyes, then, Allah, the Exalted, may send down that calamity on the liar which is always sent on liars and deceitful peoples". (Anjam-e-Atham, p.40).

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad further writes on page 42 "Therefore, O Padrees! See, I am Standing for this work. If you want that distinction should become apparent between a liar and the truthful through Allah's Command and Divine Decision **THEN COME! SO THAT WE FIGHT AGAINST EACH OTHER IN ONE GROUND THROUGH INVOCATIONS** in order that the liar is exposed">

At another place Mirza Ghulam Ahmad writes:

"If the Christians hate the word 'accursed', then let it go, but let both parties supplicate: 'O Lord of the worlds... O Omnipotent, decide between these two parties in this way that out of the two parties **WHO AT THIS TIME ARE PRESENT IN THE GROUND OF MUBAHALA**, the party who places confidence in false faith, destroy that (party) within one year by great punishment because dying of some persons is better for the sake of salvation of the whole world". (Anjam-e-Atham, p.33)

Example No. 3.

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani invited dignified Ulema of Muslim Ummah in these words:

"Now turning my discourse again towards the real objective, I enter below names of those Maulvis whom I have called for a Mubahala and again I put them under oath of Allah, High is His Disposition, that they should COME SOON IN THE GROUND OF MUBAHALA BY FIXING A DATE AND TIME OF MUBAHALA, and if they do not come and do not abstain from making accusations of falsehood and infidelity then they will die under Allah's execration".

(Anjam-e-Atham, pp.68-69).

Mirza Tahir Sahib! You may now learn a lesson from the summary Or the above three examples:

Your grandfather, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani:

1. Calls the Aryans into a Mubahala ground;
2. Calls the Christians to assemble in a Mubahala ground for fighting with invocations to obtain Divine Decision)
3. Calls Muslim Maulvis to enter into a Mubahala ground urgently by fixing a date and place.

And you, Mirza Tahir Ahmad, don't understand 'tokens' which your grandpa received from Allah; you don't place confidence in Divine Decision invoked by your grandpa; nor do you pay respect to Oaths and swearings of your Papa grandee.

Therefore, I say, you are avoiding to fix a day for Mubahala or to fix a venue for it or to come out into the open ground. Hence according to your grand papa, "if they do not come they will die under Allah's execration". Tell me now, who will become a target of Allah's execration? If you don't believe in Quran Hakeem, OK; if actions of the holy Prophet (SAW) are no arguments for you, OK; but you should have faith in predictions of your grandpa at least. How will you escape that torture which he has foretold?

You maintain: "It is not necessary by Ayaat of Mubahala, for parties in dispute to assemble at some specified place". But why don't you remember that in your Qadiani Quran, viz., Tazkirah, your grandfather explicated the Ayaat of Mubahala in these words:

"And people said that this book is full of untruth and Kufr; tell them: Come! We and you along with our sons, women, and relatives assemble at one place and then do Mubahala and invoke imprecation upon the liars".

You see, in the Qadiani Quran of your grandpa the same sense of Mubahala rendezvous has been adopted which I hold, i.e., both parties with their relatives should collect at one place to curse the liars. Yet your Secretary Sahib writes otherwise:

"Our belief is that Allah is present everywhere in the universe and from His Grasp of Authority no place is outside and no spot without His Sway and Might. His seat circumscribes earth and sky. Why do you hesitate to address

Allah and pronounce His Curse on the liars and why do you consider the presence of the concerned parties is necessary".

In reply I lay down five points:

Firstly: Permit me to say, you have no belief in Allah, no belief in his Prophet (SAW) no belief in Quran, no belief even in Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani-- your grandfather! If you had belief in Allah you would not have annulled His Command for meeting your opponents at one place in Mubahala. If you had belief in the Prophet (SAW) you would not have ignored his action by not coming out of your house. If you had belief in your own sire, the grand-papa, you would not have missed any chance in protecting his dignity from indignity, his honour from dishonour, which he caused upon himself through his unbridled abusive honks.

Secondly: Don't side-track! Who denies Omnipresence and Omniscience of Allah? Point is not this; the point is: Is it not necessary for parties-in- dispute to meet at one place? Can there be Laan between husband and wife unless both face each other; can there be Nikah (marriage) unless both parties are present, personally or by proxy; can a law-suit proceed, unless both plaintiff and defendant are present in the court personally or through advocates. On this analogy, Mubahala is also asking Allah for His Decision on the imprecation of the two opposing parties? Then, why is their facing each other at one place deemed unnecessary?

Thirdly: A Qadiani must mould Quran and Hadith to his own will and wish. In your Qadiani Quran, new 'Ayaat' were added by the arch-liar, Mirza Qadiani, e.g.

"We sent it down near Qadian."
(Tazkirah, p.74)

Mirza's another flabbergasting addition in the holy Quran was (two goats will be slaughtered) ; to precede and rhyme with (then which of the favours of your lord will ye deny) in Surah Ar-Rahman. What a rustic homily from Mirza to confuse and overawe his followers! This ambiguous addition meaning (two goats will be slaughtered) with the Quranic Ayat of Surah Ar- Rahman which is tantamount to ridiculing the holy Quran. Besides these additions which this great Dualist-infidel, Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, made in Quran Hakeem, several Ayaat and Ahadith have been subjected to deliberate word omissions, alterations, distortions and transpositions of meanings. Tenor of many a 'Sharia' phraseology has been twisted to suit personal inclinations and sacrificed on the altar of selfishness. This is called Dualist-infidelity and it is the same you are demonstrating when defining Mubahala to cover up your cowardice.

Quran Hakeem pronounces that both parties should be asked to come out in the ground of Mubahala along with their relatives and both should jointly implore Allah for His Execration to fall over the liars. When this has been done, wait for the decision from Divine Court. Your grandfather has also said

so while explaining the Ayaat of Mubahala from his Qadiani Quran, and I repeat his words:

"Tell them: Come! We and you along with our sons, women, and relatives and assemble at one place, then do Mubahala and invoke imprecation upon the liars".

But you, Mirza Tahir Ahmad Sahib, would not listen to your grandpa and would not present yourself before the divine Court but would remain sitting in your house and go on honking your wares from there. Tell me is your action not a contempt of the Divine court? Is this not making a fun of Mubahala? To mount up this sacrilege, you ask obedient Muslims to join you in this blasphemy. Allah protect us.

Fourthly: Because you are a run-away from Pakistan, therefore as a fugitive I do see your point in hesitating to return. Alright, I make a change. Go ahead and announce a date, place and time in London itself. This humble faqir will, Insha Allah, present himself over there along with his associates. But in case you are afraid to go to London and don't want to step outside your Caliphate parameter, I concede further; Alright, let us meet in your 'London Islamabad' precincts. This humble faqir will present himself there also at your Centre in the U.K. at your appointed time and date and as many associates as you will say will be brought along, one lakh, two lakh, ten lakh, twenty lakh. But preservation of peace shall be your responsibility.

At that spot, I shall use the same words for Mubahala which Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi, (Allah's mercy on him), spoke in the face of your grandpa and which paled him down. The words will be:

"Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani and his followers are all liars, Kafir, apostates, impostors, cheats, unbelievers and Dualist-infidels".

Now see, have I left out any of your objections unsolved? I have covered up everything for you therefore hurry up. Still if you back out I put you to shame in the very words spoken by your grandpa who said in very clear terms:

"I put you under oath of Allah, High is His Disposition, that you should come soon in the ground of Mubahala by fixing date and time of Mubahala, otherwise you will die under Allah's Execration". **Fifthly:**

As your well-wisher I submit that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani has been decreed a liar by the Divine Court many times. Therefore there is no use of a Mubahala for you. What you should do is that you surrender to earlier decisions and forsake Mirza, the arch-liar. You may do one hundred Mubahalas, but Allah's ways do not change; the result will be the same as before.

How To Seek Allahs Guidance

Remember the door of appeal to Allah for His forgiveness is still open. Before you die, offer your repentance from Qadiani dogmas and cling to the benevolence of the holy Prophet (SAW) the mercy of the worlds.

I tell you and also your Jamaat of a simple way for obtaining Allah's guidance: Recite Darood Shareef 313 times before going to sleep and weep bitterly, in solitude, before Allah, uttering these words:

'Ya Allah! In the name of Your mercy and by the grace of Your benevolent Prophet (SAW) grant us guidance to come out of deviations and pardon us for all those errors of faith and practices which we have committed so far!'

If any person belonging to your Jamaat or you your sell' acted upon my advice, I am certain Insha Allah a vista of true Guidance shall certainly open up before him.

Muhammad Yusuf Ludhianvi

10 - 1 - 1989

Dr. ABDUL SALAM AND HIS NOBLE PRIZE

Maulana Mohammad Yusuf Ladhianvi

1408 A.H.

INTRODUCTION

Dr. Abdus Salam got the Nobel Prize toward the end of 1979. A preliminary outline of the following article was already written at that time. But in those days there were strict restrictions of censure. And our friends looked on (monthly) Bayyenat with a special favour. Even though only a photocopy of the articles, already published in contemporary papers of Karachi, was included in Bayyenat, yet the command of the bureaucracy (in which the Qadianis were prominent) descended that it could not be published in Bayyenat. It was submitted: Please see! This article has already been published in an esteemed monthly of Karachi, and we are publishing the photocopy of the same. In answer it was ordained: Whatever be! Bayyenat cannot print this article. Obviously, What could be said in response to this royal decree!

On the occasion of the hundredth anniversary of Darul-Uloom Deoband, a special publication, "Pakistan meyn Fiazan-e-Darul-Uloom", (Darul-Uloom's Bounty in Pakistan) running into 300 pages was compiled. But not only that it could be published; it was so pilfered that its copies could not be traced in spite of search. Moreover its handwritten manuscript too was stolen. The same calamity befell this article...

Later other issues absorbed our thought and sight and this article receded into oblivion. Therefore it is being published rather belatedly. However, this

delay ushered in a silver lining: we got the opportunity to draw upon the latest information on the subject. It is our pleasure to present the article revised and revamped for our readers.

The Nobel Prize was proposed for Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani on October 15th 1979, and it was awarded to him on December 10th 1979.

QADIANI -JEWISH LOBBY

What is this Nobel Prize? What Qadiani motives are behind this award? This analysis should have been carried out earlier; however, the Qadiani-Jewish lobby unleashed an immediate and enormous propaganda campaign to forestall a forthright consideration of the issue and cover up their motives. Thus few people could get an opportunity to examine the ramifications of this award to Dr. Abdus Salam.

First of all the Qadianis made an effort to show that the bestowal of this award was something in the nature of a super-natural happening, a miracle which Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani had accomplished. Secondly an effort was made to prove through this award that the spiritual sire of Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani, i.e., Mirza Ghulam Ahmad of Qadian, was an oracle who predicted such super-natural deeds. These calculations were bound to produce favourable impressions on Muslims, chiefly upon those who neither know the facts about the Nobel Prize nor care what Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani is up to.

To counter this Qadiani propaganda it is now necessary to lay bare some facts and to bring out the truth. Let us also examine the motives which Abdus Salam Qadiani and the Qadiani-Jewish lobby wish to achieve through this award and their nefarious hidden designs against Islamic nations of the world.

WHAT IS NOBEL PRIZE?

In order to understand this, attention of readers is drawn to a booklet, entitled, *First Ahmadi Muslim Scientist Abdus Salam* written by Mahamud Mujib Asghar Qadiani. This book has been written specifically for children and draws its subject matter from Encyclopedia Britannica. It reads: (Pages 49-51).

"Children! Nobel Prize is awarded in memory of a Swedish scientist Mr. Alfred Bernhard Nobel. He was born on October 21st 1833, at Stockholm, capital of Sweden. Nobel was a great chemical engineer. After his death, a Foundation was set up, named Nobel Foundation. This was according to his will. The Foundation awards five prizes every year and the first series of awards commenced in December 1901, on Nobel's fifth death anniversary.

"The Prize is awarded to those pre-eminent personages who excel in the fields of Physics, Chemistry, Physiology, Medicine, Literature and Peace. The Prize consists of a gold medal along with a certificate and cash prize of about £80,000.

"Procedure for selection is that names of prospective candidates are submitted to a panel who represent certain agencies. They decide the rightful persons. Names in respect of Physics and Chemistry are put up before Royal Academy of Sciences, Stockholm; in respect of Physiology and Medicine to Caroline Medical Institute, Stockholm; in respect of Literature, to World Peace, to a Committee of five members elected by the Norwegian Parliament."

SOME NOTEWORTHY INFORMATION

Some pertinent information related to the Nobel Prize is given below:

Alfred Bernhard Nobel

He was the inventor of dynamite. As a scientist, he carried out researches on gunpowder, torpedoes and ammunitions. At last, he purchased the world famous arms and ammunition manufacturing company, 'Bofors'.

Brother Blown Up

Nobel's brother and four other persons died during his tests, sacrificed on the altar of dynamite. This human loss frustrated Nobel and he endowed a large portion of his property for public charity as 'Nobel Prize', by way of a possible expiation of his sins.

Fiscal Details

The capital of the 'Nobel Trust' was \$83,11,000 (according to exchange rate at that time). It was willed that the capital shall remain intact and deposited in banks and the amount of interest accruing on it will be equally divided and distributed in the form of cash awards to worthy personages in the above-mentioned five fields. If only one person in one field is found deserving then the whole amount earmarked for it will be paid to them. In case of more than one winning person (not to exceed three in any case) the amount will be proportionately divided. Another condition is that in the event of a recipient refusing the award, his portion will be added to the capital. When calculated on this basis, the amount of interest, which accrued for a single field in 1948, was \$32,000, which increased to \$2,10,000 in 1980.

SOME RECENT RECIPIENTS OF NOBEL PRIZE

Indian Hindu, Raman

About 100 individuals have already received this 'Award of interest' in the field of Physics alone. C.V. Raman, an Indian Hindu, was the lone recipient of this Nobel Award in Physics in 1930. Another Indian naturalized in America won it in 1983.

Bengali Poet Tagore

In the field of Literature, an Indian Bengali Hindu, Rabindra Nath Tagore got this Nobel Prize. Some persons from Japan and South America have also received the Nobel in Literature in the Japanese and other Latin American languages.

Kissinger of USA & Mr. Tho of Vietnam

In the field of 'Peace', Henry Kissinger of America and Mr. Tho of Vietnam were adjudged as winners for 1973 but the latter refused for reason of his inviolable sense of honour. These two persons were selected for conducting negotiations for cease-fire in Vietnam.

Indian Teresa, Egyptian Sadaat & Israeli Begin

An Indian national, named Teresa, a celibate woman, was honoured with the award of Nobel Peace Prize in 1979. Egypt's former President, Anwer Sadaat and his contemporary Israeli Prime Minister Mr. Begin were also awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 1978. The latter was honoured because of his services in getting Israel formally recognised by Egypt.

CONCLUSIONS

Pieces of information, related above, lead to the following conclusions:

1. The award is meant to preserve the memory of Mr. Nobel who taught the first "dynamic" lesson of destruction to man and is rightly considered the 'Adam' of ordnance factories the world over.
2. Cash awarded in the Prize is pure 'Interest Accrual.' Our holy Prophet, Mohammad (peace be upon him) has accursed both the beneficiaries, he who gives and he who takes interest. (Translation)

Our holy Prophet's Companion, Hazrat Jabir, (raddiallaha) says: Allha's Prophet (peace be upon him) has accursed the person who takes interest, gives interest, writes interest bonds, witnesses interest transactions and he said they are all equal (in sin).

The holy Quran has declared interest as a challenge of war against Allah and His Prophet (peace be upon him).

3. The Nobel Award is not any extraordinary event of human history. It is not of a super natural kind. Many countries, in public and private sectors, distribute different kinds of Prizes regularly. Nobel Prize is also of that category which some people get every year; Hindus of India and of Bengal got it; Jews and Christians of Israel, Europe and America got it; Christian preacher Teresa was honoured with it (if the word honour, is appropriate here). The Nobel award has been on going for almost a century. Hundreds have been its recipients but has anyone heard that Jews, Christians, Hindus ever stormed the world in

jubilation by saying that "because our co-religionist has happened to get it, therefore our religion is most authentic" or that "the fact of our co-religionist's receiving Nobel Prize proves truthfulness of our faith and its excellence over all others"!

4. And what more! The Prize awarded to Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani was shared by three scientists. He was a shareholder with two more in the field of Physics in 1979. Does not a greater credit go to that Hindu who received it alone, unshared, in 1930 and in the same field of Physics? If the 'shared' prize of a Qadiani is a proof of his religion's truthfulness, then the Hindu religion of a Hindu who got 'unshared' Nobel Prize should deserve a greater cognizance and be deemed a greater proof of its truthfulness. Therefore, the incidence of Award to Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani is by no mean a super-natural event but Qadiani hystero-maniacs, in the tradition of their sire, Ghulam Ahmed Qadiani, who himself suffered from this disease, trumpeted the award to be so.
5. It may be remembered that when recipient of Nobel Prize are selected, there are undercurrents of political and religious considerations. Those who are selected to receive these awards are also ushered in by these expediencies. If one takes a cursory look at the list of hundreds of names of individuals who received the Nobel Prize during one hundred years one would find that the recipients are mostly Jews, Christians, idol-worshippers, apostates and so on. (Please see Annex.) For the Swedish Judges, Muslim are rarely born to have produced great works in fields of Medicine, Literature, Physics etc. Selection made by these judges of Sweden is peculiar in the sense that for them a Hindu, Rabindra Nath Tagore deserves prize for this poetry in the Bengali language; a Japanese author on his performance in the Japanese language; and in Spanish/Portuuese, South American scholars for their master-pieces but no writer, poet or literati from the Pakistan-India subcontinent could catch their eyes. Why? Because they are Muslims. Take for instance, Allama Dr. Sir Muhammad Iqbal. The whole world resounds with his artistry in literary performance. Renowned professors of England have considered it an honour for themselves to translate his master works into the English language and 'pundits' of Europe wag heads in approbation. But he did not deserve the Nobel Prize because he sang for Muslim renaissance! Likewise, no Arabic or Persian masterpiece during 100 years was worth the Nobel consideration. Only European languages bagged the Nobel Prize predominantly during the century. (Thanks heaven Arabic after all caught the attention of the Swedish judges, maybe due to the publication of this article a few years ago). The Late Hakim Ajmal Khan was a wizard in the field of medicine. Dr. Salim-uz-Zaman's scientific researches are well known. But the Nobel recognition evades them. These are but a few ready instances otherwise who can list in names of many incomparable personages of the Islamic world of this century. For the Swedish judges, these persons did not possess the desired

excellence and merit but somehow Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani did. Good or bad, he is Qadiani and that stands for his excellence and merit. Actually his only virtue is his enmity towards Islam and friendship with Jews. The Swedish 'Daniels' coming to judgement cherished this trait of Dr. Abdus Salam as par excellence and worthy of the Nobel Prize.

6. If Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani was really so capable a scientist why did he not produce atomic fission in Pakistan next day in reply to India's in 1973. At that time he was Atomic Energy Adviser to President of Pakistan. This was part of his official duty. It is claimed for him that he possesses expertise in Nuclear Atomic Physics. If this is so then his dire incompetence (or Pakistan enmity) pushed Pakistan many years behind India. If Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani could have come up with his technical proficiency at a time when Indian scientists had demonstrated there's, then Pakistan would not have gone begging for technology to the West. In that situation, no one from international political scene would have raised finger at Pakistan's competence in atomic field. Had Pakistan also exploded the device at the time when India did, then Pakistan would have been internationally exonerated from any blame. The matter would have stood closed and settled.

But that did not happen. As a result, Dr. Abdus Salam's incompetence, incapability and his Pakistan enmity ushered on us this day when the whole world is shouting against Pakistan's peaceful atomic research programme; so much so that Americans, who are gullibly rated as well-wishers of Pakistan and friends, are asking Pakistan to desist from its researches. On the other hand is India who has fired up the entire world against Pakistan's peaceful nuclear energy programme. How wonderful! Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani has friendly terms with India Prime Minister, Rajiv Gandhi! What is the perimeter of Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani's scientific know-how against this backdrop? How ar is he loyal to Pakistan?

7. Some conscientious person with a sense of honour refused this Nobel Prize as a kind of bribe. But how could Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani refuse it? He was after it since long.

STORY BEHIND THE AWARD

Why was Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani awarded?

The answer is provided in an interview with Dr. Abdul Qadeer, our renowned nuclear scientist.

Q: "What do you have to say for the Nobel Award which Dr. Abdus Salam Qadiani has received"?

A: "That too has been awarded on the basis of motives. Dr. Abdus Salam had been trying to get a Nobel Prize since 1957. At last, on the hundredth birth anniversary of Einstein the desired prize was given to him. The fact is that

Qadianis have a proper mission operating in Israel since long. Jews wanted to please some like-minded person on the occasion of Einstein anniversary and so Dr. Abdus Salam was favoured"

Weekly Chattan, Lahore, February 6th 1986

THE QADIANI FUNERAL

Authentic religious rulings (Fatawa) issued in the light of the Islamic Shari'at in reply to questions as to how the Muslims should conduct themselves in their dealings with the Qadianis/Ahmadis/Mirzais.

QUESTIONS

1. On the death of Dr. Muhammad Saeed, a Mirzai of Village Datab, Dist. Mansahra, the Muslims of Datab offered his funeral prayer under a Muslim Imam. Thereafter the Qadianis held a second funeral prayer for the said deceased person. What is the injunction of the Shari'at about the said Imam and the Muslims (who participated in the funeral prayer)?
2. Muslim girls are living in Qadiani households as wives. Their Muslim parents are maintaining son/father-in-law relations with these Qadianis. Will the children born from such wedlocks be regarded as legitimate or illegitimate children in the light of the Shari'at of the holy Prophet Muhammad (SAW).
3. The Muslim communities in general do not treat the Qadianis like other Kafirs (infidels); they treat them like Muslims. They freely mix, eat and drink with the Qadianis and participate in their joys and sorrows and bid them Assalamu alaykum (peace on you), when they meet one another. Likewise, they invite them to their funeral and marriage feasts and take part in Fatihas. Are they (the Muslims) accountable for such acts and do they remain as Muslims in the light of the Shari'at?

Majlis-e-Tahaffuz-e-Khatm-e-Nubuwwat. District Mansahra.

THE ANSWER

In the name of Allah the Beneficent and Merciful

Before stating the answers, I mention a few points by way of introductory remarks.

First, if there is anyone who holds beliefs of infidelity and yet claims to be a Muslim and presents his beliefs of infidelity in the name of Islam, by placing wrong interpretations on the texts of the Shari'at, he is called a Zindeeq. In the chapter on "Zindeeq" (The Apostate), Allama Shami writes:

"...because a Zindeeq camouflages his infidelity and desires to popularise his false beliefs and presents them in an apparently plausible form, this is what is called camouflaging infidelity". (Shami Vol. 4, p.246, New Edition).

In Musawwa, an Arabic commentary on Muwatta Imamul Hind, Shah Waliullah Muhaddith Dehlavi writes:

"It may be explained that a person who is opposed to the true Faith and does not believe in Islam, nor does he acknowledge the religion of Islam, either outwardly or inwardly, is called a Kafir. If he believes in the Faith only verbally, but offers such interpretations of some fundamentals of the Faith as contradict the views of the Sahabah, the Tabi'een and the consensus of the Ummah, then such a person is called a Zindeeq".

By way of explaining the difference between a correct interpretation and a wrong interpretation, Shah Waliullah further writes: -

"Moreover, there are two kinds of interpretations: One that does not contravene a decision that stands finally established under the authority of the Qur'an and the Sunnah; the other one is that interpretation which contravenes a decision that stands proved under a finally established evidence (based on the Qur'an and /or the Sunnah). Such an interpretation is Zandaqah".

Citing examples of interpretations that involve Zandaqah, Shah Waliullah further writes:

"... or some person says that although the noble Prophet (SAW) is undoubtedly the last of the Prophets, yet this only means that after him none will be given the name of a Prophet, but the concept of prophethood--viz., the sending down by Allah of some person who must be obeyed as a matter of obligation and who has been protected from persevering in sins and faults -- continues in the Ummah even after the noble Prophet (SAW) then such a person is a Zindeeq." (Musawwa, Vol. 2, p.130)

In short, one is called a Zindeeq who presents his beliefs of infidelity in the garb of Islam, interprets the Qur'an and the Sunnah in a way that is contrary to the finally confirmed Islamic beliefs handed down through uninterrupted authority.

Secondly: a Zindeeq falls within the purview of an apostate. In one respect a Zindeeq is worse than an apostate, because if by expressing repentance, an apostate re-embraces Islam, there is consensus of opinion that his repentance is acceptable, but opinions differ about the acceptability or the unacceptability of the repentance of a Zindeeq.

It occurs in Durr-i-Mukhtar: '... in the same way the repentance of a person who becomes a Kafir on account of his Zandaqah is not acceptable. He has been described in Fathul Qadeer as Zahirul Mazhab (professing the Faith only outwardly), but the Fatwa given in the book of Fatawa Qazi Khan in the chapter "Al- Hazr" lays down: If a magician or a Zindeeq, who is well-known and preaches (his beliefs), is arrested before expressing repentance and repents after his arrest, his repentance is not acceptable. He shall be executed. On the other hand, if he has expressed repentance before arrest, his repentance will be accepted. (Al-Shami, Vol. 4, p.241, New Edition)

It occurs in Bahrur Ra'iq:-

"In the case of Zahirul-Mazhab (outward profession of faith), the repentance of a Zindeeq is not acceptable--Zindeeq being a person who follows no religion. It is mentioned in Fatawa Qazi Khan: If a Zindeeq, before being arrested, confesses willingly, that he is a Zindeeq and then expresses repentance there for, his repentance will be acceptable; but if he expresses repentance after his arrest, then his repentance will not be accepted and he will be executed." (Bahrur Raiq, Vol. 5, p. 136).

Thirdly: That the Qadianis are Zindeeq is quite obvious, because their beliefs are totally opposed to the tenets of Islam. By placing wrong interpretations on the texts of the Qur'an and the Sunnah, they try to delude the ignorant into the belief that they themselves (Qadianis) are staunch, true Muslims and besides them the entire Muslim Ummah is misguided, Kafir and faithless. This is according to what the late Mirza Mahmud, the second Head of the Qadianis, has written:-

"All Muslims who did not swear allegiance to the promised Masih (i.e. Mirza) are Kafirs (infidels) and out of the pale of Islam, even if they may not have heard the name of the promised Masih." (Ai-eena-i-Sadaqat, p. 35).

The Heretic Beliefs of the Mirzais

1. It is the finally confirmed belief of Islam that the noble Prophet (SAW) is the last of the Prophets, and after him none can rise to the status of prophet hood. On the contrary, not only do the Qadianis deny this belief, but they also consider that without the prophethood of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, Islam is a dead religion (Allah forbid).

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad says:-

"We believe that a religion in which the succession of prophet hood stands closed is dead. We call the Jewish, the Christian and the Hindu (religions) dead, because they have no prophets. If Islam, too, were like them, then we are no more than story-tellers. Why do we claim that it (Islam) is superior to other religions? Islam should have some distinction to justify this claim... For many years I have been receiving wahi (revelations) and many signs of Allah have borne witness to this. I am, therefore, a Prophet. No secrecy should be maintained in conveying the truth". (Malfoozate Mirza, Vol. 10, pp. 127 - 128).

2. It is the finally confirmed belief of Islam that the door of Prophethood has been closed after the departure of the noble Prophet (SAW) and he who claims to (receive) prophetic revelations stands expelled from the pale of Islam. However, the Qadianis believe in the self-invented revelation of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani and recognise it like the Qur'an. The Tazkirah is one of the various names of the Qur'an. The Qadianis have compiled the revelations of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad in the form of a book and have given it the name of Tazkirah, as though it were the Qadiani Qur'an--Allah forbid. Further, the Qadiani revelation is not an ordinary Ilham (inspiration) which

divine men also receive. To them (the Qadianis) it is at par with the Qur'an. Just see:

(i) "... and I believe in the open wahi of Allah which I have received, precisely in the same way as I believe in the verses of the Qur'an. (Ek Ghalati ka Izalah, p.6.).

(ii) "I believe in my wahi in the same way as I believe in the Torah, the Injeel and the Qur'an . " (Arba'een, p.112).

(iii)"... I swear by God that I believe in these revelations in the same way as I believe in the Qur'an and other divine Scriptures. I believe that the word that descends on me is the word of God, just as I believe that the Qur'an is surely and decidedly the word of God." (Haqiqat-ul-Wahi, p.220).

3. It is an Islamic belief that it is Kufr to claim that one is able to show a miracle after the departure of the noble Prophet (SAW) because the display of miracles is the exclusive privilege of a prophet. As such, one who claims the ability to show a miracle is a Kafir, because one (thus) claims to be a prophet.

Allama Mulla Ali Qari (Allah's mercy on him) writes in Sharh-i-Fiqh Akbar on page 202:

"The claim to the ability to show a miracle is a branch of the claim to prophethood and the claim to prophethood after our noble Prophet (SAW) is deemed as Kufr by unanimous consensus.

On the contrary, the Qadianis, along with their faith in the revelations of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, also put faith in his miracles. They regard the miracles of the noble Prophet as mere stories and tales--Allah forbid. They are prepared to believe in the noble Prophet (SAW) as a prophet only when Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is also believed to be a Prophet, otherwise neither they consider the noble Prophet as a Prophet, nor Islam as a religion.

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad writes:

"Neither that religion is a religion, nor that Prophet a Prophet by following which/whom a human being does not attain such closeness to Allah as confers on him the honour of conversation with Allah. That religion is a curse and an object of contempt which teaches that human progress depends on a few narrated anecdotes (i.e. the Islamic Shari'at which is narrated from the noble Prophet (SAW) - Compiler) and that divine revelations have lagged behind instead of going ahead... hence such a religion deserves to be called Satanic rather than divine". (Zameema-i-Baraheen-i-Ahmadia, Part V. p.139).

"How silly and false a belief it is to think that after the Prophet (SAW) the door of divine revelation is closed for ever and no hope of it is left for the future till the Day of Resurrection, except that one should worship only stories. Can such a religion be regarded as religion as offers no direct line of

approach to God? I swear by God that these days none is more disgusted with such a religion than I. I name such a religion Satanic rather than Divine". (Zameema-i-Baraheen-i-Ahmad ia, Part V, p.183).

"To tell the truth, we have come to believe in the Qur'an and the noble Prophet (SAW) through this very source (Mirza). We believe in the Qur'an as God's Word, because this proves his (Mirza's) prophethood. The ignorant one objects to our believing in the promised Masih (Mirza) as a prophet and his word as the word of God. He little knows that our faith in the Qur'an and in the Prophethood of Muhammad (SAW) is due to his (Mirza's) prophethood. (Mirza Mahmud's speech published in Al-Fazl, Qadian, vol. 13/3, dated July 11, 1925, Qadiani Mazhab, Fifth Edition, Fifth Chapter, No. 74).

It is now quite clear from the above-cited statements of Mirza that, if it is denied that he received revelations and that he was a prophet, then in his (Mirza's) opinion the belief in the Prophethood of Muhammad (SAW) becomes (Allah forbid) null and void and the religion of Islam is no more than a collection of stories. Declaring such Islam as cursed, satanic and contemptible, Mirza expresses his disgust with it, rather proclaims himself to be the greatest of all atheists. The Muslims should take this as a warning. Can there be a more heinous form of infidelity, heresy, Zandaqah and atheism than to revile the noble Prophet (SAW) and the religion of Islam to one's fill?

4. The Muslims believe that Muhammad (SAW) is the Prophet of Allah. But in his pamphlet, Ek Ghalati ka Izalah, the Mirza has, on the basis of his "revelations", declared that he himself is --Allah forbid--Muhammad, the Prophet of Allah. As the Qadianis have firm faith in the wahi of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, they believe that the late Mirza was Muhammad, the Prophet of Allah, and they regard as Kafir all those who do not believe that Mirza was Muhammad, the Prophet of Allah.

5. On the basis of the Qur'an and the Traditions (Ahadith) of uninterrupted narration, the Muslims believe that Hazrat Isa (Christ) (AS) was raised up to the heavens alive and that when the Day of Resurrection draws near, he will come down and kill Dajjal (Anti-Christ). The Mirzais, on the contrary believe that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani himself is Isa and the prophecies mentioned in the Qur'an and the Traditions about the descending of Hazrat Isa (AS) apply to Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani.

Thus, the Qadianis profess countless Zindiqana (heretic) beliefs about which scholars of the Ummah have compiled many books which make it abundantly clear that the Qadianis are Kafirs, apostates, heretics and Zindeeq.

Fourthly: Funeral prayers are offered only for Muslims. It is not lawful to offer funeral prayer for a non-Muslim. The Qur'an says: "...and never (O Muhammad) pray for one of them who dies, nor stand by his grave, for they disbelieved in Allah and His Messenger, and they died while they were evil-doers". (HQ 9.84) All jurists (of Islam) are unanimous on the point that

funeral prayer is lawful on the condition that the deceased was a Muslim. There is consensus of opinion that funeral prayer is not lawful for a non-Muslim, nor is it permitted to pray for his salvation and bury him in the graveyards of the Muslims.

After these introductory remarks answers to the questions are given seriatim:-

ANSWER TO THE FIRST QUESTION

If the Muslims who offered funeral prayer for the Mirzai were unaware of his belief, they committed a vice for which they should implore Allah's forgiveness, because they committed an unlawful act by offering funeral prayer for an apostate Mirzai.

If they offered funeral prayer for him, despite their knowledge that the man believed in Mirza Ghulam Ahmad's so-called prophethood, had faith in his 'wahi" (revelation) and denied that Hazrat Isa (AS) will come down (to earth), then they (the Muslims) should all renew their Iman (belief) and Nikah (marriage contract), because it is Kufr to consider the beliefs of an apostate as Islam. Their Iman and Nikah both became null and void. If anyone of them had performed the HaiJ, it is incumbent on him to perform the HajJ again.

It must be mentioned here that the Qadianis do not consider it lawful to offer funeral prayer for a Muslim, so much so that according to their belief even the funeral prayers for innocent Muslim children are unlawful. Mirza Mahmud, the second vice regent of the Qadianis writes in his book, Anwar-i-Khilafat:

"Another question remains (to be answered): The non-Ahmadis (i.e. the Muslims) deny the promised Masih, (Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani) so we: should not offer funeral prayers for them; but if a small child of a non-Ahmadi dies, why should funeral prayer not be offered for him when he is not guilty of denying the promised Masih?

"I put this question to the inquirer: If this is correct, then why are no funeral prayers held for the children of Hindus and Christians? How many are there who offer funeral prayers for them? The fact remains that the Shari'at considers the children to be of the same faith which their parents follow. Thus, the child of a non-Ahmadi is also non-Ahmadi. Therefore, his funeral prayer should not be offered. I further say that a child is not a sinner and needs no funeral prayer. The funeral prayer for a child is really an invocation for the benefit of his survivor; and his survivors do not belong to us. As such, funeral prayer should not be offered even for a child". (Anwar-i-Khilafat, p.93).

A Fatwa by Mirza Mahmud was published in Al-Fazl, dated 23rd October, 1922, to the effect that: "Just as no funeral prayer can be offered for a Christian child, although he is innocent, in the same way no funeral prayer can be offered for a non-Ahmadi child". (Qadiani Mazhab, 5th Edition. 13th Chap. No. 56).

As such. following the beliefs of his religion, Choudhry Zafarullah Khan (the then Foreign Minister), did not participate in the funeral prayer of the Quaid-i-Azam. When he was asked to account for this before the Munir Enquiry Tribunal, he replied:

"Maulana Shabbir Ahmad Usmani, the leader of the funeral prayer, has declared that the Ahmadis are Kafirs and liable to be executed. So I could not decide to join a prayer which was being conducted under the Imamate of the Maulana". (Report of the Enquiry Tribunal, Puniab, p.212).

When he was asked outside the Tribunal:

"Why did you not join the Quaid-i-Azam's funeral prayer?" He replied, "You may take me to be the Musalman Minister of an infidel Government or the infidel employee of a Muslim Government". (Zamindar, Lahore, dated 8th February, 1950).

When the Press published reports about this adamant attitude of Choudhry Zafarullah Khan, then the Rabwah Association of the Ahmadis issued the following reply to this:

"An objection is being raised against Choudhry Zafarullah Khan as to why he did not join the funeral prayer of the Quaid-i-Azam. The entire world knows that the Quaid-i-Azam was not an Ahmadi. As such. there is nothing objectionable, if any member of the Ahmadiya Jamaat did not join his funeral prayer". (Tract 22, Ahrari Ulama ki rastgoi ka number, Publishers, Manager Publication and Propaganda, Anjuman Ahmadiya, Rabwah, District Jhang.)

Likewise, the Qadiani newspaper Al-Fazl gave the following reply:

"Is it not a fact that like the Quaid-i-Azam, Abu Talib also was a great well-wisher of the Muslims, yet neither the Prophet of Allah nor the Muslims offered funeral prayer for him?" (Al-Fazl, Rabwah, dated 28th October. 1952).

How shameful it is that while the Qadianis, considering the Muslims as Kafirs like Hindus, Sikhs and Christians, do not join the funeral prayer of their (the Muslims') great men, nor of their innocent children--Is it lawful for a Muslim to join the funeral prayer of a Qadiani apostate? Can his sense of honour tolerate this?

ANSWER TO THE SECOND QUESTION

When it has become known that the Qadianis are Kafirs and apostates, it also becomes quite clear that a Muslim girl cannot be married to a Mirzai apostate. According to the Islamic Shari'at this is pure adultery.

It may be understood that in the opinion of the Mirzais the Muslims stand in the same position in which the Jews and the Christians stand in our opinion. It is lawful for the Mirzais to accept Muslim girls in marriage, but it is not

lawful for them to give their girls in marriage to the Muslims. There is a Fatwa by Mirza Mahmud to this effect:

"In my opinion a person is not Ahmadi who marries his daughter to a non-Ahmadi. No one can marry his daughter to a person whom he considers to be a non-Muslim".

Question:- "What is the injunction about a Nikah Khwan (solemniser of marriage) who solemnises such a Nikah?"

Answer:- "About such a Nikah Khwan we shall pronounce the same Fatwa which can be pronounced about a person who has performed the marriage contract of a Muslim girl to a Christian or a Hindu boy".

Question:- "Can a person who has married his daughter to a non-Ahmadi, invite other Ahmadis to the marriage celebration?"

Answer:- "It is also not lawful to participate in such marriages." (Al-Fazl, Qadian, Dated 23rd May, 1921).

Just as, according to Mirza Mahmud, the man who marries his daughter to a Muslim gets outcast from Mirza's community, in the same way that Muslim is Outcast from Islam who, despite his knowledge about the beliefs of the Qadianis, considers that it is lawful to give his daughter in marriage to a Mirzai. Just as, according to Mirza Mahmud, marrying a Mirzai girl to a Muslim boy is like marrying her to a Hindu or Christian, in the same way, I say that making a Mirzai apostate a son-in-law is like making a Hindu, a Sikh or an untouchable (low-caste Hindu) one's son-in-law.

ANSWER TO THE THIRD QUESTION

It is forbidden for a Muslim to treat the Mirzai apostates like Muslims. It is unlawful, totally unlawful, to associate with them, eat and drink with them and participate in their joys and sorrows or to invite them to one's own joys and sorrows. Those who show such kind of toleration invite the wrath of Allah and the Prophet (SAW) and it does not befit a believer to maintain friendly relations with the enemies of Allah and the Rasool (SAW)

The Qur'an says:-

...You will not find folk who believe in Allah and the Last Day loving those who oppose Allah and His Messenger, even though they be their fathers or their sons or their brethren or their clan. As for such, He has written faith upon their hearts and has strengthened them with a Spirit from Him, and He will bring them into gardens underneath which rivers flow, wherein they will abide. Allah is well pleased with them, and they are well pleased with Allah. They are Allah's party. Behold! It is Allah's party who are successful. (HQ 58.22)

It is also necessary to mention in the end that the Qadianis have been declared a non-Muslim minority in the Constitution of Pakistan. However, the

Qadianis have neither accepted this decision nor concluded to live in Pakistan as non-Muslim citizens (zimmi). They do not, therefore, enjoy the status of zimmi, but their position is like that of belligerent Kafirs and it is not allowed in Shariat to have any sort of dealings with the belligerent.

And Allah knows best.

Signed Muhammad Yusuf Ludhianvi

The answer is correct:

Signed
Wali Hasan
Head, Darul-Ifta
Jamiatul-Uloom -il-Islamiyyah
Blnnori Town,
Karachi - Pakistan.

REPLY TO MIRZA TAHIR'S CHALLENGE OF MUBAHALA

IN REPLY TO MIRZA TAHIR

Whenever Qadianis are disappointed over something or feel uneasy, their leaders must embark on some mischief to prop them up. This is customary with them. In this way they set their progeny at rest. But as luck would have it, this invariably results in more disgrace and greater ignominy for them. In this context, a new activity has recently emanated from their leader, Mirza Tahir Ahmad. He has challenged all Muslims of the World for holding with him a sort of 'Curses Rendezvous'. Reply to the aforesaid challenge, sent to Mirza Tahir on behalf of the Writer, is reproduced below.

Janab Mirza Tahir Sahib,

Peace be on those who follow the right path!

You have lately published a challenge for Mubahala. I should not have considered the pamphlet worth any attention because this is my normal practice with Qadiani outbursts but on return from abroad, I found a copy of your challenge in my mail, and I have been specifically asked to answer. Therefore it devolves on me to give you this reply.

Here are some points in answer:

ALLAH EXTOLLS THOSE WHO FIGHT APOSTATES

(1) At the outset, let me thank you for having included the name of this humble self in the list of opponents of the Arch Liar of the Present times, i.e., Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani - the 'Musailma' of the Punjab.

You have done me a great honour, indeed, which Quran Majeed has recounted in these words:

O BELIEVERS, WHOEVER FROM AMONGST YOU FORSAKES HIS RELIGION
THEN ALLAH WILL CREATE VERY SOON SUCH PEOPLE WHOM ALLAH SHALL

LOVE AND WHO SHALL LOVE ALLAH; THEY WILL BE KIND TOWARDS MUSLIMS AND FORCEFUL OVER KAFIRS; THEY WILL BE FIGHTING IN THE WAY OF ALLAH AND WILL NOT BE AFRAID OF ACCUSATIONS OF ANY ACCUSER; THIS IS ALLAH'S MUNIFICENCE. HE BESTOWS IT UPON WHOMSOEVER HE WANTS AND ALLAH IS ALL-PERVADING AND KNOWS EVERY THING. (HQ 5:54)

In this blessed 'Ayat', six eminent virtues have been enumerated for those who contend with the apostates. Firstly, they are slaves whom Allah loves; secondly, they are among Allah's true lovers; thirdly, they are complaisant towards the people of the faith; fourthly, they are severe over the Kafirs; fifthly, they wage war in the path of Allah to perform the obligation of communicating His celebrated Commands and His Prohibitions; sixthly, they don't mind accusers reproaching them in the matter of Deen. In the end of this 'Ayat', it is proclaimed that this is Allah's Special Favour which is bestowed upon whosoever He wants.

GHULAM AHMAD QADIANI --THE MUSAILMA OF THE PUNJAB

In the light of this holy verse, the first and foremost to deserve the avowed honour are Hazrat Abu Bakr Siddiq and his associates (Allah be pleased with them all) because they fought with Musailma, the Liar, and other apostates. Now, in the present times, recipients of these glad tidings are those who are contending with the Arch Liar, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani and his progeny of mini liars. Therefore, your counting me among the adversaries of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad is a proof which you have provided for this humble self that he is fit to be included among the praiseworthy persons extolled in the above holy verse. It is evidently a great testimony and a very happy news of Allah's great Munificence for this hapless soul. Words fail me to thank you for this gesture.

But this unworthy person, the lowest and the least competent among the followers of Allah's Prophet Khatam-un-Nabieen and Saiyedil Mursaleen (SAW) perhaps the most unbecoming and inadequate in the entire Ummate Muhammadia (utmost blessings and peace on its Chiefs). Our Hazrat Maulana Muhammad Anwer Shah Kashmiri, a leader of recent times, (may Allah make his grave resplendent), said in a couplet

"There is nobody in your Ummah like this humble self who is presenting himself before you with such insignificant deeds".

What honour greater than this can be for an insignificant 'Ummati' like myself than the Divine epithet of "Allah loves them and they love Allah". Your writing has raised hopes of this humble self that, Allah willing, our Prophet (SAW) will intercede for this unworthy and insignificant person when he will present himself before him with his humble demeanour

Nevertheless you have provided great honour to this Dervish by including his name among the opponents of Mirza Qadiani. The remarks which you have

written to me shall testify for me on Judgement Day. For this favour done to me sweeten your mouth with a treat of ghee and sugar!

QADIANIS DISOBEY THEIR SIRE MIRZA GHULAM AHMAD

(2) In his book 'Anjam e Atham', Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani had expressed his determination that he would never address the Ulema in future. He was adamant. Note his vehement style:

"We have today finalised the preachings which were obligatory on us.. and it is our resolve that we shall not address the Ulema after these clarifications.. and that is the end of discourses from our side".

When Mirza Qadiani had conclusively proclaimed in 1897 his refusal to reopen any discourse with the Ulema in future, does his promise which according to your belief was:

"And he does not speak from inclination of his self; This is but revelation sent down".

stand abrogated or is it that Mirza's progeny does not care a fig for all those promises, deeds and words which Mirza put forth?

MIRZA GHULAM AHMAD QADIANI -- LIAR, APOSTATE, DUALIST- INFIDEL

(3) You have challenged the Ulema of the Ummat for a Mubahala. Remember, such engagements are final touchstones which distinguish between right and wrong, truth and falsehood. You seem to be going in for this Mubahala because you are not sure of the truthfulness of your Sire, even after a lapse of one century. Evidently you and your party members distrust your Patriarch.

Praise be to Allah, the entire Islamic Ummah including myself - the most incompetent man of Ummat - don't have least of the least doubt that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani was a liar. Final, decisive and unanimous is Islamic Ummah in the belief that after Allah's Prophet any claimant to prophethood, is a liar, apostate and dualist-infidel. This is in accordance with the Prophetic words:

"Thirty liars (will appear before the Day of Judgement) and each one of them will claim to be a Prophet of Allah." (Tirmizi)

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani is included in this list.

The statement that "Mirza's marriage was solemnised in the sky!" is one example of Mirza's falsehood. Allah the Exalted, Supreme in Perfection, Eminent in Wisdom, has provided us numerous conclusive proofs of the falsehood of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani--the Musailma of the Punjab. The lies of this liar are as visible as the sun at meridian. In the context of these lies, the arch Liar cannot remain hidden from those whose hearts glow with

the light of Faith, however faint. But those eyes which are totally sealed, Allah has been pleased to say about them:

"And the person who shall be blind in this world shall be blind in the hereafter also and will be strayed more from the path".

How can a blind man tell the truth from the untruth or distinguish the white from the black? Let this one example suffice for Mirza's falsehood.

MARRIAGE WITH MUHAMMADI BEGUM IN THE SKY!

Mirza declared the so-called revelation that he had been married with Muhammadi Begum, a respectable lady, in the sky. He kept on harping on this revelation of marriage from 1888 to 1907. Ultimately he wrote these words in his Appendix to Anjam e Atham on page 54.

"Remember, if the second part of this predication does not come true (i.e. Muhammadi Begum is not married to Mirza after her widowhood), I should be deemed more wicked than any wicked man. O idiots! this is not a human's pretension. This is no business of any depraved impostor. Consider this to be God's true promise, definitely the same God Almighty Whose words are never withdrawn; the same Glorious Nourisher Whose intentions nobody can stop".

Certainly we agree and do believe that Allah's words are His Will. His promises are true, unbreakable and uncontradictory, unflinching and unswerving. Nobody can stop His intents.

MIRZA DID NOT EVEN SEEN THE SHADOW OF HIS ILLUSIVE BRIDE

But what happened to the second part of Mirza's prediction? Far from marriage, Mirza did not see even the shadow of his illusive bride, Muhammadi Begum. Is the falsehood of foul and fraudulent Mirza not proved thus? He himself admitted in his own words that he was a very wicked man, more wicked than any other wicked. Jews, Christians, Hindus, Sikhs, cobblers, sweepers, etc., are also non-Muslims but Mirza admits he is worse than all of them.

Does any doubt still remain of his being an Arch Liar, an impostor, a pretender, nay, the worst of them all? This is one example I have mentioned, otherwise, Allah the Exalted, has provided us with a collection of proofs not in hundreds but in thousands.

MIRZA ALREADY VANQUISHED IN SEVERAL MUBAHALAS

(4) Besides these testimonies, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani had already conducted a number of Mubahalas with the people. The result invariably was that Allah, the Exalted, showed him up as the Arch-Liar.

(a) INTERESIING SESSION WITH A CHRISITAN PRIEST

Mirza Qadiani convened a discussion with a local head of episcopal diocese, named Atham. For fifteen days argumentation continued. When he could not beat his adversary he invoked Allah for decision. He pronounced that Allah

had made this decision for Atham that this liar should be thrown into 'Havia' (hell) within fifteen months from June 5, 1893. Mirza had predicted in these words:

"BLACKEN MY FACE: PUT ROPE AROUND MY NECK":

"I admit right now that if this prediction goes false, i.e., if within fifteen months from this day, the party who is deemed to be false in Allah's view does not fall into 'Havia' as death punishment then I am prepared to undergo every type of punishment: disgrace me, blacken my face, collar a rope around my neck or hang me on the gallows. I am prepared for all. I swear by the Greatness of Allah that He will certainly do the same, will certainly do the same, will certainly do the same. The earth and the sky may deviate but not His Ordainments. If I am a liar keep the gallows ready for me and consider me the most accursed of all the accursed persons, the evil-doers and the satans". (From Jung e Muqaddas, p.189).

HULLABALOO IN QADIAN ON THE CRITICAL NIGHT

The night before the avowed date-line, the town of Qadian went into a tumultuous drone. Men, women, big and small rubbed their noses on the earth, lamenting: Ya Allah, Atham may die! Ya Allah, Atham be dead! Ya Allah Atham may die! They were certain that Atham would no more live to see the light of the fixed day. Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, on his part, worked out spells of magic for Atham's death and had charms recited over black grams and thrown them into dry wells. Despite all these invocations, contrivances and holloas, Atham remained alive and lived on and on. By His actions Allah. the Exalted testified that:

*the prediction was not a revelation from Allah;

*the prediction was Mirza's imposture;

*both Mirza & Atham were liars but Mirza was the Arch Liar;

*Mirza deserved the punishment he had proposed on himself, that is

"Disgrace me;

"Blacken my face;

"Collar a rope around my neck;

"Hang me on the gallows"

After this Divine Decision, is there any further need, Mirza Tahir! for the Mubahala you wish to hold?

(b) WITH MAULANA GHAZNAVI

On the 10th Zeeqada, 1310 Hijri, Mirza Qadiani, by appointment with Hazrat Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi came out in the Eidgah of Amritsar for Mubahala. The invoked curses told upon Mirza and as a result he tumbled out of life with his heels twirling and his legs twining, whereas, the celebrated Maulana Sahib lived on respectably, sound and magnificent. Is any Divine

testimony still needed to prove that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was in the wrong!
(c) MUBAHALA WITH MAULANA SANAULLAH

On April 15, 1907, Mirza Qadiani published all announcement for holding his favourite "Curses Rendezvous" of Mubahala. His announcement was published in the form of an advertisement the title ~of which was:

'FINAL DECISION WITH MAULVI SANAULLAH AMRITSARI'

In this announcement, he beseeched Allah with extreme earnestness and implored most humbly. The invocation on his lips: "Take away the life of whosoever is the Liar during the life-time of the one who is not. Let the death of the liar not be caused by any human hand but by a fatal disease such as Cholera. Plague or the like".

In the advertisement he addressed Maulana Sanaullah Sahib in these words:

"In your paper, you have built up this reputation for me that this person is a pretender, a liar and 'Dajjal' (the one-eyed anti-Christ impostor). I have borne a lot of tortures from you, still I endured them patiently.. If I am a liar and impostor, as you call me in your paper, then I should perish in your life-time because, I know, liars and mischief-mongers do not live long. The liar ultimately meets his doom in a state of intense grief and contemptuousness, in front of his foe. It is better he perishes lest he should mislead Allah's creatures".

"If I am not a liar or pretender;

"if Allah blesses me by holding dialogues with me;

"if I am the promised Messiah";

"then by Allah's Munificence, according to His Practice, I hope that you will not be able to save yourself from His punishment, which He inflicts on disowning liars.

"Therefore if that punishment, which is not in the hands of a human being but only in Allah's hands, such as Plague, Cholera and similar fatal disease, does not descend upon you in my life-time, then I am not God-sent. This prediction is not because of any revelation or inspiration; it's merely a prayer I have invoked to Allah for His Decision".

At the end of this advertisement, Mirza Qadiani wrote:

"Ultimately, my request to Maulvi Sahib is that he should publish this entire writing of mine in his paper and write underneath whatever he wants because now the decision rests with Allah".

THE FINALE

The decision came out soon, in front of all. Mirza was hale and hearty by 10 o'clock at night on May 25, 1908. He had already taken his dinner. At 10 p.m. Allah's cudgel of punishment suddenly fell on him. He lay victimised by Cholera, the same Cholera he had invoked for others. Filth oozed out from

both of his body orifices. Soon he lost his speech and within twelve hours lay dead. That was May 26, 1908. On the other hand, Maulana Sanaullah Amritsari lived for full forty-one years after Mirza's death and the Maulana departed into Allah's Mercy and Grace in 1949. That came about after the establishment of Pakistan. He was united with Allah at Sargodha. May Allah grant him ample compassion.

MIRZA--SATANS COMMISSIONER

In view of this decision and in Mirza's circumstantial death, there is all the proof of his being a great pretender and an arch-liar. Neither was he the promised Messiah nor was he commissioned by Allah. It was Satan who had commissioned him.

Mirza Tahir Sahib! Is there any further need now for a Mubahala after this Divine Decision?

(5) Mirza Mahmud's Sexy Involvements Beget Allah's Wrath

Mirza Tahir Sahib! You have called upon the Ulema of the Ummat for a Mubahala. Do you know, your father, Mirza Mahmud was continually challenged for fifty years but he did not dare face the challenge.

AFFAIR WITH SAKINA, SISTER OF ABDUL KAREEM

Maulana Abdul Kareem accused Mirza Mahmud of illicit sexuality and challenged him several times to meet him at a Mubahala and prove his morals; so much so that he started publishing a newspaper, by the very name of 'Mubahala.' Instead of taking up the gauntlet, Mirza Mahmud tyrannised over Maulana Abdul Kareem and made him a target of his oppression. Not only was his house burnt but a murderous attempt was also made on his life. He was at last forced to leave Qadian. His sister, Sakina, whom Mirza Mahmud lynched down on the practicing board of his sexy lust may be a living witness even today.

AFFAIR WITH A BOY, SON OF ABDUR RAHMAN

There was a timid dotard, namely Abdur Rahman Misri, a disciple who cringed before Mirza Mahmud and as a "Mureed" was foolishly fond of his "Peer." Such a crouching soul was he to his master that he succeeded in being appointed "officiating Khalifa" in the absence of Mirza Mahmud from Qadian. Probably it was in 1936, that Mirza Mahmud, feeling lusty after Misri's son, satisfied his lust by making the boy his target. Shocked to his bones, Abdur Rahman Misri asked Mirza Mahmud to appoint a high-powered commission of some Qadiani elders to conduct an inquiry into the case. He said that he would personally appear before the Commission to prove that this filthy act was perpetrated by the Khalifa on his son. Instead of accepting this demand, he made Abdur Rahman Misri along with his associate Fakhrud Din Multani a butt of his reprisal. Misri was dragged into law suits while Multani was found assassinated.

CLUB OF ADULTERY

Abdur Rahman Misri, the erstwhile sycophant, gave this statement in the High Court at Lahore:

"The present Khalifa, Mirza Mahmud, is extremely immoral. He hunts women under the guise of sainthood. For this purpose he has kept some men and women as his agents. Through them, he gets hold of innocent girls and boys. He has formed a club in which men and women have been taken in and adultery is committed in this social get-together".

PRAYERS OFFERED IN UNBATHED DIFILED STATE

Abdul Rahman Misri also wrote this in a letter addressed to Mirza Mahmud:

"I cannot offer prayers behind you because through different sources it has come to my knowledge that sometimes you come sin-polluted, and lead prayers in an unbathed lewd condition".

Because of these gross charges, Mirza Mahmud lost courage to face Abdur Rahman Misri. Readily seen conclusion is that limb by limb, joint by joint and pore by pore, Mirza Mahmud was a filthy person. Can any sensible person doubt the impure nasty fermentation of his base elements.

TWENTY EIGHT EVIDENCES ON OATH BY QADIANI MEN AND WOMEN

Mirza Tahir! Some dissenters rebelled from your Organisation. They constituted a group, calling themselves 'Haqiqat-Pasand.' They subjected Mirza Mahmud with serious allegations of shameful wickedness. They wrote a book, named Tarikh-e-Mahmoodiat in which they produced evidences administered on oath, by 28 Qadiani men and women, on pain of divine wrath if they were false. In these affidavits, they wrote that Mirza tore chastity of those girls whom he called his daughters and his wife committed sins of fornication while he knew of them. In this book, the accusers challenged Mirza Mahmud for a 'Mubahala' and he was asked to swear on pain of punishment from Allah falling on him that he was not an adulterer. Mirza failed to appear in the 'Mubahala'.

MIRZA MAHMUD HAD NO REPLY FOR THE CHARGES

Rahat Malik, in his book, Rabwah Ka Mazhabi Aamir and Shafiq Mirza, in his Shahr e Sadoom and Mirza Mahmud Hussain, in his publication Munkireen e Khatme Nubuwwat Ka Anjam have enumerated similar charges. Each time, Mirza Mahmud was asked to deny, on pain of Divine punishment on the liar, but he never responded. He was as silent as a dead body. Rather he issued a 'Farman' forbidding his simple believers--the guileless folks--from reading the aforesaid books. Will sensible people not conclude from this that Mirza Mahmud's perimeter of morality was no less different than what was written in those books?

Mirza Tahir! Are you embarking on this 'Mubahala' with the Ulema of the Ummat with so much of shamelessness attached with your family and ancestry?

Mirza Tahir Sahib! Why don't you have the courage to refute the charges in public made against your father if you think they are false? Why not hold a Mubahala with these people, even if belated.

SWAN-SONG OF MIRZA MAHMUD

I wonder how many of your party-men saw the languishing manner in which your father breathed his last. However you certainly were a witness to his slow-paced tortuous end. My Protector! What an admonition for a lewd! My Saviour! What a lesson for a lecher! Not only in his last breaths but during the entire span of his last eleven years before he was bundled up, he remained a long-drawn lying figurine of a total wreck--a lesson for the whole world. His last gasps produced the bass of his swansong.

END OF MIRZA NASIR

Then you should also recall the sudden death of your elder brother, Mirza Nasir. My respected friend, the roaring lion of Khatme Nubuwwat, Janab Maulana Allah Wasaya, (May Allah sustain his honour) read out a letter written by your sister, in a meeting held in front of your 'Khilafat Palace' at Islamabad. What were its contents that transmitted shock waves to Mirza Nasir's heart which having heard it stood still?

Mirza Tahir! You have heard about and seen the lamentable deaths of your brother, father and grandfather. Having challenged a 'Mubahala' with the Ulema of the Ummat, do you still need to learn a lesson? Do you have the guts to invoke Allah for subjecting you to the same soul-strippings which your father and grand-father underwent?

(6) Challenges of Maulana Manzoor Ahmad Chinioti

My respected associate Janab Maulana Manzoor Ahmad Chinioti, (may his eminence exceed) kept on challenging your late father every year as long as he existed in this world. After his exit, he turned towards Mirza Nasir and challenged him also, regularly. When he too expired then by necessity, the Maulana Sahib has turned to you. Has he not thrown you repeated challenges from London's Wembley Hall? But you don't dare come out to face. Does it not prove that your brother, your father and your grandfather were all a pack of liars and you none-the-less.

Mirza Tahir Sahib! Before challenging the Muslim Ummah first you pay out the debts, due on your patriarches.

(7) Time, Date and Place Set For Mubahala

You have invited this dervish for a "Mubahala," This humble being is ready by all means. But its modus-operandi is not what you have adopted, i.e., cursing the Muslim Ummah and Ulema, while sitting cosy in your house and expecting them to do the same. Your aim is to resort to kite-flying through the media. It's women who sit in houses spinning wheels, or the urchins are seen flying paper kites.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology of Mubahala has been laid down in the holy Quran in Ayat 'Mubahala'. viz, both parties come out of their houses in the open ground along with their women and children. In pursuance of this holy verse, Allah's last Prophet (SAW) came out in the open to face the Christians from Najran and asked them to come out similarly. Your grandfather, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad, also came out once in the open ground of Eidgah of Amritsar, for a Mubahala against Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi (May Allah shower His munificence upon Maulana Sahib). The Mubahala tolled the death knell for Mirza Ghulam Ahmad.

STIPULATIONS FOR MUBAHALA

If you are serious in your 'Mubahala' challenge with this dervish, then get going in the name of Allah. Come outside your house like a man, into the ground of 'Mubahala' and move forward. Announce the time, date and place for holding the 'Mubahala'; then bring along your wife, children and family members in the ground at the appointed time. This dervish, insha-Allah will reach on time with his children, wife and family members.

In the opinion of this humble self, the following date, time and place appear appropriate:

Date: March 23, 1989

Day: Thursday

Time: 2 P.M. after Zuhar Prayers

Place: Minare Pakistan, Lahore

The above stipulations appear appropriate to me because on March 23, 1889, your grandfather-- the Musailma of the Punjab-- had started making disciples and taking 'Ba'it' (pledges) from the people at Ludhiana. In this way, March 23, 1989, shall become the hundredth anniversary of your grandfather's charlatanry. The venue of his activity was Ludhiana and by coincidence your adversary also will be a man from Ludhiana. Thus the one-eyed, charlatan Masih Dajjal shall be put to death on the gate of 'Lud'. The time of Zuhar I have chosen because according to a Prophetic Tradition, the breeze of victory and success blow at that time. The venue of Minare Pakistan looks appropriate to me, because here is an open space which is large enough for a big congregation of people. Also, Pakistan Day falls on March 23; people customarily assemble at Minare Pakistan on that day.

OPTION IS YOURS

But I don't insist on these fixtures at all. These are mere suggestions from me. Whatever time, date and place in Pakistan you would suggest I shall readily agree to that.

SLAVE OF ALLAH AGAINST KHALIFA OF COUNTERFEIT NABI

This Faqir is the lowest of servants of Ummate-Muhammadiya while you (far be the evil eye) are Imam of Jamat e Ahmadiya! This humble self admits his weaknesses and omissions while you take pride in your authoritarian 'Imamat'. Many thanks to Allah, this Faqir is a humble slave from among the creatures of Allah while you are the successor to a false Masih. This Faqir is

holding fast to the most blessed apron of the leader and personified Blessing of the two worlds (SAW) while you are the tail-sticker of the Arch-Liar, the Musailma of the present times. This, Faqir will step into the ground of Mubahala with feelings of avowed shortcomings in his competence while you may come forward with airs of piety and prelacy. I shall be holding aloft the standard of 'Finality of Prophethood of Muhammad (SAW) and you may wave the black streamer of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani--the sham Masih, the counterfeit nabi.

FAQIR VERSUS FASCIST

COME! FACE THIS, FAQIR IN THE GROUND OF MUBAHALA. COME! WIDEN YOUR EYES AND OPENLY SEE THE SPECTACLE OF MY KIND MASTER'S MANIFESTATION OF TERRIBLE WRATH AND IREFUL INDIGNATION FALLING ON YOU. ALLAH'S PROPHET (SAW) SAID THAT IF CHRISTIANS OF NAJRAN HAD COME OUT FOR MUBAHALA NOT ONE BIRD ON THEIR TREES WOULD HAVE SURVIVED. COME! COME OUT TO SEE AGAIN THE MIRACLE OF KHATME NUBAWWAT OF ALLAH'S PROPHET (SAW) ON THE GROUND OF MUBAHALA.

BUT I DOUBT IF YOU WILL COME

Because you know that will mean your jumping into the inferno of leaping fire that will lick every thing. On the contrary, you would surely prefer dying the way your father and grandfather died, rather than stepping into the arena of Mubahla against this humble Ummati of Allahs Prophet (SAW)

YOUR HEARTIEST WELL-WISHERS

(8) Here I must point out to you one thing! viz., neither any of the ulema of the Ummat nor myself have any personal enmity with your father or grandfather. There is no quarrel over any property, no dispute over land. By Allah, I swear we are your well-wishers, imbued with an earnest sense of sympathy for you and your welfare. We desire to see you saved from the bursting blaze of infernal flames that melt flesh from the bones

ALLAH AS MY WITNESS

Our actions are for the sole purpose of Allah and the welfare of the Ummat of Muhammad (SAW) as well as for the people of your Jamat and to rescue you from the fire which is nearing you. Why we tear into shreds the slyness, knavery, charlatanry and pious fraudulence of your grandfather, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad of Qadian is for the sole purpose of protecting the faith of Ummat e Muhammadiya and the safety of your people. You would get to know of our gesture of goodwill after your death. Again today, I will tell and, through you, every individual of your party with feelings of greatest well-wishing heartiest sympathy and absolute selflessness that you have gone astray. Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani is not that Masih about whom Allah's Prophet (SAW) has informed us that he shall come down close to Resurrection times. He is Hazrat Isa (peace be upon him). He had said:

"Take heed that no man deceive you. For many shall come in my name, saying I am Masih; and shall deceive many.. And many false prophets shall rise, and shall deceive many.. Then if any man shall say unto you, Lo here is

Masih, (e.g in Qadian), or there- (e.g. in Iran or Sudan), believe it not. For there shall arise false Masih, and false prophets, and shall show great signs and wonders; in so much that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect. Behold, I have told you before." (Matthew: 24: 4. 6. 11. 23-26)

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, claiming to be Masih, is also among those who led people astray. The interpretations which you and your Sire and his progeny have fabricated are deceptions that have been engineered by Satan and the passionate Self which IS present in man. All of these are your satanic pretensions which will fail to make the mark in front of 'Munkir-Nakeer' when you shall be lying in your grave, or when you shall be dragged before the Lord of the Day of Judgement.

HEARTFELT APPEAL TO ALL QADIANS

Mirza Tahir Sahib! I do understand your predicament in forsaking your present authority and your family seat of Khilafat. You are in a fix. Nevertheless, if you choose to adopt the Truth for gaining Allah's pleasure, then, He, Eminent in Glory, shall bestow upon you such magnificent recompense that will turn your existing dominion into the triflest of the trifles. On the contrary, if you preferred your present authority and persisted in it by ignoring the Truth, then you would encounter such torment and abjectness that all your existing honour, grace and whatever there is, shall pale into absurdity and oblivion. I submit to every individual of your Jamat to offer renunciation and feel penitent before death approaches him.

QADIANIS! I HAVE CONVEYED TO YOU ALLAH'S MESSAGE

Bear me a witness, you Mirza, and your Jama't and those who read this script of mine, that I have duly conveyed the message of Truth and Righteousness to the Qadianis. Still, I am available to advise anybody if he asks for more clarification or solicits Truth.

(9) You have asked me to publish my reply in papers and periodicals. As far as possible I have tried to do so. Likewise, you may also publish it in your papers and pamphlet, if you want.

(10) I give you four months' time to reply to my invitation for congregating for the challenged MUBAHALA. The last date for your reply is January 1, 1989.

(11) You may have sent copies of your challenged Mubahala to other elder Ulema of the Ummat. If so, I consider it necessary to state on their behalf that you should take the reply from this servant of the Ummat as an answer from each and every one of them. They are requested not to take the trouble of replying on individual basis.

18.1. 1409 A.H
1.9.1988 A.D.

TWO INTERESTING MUBAHALAS

In the name of Allah the Beneficent and Merciful

Introduction

Unique is the glory of Allah, the Exalted, that false pretenders of prophethood are always exposed, as was the impostor Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani who undertook to mislead simple folks by laying claims to prophethood. Allah punished him for his fraud and made him collect the filth that lay heaped around the world.

At every step he was disgraced and knocked down in every move he made. Obviously this had to be so because his crime was such. A vain person, he held Mubahala rendezvous with many persons but each time he did so, the pseudo was fully exposed as a maestro toneue-twister.

In my monograph on "Qadiani Mubahalas" you have read some of the episodes of Mirza Qadiani. I summarize a few of them to refresh your memory.

Against Abdullah Atham: the Christian Priest

On the day the long drawn polemics concluded against Abdullah Atham, Mirza was so exhausted by his adversary's arguments that his tongue loosened and he blurted forth a clever prophecy, in a style unworthy of prophets. Said he:

"Whosoever is a liar between us shall be hurtled into 'Havia' (hell-fire) within fifteen months hence" (i.e. by June 5, 1893).

Since Abdullah Atham was about 70 years old at that time, his death within the period fixed by Mirza was, after all, not something that could not be expected.

Quote the impostor:

"I admit right now that if this prediction goes false i.e. if within fifteen months from this date, the party deemed to be a liar in Allah's view does not fall into 'Havia' as death punishment, then I am prepared to undergo every type of punishment: disgrace me, blacken my face, collar a rope around my neck or hang me on the gallows, I am prepared for everything. This is no place to giggle in vain. If I am a liar keep the gallows ready for me and consider me the most accursed of all the cursed persons, the evil doers and the Satans".(Khazain Vol. 6, pp. 292-293).

The result of the Mubahala challenge came out before everybody. Mirza's adversary did not die in fifteen months. Atham lived on and on and in this way, Allah put Mirza to disgrace against a Christian priest.

Mirza's prediction was not a Divine Revelation. It was his Fraud and rude imposture. He deserved the punishment he had proposed on himself, that is

- Blacken my face
- Collar a rope around my neck
- Hang me on the gallows.

The 'Sire' applied to himself superlative degree adjectival epithet, by calling himself most accursed of all the cursed persons, the evil-doers and the Satans. The polemic rendezvous seeking support for "a Satan accursed of all Satans" was sure to flounder.

Against Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani had also had a Mubahala with Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi in Amritsar. Maulana challenged him face to face on this issue: - Mirza and his progeny are a coterie of liars, unbelievers, heretics, impostors and dualist- infidels. Mirza accepted this challenge saying:

"Whosoever is the liar out of the Mubahala participants, perishes during the lifetime of the truthful" (Malfuzat Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, Vol. 9, p. 440).

As Allah willed it, Mirza died of cholera on May 29, 1908 during the lifetime of Maulana Abdul Haq, while Maulana Sahib, Allah's mercy on him, lived for full nine years after Mirza, up to May 16, 1917. Therefore, two facts were proved:

-- Mirza was a liar

--Mirza and his progeny were a coterie of liars, unbelievers, heretics, impostors and dualist-infidels.

Against Maulana Sanaullah Amritsari

On April 15, 1907 (Rabiul Awwal 1, 132~ AH), Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani addressed a public notice to Maulana Sanaullah Amritsari. His published notification included these words:

"If I am a liar and an impostor such as you want to call me in each issue of your periodical then I shall die in your lifetime." (Majmua-e-Ishtiharat Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, Vol. 3; p.178).

After that, Mirza invoked Allah's Audience with these words requesting for a Divine Decision:

"If this claim of promised Messiahship is a false imputation from the side of me and if I am in Your Eyes a liar, mischief-monger, imputing false attribute to mine own self as my day/night routine, then, O my dear Master I pray to your Exalted Audience with all possible humility that destroy me in the lifetime of Maulana Sanaullah and let my death make him and his jamaat happy. Now, holding the garment of Your sanctity and Mercy, I supplicate into Your Lofty Audience to bring out true decision between me and Sanaullah and whosoever is really a liar and mischief-monger in Your Eye then carry him off from this world in the very lifetime of the one who is truthful."(Majmua-e-Ishtiharat Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, Vol. 3: p. 549).

Concluding this notification, Mirza Qadiani wrote:

"In the end my request to Maulana Sahib is that he should print all this

writing in his periodical and may write underneath whatever he chooses to write. Now decision rests with Allah."

Conforming to the wish of Mirza Qadiani, Maulana Sanaullah printed Mirza's notification, word by word, in his periodical, 'Ahle-Hadith' and added underneath all that he liked to write. Because Mirza Qadiani had entrusted the decision to Allah, having specifically asked for it, all Muslims and Mirzais went into expecting what would come to pass from the unknown realm of the Omniscient Being. Only one year after this, Mirza died. The liar perished on May 26, 1908. Cholera purged Mirza's guts out. The knock-out was sure, certain and precise, one year after his pronouncement in which he had implored Allah for a decision. And Maulana Sanaullah lived on, and on, and on, sound and magnificent, for a long period of forty-one years. It amazed every body. In this way, Mirza Qadiani was once again proved a hoaxer, a knave and a pretender. He was himself bundled off to eternal perdition (Havia) by one stroke of celestial punishment which was enough to silence the babbler.

Today we would like to relate to our readers two more interesting Mubahalas through which Allah the Exalted proclaimed Mirza Qadiani to be a liar and Daijal.

MUBAHALA NO. 1

Between hafiz Muhammad Yusuf and Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi

Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf Zilladar, resident of Amritsar, had once been an eminent votary of Ahle-Hadith denomination. At the outset, his leanings were heavy towards Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi but somehow he fell into Mirza's net and turned an apostate. He became so strong a convert that day and night he would preach Mirza's pseudo-prophethood. The new faith became his heart's passion and Mirza, on his side, showered numerous praises on him: He was the best human ever born or the world had ever seen, a specimen par-excellence. In his book Izala-e-Auham, Mirza loaded him with rosy epithets in these words:

"Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf Sahib is a righteous person, loyal, virtuous, a follower of prophetic traditions and a comrade attached to Maulvi Abdullah Ghaznavi" (Izala-e-Auham, Roohani Khazain, Vol. 3. p. 479).

On the night of Shawwal 2, 1310 A.H. (April 19, 1893) Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf had a Mubahala with Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi on the issue-of truthfulness of Qadianiat. Subject of this Mubahala was: Whether Mirza Qadiani with his followers was an apostate, deceiver and a liar or he was a Muslim. The stand of Maulana Ghaznavi was that Mirza and his disciples, Hakim Nooruddin and Muhammad Ahsan Amrohi were apostates, deceivers and liars and not Muslim.

This Mubahala had barely covered a week that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani put up a notification on April 25, 1893. He published this under a caption:

"Mubahala notification between Mian Abdul Haq Ghaznavi and Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf Sahib". Under this caption, he wrote this passage:

"I am pleased to hear that one of our respected friends, Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf Sahib has received Divine Reward ahead of us through his courageous belief and gallant faith. Details of this brief are as follows: Hafiz Sahib conversing in a gathering one day said casually that nobody was appearing to come forward to debate a 'Munazra' or invoke a 'Mubahala' with Mirza Sahib, meaning this humble self. During the course of this conversation, Hafiz Sahib also said, Abdul Haq had notified for a Mubahala on his own. Now if he thinks he is true he ought to come out to face me instead. I am prepared to have a Mubahala with him. Abdul Haq at that time happened to be somewhere near. He had also heard that Hafiz Sahib had thrown shameful remarks at him. Therefore he steadied himself willy-nilly and caught Hafiz Sahib by hand, saying he was ready for the Mubahala right that moment. He said he would confine his challenge to this assertion that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani and Maulvi Hakim Nooruddin and Maulvi Muhammad Ahsan, all three, were apostates, liars and deceivers. Hafiz Sahib accepted this challenge without hesitation and replied categorically he would do Mubahala on these very issues, because he said he had implicit belief that these three persons were Muslims. The Mubahala was therefore held on this very point and witnesses agreed upon for the Mubahala were Munshi Muhammad Nabi Bakhsh, Mian Abdul Hadi and Mian Abdur Rahman Umarpuri." (Majmua-e-Ishtiharat Vol. 1, p. 396).

Because the above notification of Mirza contained several misstatements, Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi considered it expedient to write a rejoinder, explanatory in nature, on Shawwal 26, 10 IO A.H. He wrote:

Details regarding the Mubahala of Hafiz are only this much that because Hafiz Yusuf was a first rate ally of Mirza and an aide and helper he requested me again and again for a Mubahala on the night of 2nd Shawwal. Thus a Mubahala was held and it was on this specific issue: Mirza and Nooruddin and Muhammad Ahsan, all three, are apostates, deceivers and liars. Two days before this Mubahala, I happened to see a dream in which I see somebody whom I have challenged for a Mubahala and to whom I recite a couplet, meaning if you wouldn't listen to the kind advice of nightingale or a ringdove I shall finally treat you by branding. Some more things I saw in my dream but it would be inconsistent to mention those now. This dream surprised me and I wondered. Only two days after this dream, this Mubahala chanced to be held. Until now, no token of any imprecation had appeared on Hafiz Yusuf and his peer-ji (i.e. Mirza Qadiani) got into the heat and issued his notification. I say to him, you wait and see what Allah does. With Allah, everything is on its appointed time. He is glorious, supreme, All-knowing." (Majmua-e-Ishtiharat Mirza Qadiani, Vol. 1, p. 424).

After sometime, result of this Mubahala came out. The rival, Hafiz Yusuf, fell flat four square, and something more. He:

(1) renounced Qadianiat, cried on his error, cursed Satan's progeny;
 (2) begged for Allah's forgiveness, re- entered Islam and accepted it on the hands of his erstwhile adversary, Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi; and
 (3) tore open the seams of Mirzaiyyat, exposed its knavery and proclaimed that Mirza's henchmen were apostates, deceivers and liars.

Thanks Allah, the Gracious, glad tidings contained in Maulana Ghaznavi's inspirational dream came true and the 'final treatment' worked most effectively with Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf.

Lest somebody should doubt the correctness of details mentioned above, more particularly the fact that Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf renounced Mirzaiyyat and rejoined Islam, the following five writings of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani himself are given in proof:

No. 1. "Notification: Reward of Rs.500/- in the name of Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf Sahib, Zilladar, Canals. The persons whose names are written underneath in this notification are also addressed in the same way. (Arbaeen No. 3, Roohani Khazain Vol. 17, p. 386).

No. 2. "Let it be known that Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf Sahib, Zilladar, Canals, tutored by stupid delusive maulvis has in a meeting ... stated this". (Roohani Khazain Vol. 17, p. 387).

No. 3. "Remember this person (Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf) belongs to the group of Abdullah Ghaznavi who is famous as a Unitarian (believer in oneness of Allah). "(R o o h a n i Khazain Vo1. 17, p. 390).

No. 4. "And Hafiz Sahibseeking personal advantage considered it useful to disavow my claim of being commissioned by God because of friendship with some of his old friends". (Roohani Khazain, Vol. 17, p. 391)

No. 5 "Nothing comes to reason or imagination as to what happened to Hafiz Sahib What advantage accrues to a man if he sacrifices his spiritual life for the sake of bodily life. Personally I had heard many times from Hafiz Sahib that he was one of those who affirmed my credibility and was always ready to hold a Mubahala against my accusers. His life had largely passed in this way and he had been narrating his dreams to me in its support and had held Mubahala with some of my dissenters". (Roohani Khazain Vol. 17, p. 408). These five quotations are confessing monologues the villain delivered at the end of the interesting play. Dramatic irony of the whole piece unfolded in this manner that an enthusiastic disciple, alas for Mirza, renounced Mirzaiyyat; and rejoined Islam.

The writer of this article challenges the Qadianis to dispute the result of this Mubahala. Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf joined Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi in calling Mirza a knave, trickster, pretender and arch-liar. Did it not happen? O Qadianis, be equitable, don't tell lies in the tradition of the Pseudo.

MUBAHALA NO. 2

Between Mirza Ghulam Ahmed Qadiani and Pandit Lekh Ram

Events leading to this Mubahala

Earlier on, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani had engaged himself in a session of verbal confrontation with a certain Hindu whose name was Lala Murli Dhar. Mirza has written its details in his book *Surma-e-Chashme Arya*. When Mirza lost to his rival (luck never smiled on him) he gave out a general call to all Hindu Aryas for holding a Mubahala. Exasperated and depressed, he wrote:

"If some Arya (Samaji) having read our whole pamphlet does not leave his obstinacy and does not retrace from blasphemy, then we call him to hold a Mubahala with us, on a token we have received from Allah the Exalted".
(*Surma-e-Chashme-e-Arya*, Roohani Khazain, Vol. 2, p. 232).

"Last resort is Mubahala for which we have made a call earlier. For Mubahala, it is not necessary to be a scholar of the Vedas (Hindu religious books). Yes, he should be a well-mannered, renowned Arya who may also impress others. Therefore Lala Murli Dhar Sahib is first of all addressed; then Lala Jeevan Das, Secretary Arya Samaj, Lahore; then Munshi Inder Man Sahib Moradabadi; and then some other person from amongst Aryas who is a respected man and is also considered learned. If they really think that 'Vedas' teachings which we have recounted in this pamphlet are correct and true and contrarily consider that the principles and teachings of Quran Shareef, also written by us in this very pamphlet, are wrong and untrue then they may do Mubahala with us on this issue and having decided on a spot for holding the Mubahala, by mutual agreement the two parties may present themselves at that place the fixed date. Each party to the Mubahala should stand in a meeting before public and take oath, repeating words which we have written in bold as specimen and have appended them to this pamphlet; that is, if our assertion is false Divine Distress and punishment may descend on us. In this way, the words contained in each of the two Mubahalas should be publicly verified for truthfulness, believing that Divine punishment must fall on the party who swears falsely. **And there shall be a waiting period of one year for Divine Decision to come down. If after passage of one year Divine punishment falls on the writer of this pamphlet, or does not fall on the adversary, in either case, this humble self, shall suffer a fine of Rs.500/- which, subject to mutual agreement, shall be deposited into the government treasury or wherever this amount is easily available to the adversary. In case he overcomes us he shall entitle himself to collect this sum automatically but if we overcome him we don't lay a wager. Our bet is the same prayer, that is, of heavenly signs befalling and that's enough. Now, we conclude this pamphlet after writing down the subject matter of each of the documents of Mubahala. With Allah's Help.**" (Ibid. pp. 250-251.)"

These writings put together, one will note that:

1. Mirza challenged all the Aryas to a Mubahala.
2. Mubahala curse was to show its effect in a year's time.
3. If nothing untoward happened to his rival in one year's time or, Divine

Fury befell upon Mirza, during this period, in both the cases, Mirza would be proved a liar.

Having written this, Mirza indulged in In other lengthy composition, concluding with these words:

"So, O God, Omnipotent, decide truly between us two parties, and whosoever, out of us, is a liar in his assertions and dogmas . . .O Immensely Mighty! send down a punishment in one year's time". (Ibid pp. 254-255).

After this, Mirza wrote out a Mubahala prayer, on behalf of Aryas also, which he ended with these words:

"He who is a liar making false statements in Your Eyes O Eesher, beat him up with such strokes of pain that curses taking their toll, reach him in a year's time."(Ibid. p. 258).

Pandit Lekh Ram accepts the challenge

As a rejoinder to Mirza's pamphlet, Surma-e- Chashm-e-Arya, Pandit Lekh Ram wrote his Nuskha-e-Khabte Ahmad iya (Rais-e-Qad ian Vo1. 1, p. 121) in which he wrote his acceptance of the challenge in these words:

"O Permashwar (God) make decision between us truly; let your Sat Dharma (true religlon) flow forth not by sword but through love, understanding, submission of proof and open out the adversary's heart by conferment of Sat Gayan (True wisdom) on him so that ignorance, prejudice, oppression and outrage are destroyed because a liar can never glorify in Thy Audience like the truthful. Writer: Your eternal slave, Lekh Ram Sharma, Sabhasud, Arya Samaj, Peshawar". (Nuskha- e-Khabte Ahmadiya p. 347; ret Lekh Ram & Mirza, p. 2, Author: Maulana Sanaullah Amritsari).

Mirza had solemnly promised to pay Rs 500/- to Lekh Ram if he lost to the Pandit. Responding to this offer, he wrote an interesting note. He said:

"Mirzaji has promised me Rs.500/-. This is his old habit (promising but not paying). Here is a poetic portrayal of his money promise through this couplet:

My life if you want dear, I mind it not But knots of my purse, Oh, open them not!

"We fully know his moveable and immoveable assets and his indebtedness is not unknown to us. We throw his offer of monetary give and take into dust and make a present of those five hundred rupees back to him as betel-leaf chewing expenses from our side, on his on-coming new marriage* for which he says he has received a fresh revelation only recently". (Nuskha-e- Khabte Ahmadiya, ret Rais-e-Qadian, Vol. 1, p. 121).

(*By "new marriage" the Pandit is referring to Mirza's famous love affair. In the autumn of his life, Mirza became infatuated with a young married girl,

Muhammadi Begum, and he coveted her madly for long years. He was claiming numerous concocted revelations regarding his wedding with the girl. So much so that he announced that God had betrothed the lady to him in the skies. But alas! Mirza died a despondent lover without consummating his passion)

Mirza Qadiani Verified Lekh Ram's acceptance of Mubahalla

Mirza confirmed that his challenge had been accepted by Pandit Lekh Ram. He wrote:

"May it be known that at the end of Surma-e-Chashme Arya, I had called some Aryan people for a Mubahala.. As a result of my writing, Pandit Lekh Ram, in his pamphlet, Khabte Ahmadiya which he published in 1888.... held a Mubahala with me. Accordingly, in his pamphlet Khabte Ahmadiya on page 344 Pandit Lekh Ram wrote the following in his introductory remarks. "Since our respected and revered Master Murli Dhar and Munshi Jeevan Das Sahib are busy in government work, this humble obedient, on his own volition and with their pleasure has taken this duty upon himself Therefore, as the proverb says: 'take the liar to his door-step,' I accept Mirza Sahib's last request (of Mubahala) also".

Subject Matter of Mubahala

"I humble, Lekh Ram, son of Pandit Tara Singh Sahib Sharma, Author of Takzeeb-e- Braheen-e-Ahmadiya and this pamphlet, (Nuskha-e-Khabte Ahmadiya) declare in soundness of all my senses and understanding that I have read the pamphlet Surma-e-Chashme Arya from beginning to end. not once but many times, having grasped its arguments fully and have published its reply in refutation in the light of Sat Dharam (true religion). In this pamphlet the arguments of Mirza Sahib have not impressed me one bit because they are not righteously oriented. (After dilating on this subject the Pandit wrote in the end:) O, Permeshwar! (God) Make a decision between us truly, because never can a liar glorify in Thy Audience against the truthful.

Writer: Your eternal slave, Lekh Ram Sharma, Sabhasud Arya Samaj, Peshawar, presently Editor, Arya Gazette, Ferozepur Punjab," (Roohani Khazain, Vol. 2, pp. 326-332) Summary}.

Result of Mubahala

The whole story is now before the readers in full details. Before we let them know as to who won in glory and who lost in disgrace, it shall be helpful to go over the stipulations of the Mubahala, once again:

- (1) Mirza would win if Divine Punishment befell upon his rival in one year's time.
- (2) Mirza would lose and pay a fine of Rs.500 to his rival if:
 - (a) Divine Punishment rebounded upon Mirza himself; or
 - (b) No Divine Punishment befell upon his rival Hindu within one year.

(3) Duration of the waiting period for this 'sporting event' was only one year; that is, all that was to happen must happen within one year

Finale in 1889

The Mubahala challenge of the Pandit was thrown in 1888. By the end of 1889, result was to be out as Mirza stipulated, but the year passed away and nothing happened. Pandit Lekh Ram lived much beyond 1889. Actually he died in 1897 and Mirza never paid him 500 Rupees. The Pandit knew he wouldn't, true to the couplet: "Knots of my purse, Oh, open them not."

EPILOGUE:

Divine Decision subjected Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani to disgrace before Pandit Lekh Ram, Hindu Arya. How shameful indeed!

VERDICT ON QADIANIS

In the name of Allah the Beneficent and Merciful

PART 1

MIRZA QADIANI IN ALLAH'S COURT

Respected Readers: When two parties to a dispute approach Allah for His Decision and beg of Him for His verdict, this is called Mubahala, and the result coming out after the Mubahala initiation is considered Allah's Decision. Mirza Qadiani presented his cases in Allah's Court, several times, but Divine Decision went against him each time. Here are some specific cases.

Case No. 1: Mirza referred a dispute between himself and a Christian Priest, Atham, in the Court of Allah unilaterally. The Decision went against him and, seeing his defeat, he resorted to giving interpretations to Allah's Decision, twisting the same in his favour.

Case No. 2: Mirza implored Allah to decide in a dispute he had picked up against Maulana Sanallah Amritsari. Allah's Decision went against him here too. Details of both these cases will follow shortly.

Case No. 3: Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi met Mirza Qadiani, face to face, in a Mubahala in the Eidgah of Amritsar on Zeeqadah 10, 1310 AH. In this case, both parties begged Allah to send His Verdict to identify the liar from the truthful (Majmua-e-Ishtiharat, Vol. 1, 426-427). In this Mubahala, Mirza himself set out a premise that Divine Decision results in the death of the liar. He said: "The party who is telling lies dies in the lifetime of the truthful." (Malfoozat, Vol. 9, pp. 440-441).

Result: Mirza died earlier on May 26, 1908. What he enunciated stood because Maulana Abdul Haq died nine years later, on May 16, 1917. (Raees-e-Qadian Vol: 2, p.192; Tarikh-e-Mirza, p.38). In this way Divine Court decreed that Mirza Qadiani was a liar, impostor and apostate.

Case No. 4: In this case, a high-ranking disciple of Mirza Qadiani, by name Hafiz Muhammad Yusuf, challenged the above- mentioned Maulana Abdul

Haq Ghaznavi for a Mubahala rendezvous on Shawwal 12, 1310 AH. (April 19, 1893). The issue at stake was whether Mirza Ghulam Ahmad and his two friends Hakeem Nooruddin and Muhammad Ahsan Amrohi were Muslims or not. Hafiz Yusuf said all the three were Muslims but Maulana said they were liars, deceivers and apostates. No sooner had Mirza heard that the said Mubahala was going to be held than he was filled with extreme joy. He confirmed the action of his disciple and praised him for his righteousness. Assuming full responsibility in this connection, Mirza took him under his benevolent wings. (Majmua-e-Ishtiharat Vol.1, PP394-396). But the result was that Hafiz Yusuf lost because, at the last moment, better sense prevailed on him. He realised his grave mistake which was leading him to perdition. He offered solemn repentance to Allah for his "kufr" and the same Hafiz, who was up till now an erstwhile defender of Mirza, tore open all the seams of Qadianism. Never was Mirza more disturbed. The result could not be worse for him. Staggering under this unforeseen blow, he paled with anguish. Collecting himself up, Mirza wrote a dirge in his book, "Arbaeen", lamenting at this turncoat Hafiz, in these words:

"Nothing comes to reason or imagination as to what appended to Hafiz Sahib. What advantage accrues to a man if he sacrifices his spiritual life for the sake of bodily life. Personally, I had heard many times from Hafiz Sahib that he was one of those who affirmed my credibility and was always ready to hold Mubahala against my accusers. His life had largely passed in this way and he had been narrating his dreams to me in my support and had held Mubahala with some of my dissenters." (Arbaeen No. 3, p.21; Roohani Khazain Vol. 17, p.408).

So, this was Allah's Decision, which confirmed again that Mirza was a Dajjal, Liar and arch-deceiver.

Case No. 5: Mirza invited Hindu Aryas for a Mubahala and himself said those exact words which he wanted them to utter at the time of Mubahala. he also proposed that after the Mubahala:

"There shall be a waiting period of one year for the Divine Decision to come down. If after the passage of one year, Divine Punishment falls on the writer of this pamphlet and not on the adversary, then this humble self, in either cause, shall suffer a fine of Rs.500/- which subject to mutual agreement, shall be deposited into the government treasury or wherever this amount is easily available to the adversary. In case he overcomes us he shall entitle himself to collect this sum automatically but if we overcome him we don't lay a wager. Our bet is the same prayer, that is, of heavenly signs befalling, and that's enough. Now, we conclude this pamphlet after writing down the subject matter of each of the two documents of Mubahala". (Surma-e-Chasm~-Arya, p.251; Roo- hani Khazain Vow. 2, p.301)

If these writings are put together, one will note that:

- (1) Mirza challenged all Aryas to a Mubahala.
- (2) His curse would show its effect in a year's time.
- (3) If in one year's time nothing untoward happened to his rival and Divine furs fell on Mirza, he should be proved a liar in both the cases.
- (4) When Mirza is proved a liar (as stipulated above), he would pay a fine of Rs.500/- to his adversary and this money he offered to deposit in advance. In case the adversary lost, then Mirza would not ask for any money because heavenly ill-omens would suffice to strike him down, as a result of Mirza's curses.

Pandit Lekh Ram, on behalf of Aryas, accepted the challenge which Mirza confirmed in his book, Haqiqat ul Wahi, in these words:

"May it be known that at the end of my book, Surma-e-Chasham-e-Arya, I had called some Arya people for a Mubahala As a result of my writing, Pandit Lekh Ram, in his pamphlet, Khabt-e- Ahmadiya, which he published in 1888.. held a Mubahala with me. (He closed his writing with the following prayer). 'O Parmeshwar (God) make decision between us truly because never can a liar glorify in Thy Audience against the truthful'". (Roohani Khazain Vol.22, pp.326-332).

Result: The challenge was thrown early in 1888. By the end of 1888, the result was to be out in the form of some Divine Punishment on the Pandit, because Mirza had postulated it but nothing happened and the year of 1888 passed away normally. Pandit Lekh Ram lived much beyond 1889. Actually he died in 1897 and Mirza did not pay him Rs.500/-. In this way, Lekh Ram won the Mubahala and Mirza was proved a liar once again.

Conclusion: Respected readers must have noted from the above details that Mirza Qadiani's case was presented before like Court of Allah five times thrice against the Muslims, once against a Christian Priest and once against a Hindu Arya and, in each of the five cases, Allah's verdict went against him, declaring him a liar. Can any wise person even now doubt Mirza's duplicity, deceit and falsehood?

PART 2

SOME PREDICITONS OF MIRZA THAT CAME TRUE

Prediction No. 1 Mirza in his communication to Maulana Sanaullah Amritsari wrote:

"In your paper, you build up this reputation for me that this person imputes falsely, is a liar and is an arch-impostor. I have borne a lot of tortures from you yet endured them patiently If I am really a liar, an impostor and a charlatan, as you remember me in your paper, then I will perish in your lifetime". Majmua-e-Ishtiharat Vol.3, p.578).

Result: Very right. Mirza died on May 26, 1908; Maulana in 1949. Mirza had said: If I am a liar, an impostor and a charlatan then I will perish in your lifetime. Proved: Mirza was a liar.

Prediction No. 2 In the same communication, Mirza wrote to Maulana Sahib:

"If that punishment which is not in the hands of a human being but only in God's Hands, such as plague, cholera, etc., and similar fatal diseases, and if the same do not descend upon you in my lifetime then I am not God-sent."

Result: Very right. Mirza died of cholera and Maulana lived on safe and sound long afterwards.

Proved: Mirza was "not God-sent."

(Hayat-e-Nasir, p. 14, Ref: Qa~iani Mazhab Section), No.80

Prediction No. 3 For fifteen days Mirza carried on arguments with a Christian Priest, named Abdullah Atham head of a local episcopal diocese. On the last date June 5, 1893, Mirza predicted that within fifteen months, his adversary would be thrown into Haviya' (lower reaches of Hell). Mirza wrote in these words:

"I admit right this time that if this prediction goes false, that is, if fifteen months from this date, the party who is on falsehood in view of Allah, does not fall into 'Havia', as death punishment, then I am prepared to undergo every kind of punishment: disgrace me, blacken my face collar a rope around my neck or hang me on the gallows; I am ready for all. I swear by the greatness of Allah's Glory that he will certainly do the same, will certainly do the same, will certainly do the same. Earth and sky may deviate but not His Ordainment. If I am a liar, keep the gallows ready for me and consider me the most accursed of all the accursed persons, evil-doers and Satans. (Jang-e-Muqaddas, pp.210-211; Roohani Khazain, Vol.6, pp.292-293).

Result: Mirza's divination expired on September 5, 1894, but Atham did not die till that date. Therefore, this prediction of Mirza Qadiani came true that:

"If within fifteen months, Atham does not fall into Haviya then I am a liar, keep the gallows ready for me and consider me the most accursed of all the accursed persons, evil-doers and Satans."

Prediction No. 4 Mirza claimed that he had received Divine Revelation that the husband of Muhammadi Begum (daughter of Ahmad Baig of Hoshiarpur) would die in his lifetime and after that the widow, Muhammadi Begum, would be married to Mirza. In this connection. Mirza foretold in these words:

"I say again and again that quintessence of my prediction in case of the son-in-law of Ahmad Baig shall be his inevitable destiny. Wait for it. If I am a liar then this prediction will not be fulfilled and my death will come." (Anjam-e-Atham Hashiah p.31).

Result: The son-in-law of Ahmad Baig (Sultan Muhammad) did not die during Mirza's lifetime but Mirza died; while Sultan Muhammad lived on for a

long time after Mirza's death. Hence Mirza's prediction came true: "If I am a liar, the son-in-law of Ahmad Baig will not die in my lifetime".

Prediction No. 5 As a corollary to prediction No.4, Mirza wrote: "Remember, if the second part of this prediction does not come true (i.e., son-in-law of Ahmad Baig does not die in Mirza's lifetime) then I shall be more wicked than every wicked man. (Zamimah Anjam-e-Atham, p.54).

Result: This "divination" also came true word for word. Therefore, consider Mirza "more wicked than every wicked man.

Mirza foretold of an impending earthquake which he said should be a sample of the one to occur at the Resurrection time. He named it 'Tremor of the Hour,' that is, Doomsday shake-up. He published many notifications for this and in one of them he wrote:

"My foretelling of the coming earthquake is no ordinary prediction. If that turns out to be something ordinary or does not come to pass during my lifetime then I am not God-sent." (Zamimah Braheen-e-Ahmadiya, Part 5, pp.92-93; Roohani Khazain Vol.21, p.253).

Result: Mirza's 'Braheen-e-Ahmadiya' Part 5, was published posthumously on Oct. 15, 1908, about five months after his death. No such earthquake occurred in his life and therefore his prediction turned out true, word by word, viz.,

"If the earthquake did not come to pass during my lifetime then I am not God-sent".

Point of Interest: In those days, when Mirza was issuing out his posters, one after another, announcing "Doomsday earthquakes was about to come, there was a gentleman, by name Mulla Muhammad Bux Hanafi, who refuted it pointblank, saying: "I have come to know through a resplendent disclosure that no such earthquake will come," and that "Mirza shall face disgrace in this prediction, as always in the past." On May 11, 1905, Mirza issued another notification in which he quoted from Mulla Muhammad Bux Hanafi's rebuttal:

"I (Mulla Muhammad Bux Hanafi) firmly announce and proclaim, today, the 6th of May, 1905, and thereby console all those whose hearts may be awe-stricken or whose spirits are dampened after hearing what the Qadiani has advertised in Newspapers and his Posters on April 5, 8, 21, 29, 1905, that a most severe earthquake will occur and which shall be of such a magnitude and 80 horrible that no year would have ever heard of it nor any eye would have seen it. The Qadiani (also claiming Hindu godship of) Krishna does neither inform of its date nor time of its occurrence; nevertheless, insists on saying that this earthquake will certainly come. Therefore, I fully assure and wholeheartedly console those simple innocent folks who have been put to fright by the verbosity and pedantry of the Qadiani in his newspaper writings, etc. I announce this happy news that this "Qadiani earthquake" will never

come by Allah's kindness, either in the city of Lahore or elsewhere. It will never come, will never come, will never come. Therefore, people should remain perfectly at ease, calm and composed, in every way. This happy news has been conveyed to me by resplendent Divine Disclosure and Discovery which shall be absolutely correct, Insha Allah. I reiterate again and again that having profited from the Divine light, manifested to me through revealed vision and having been permitted to make its announcement, I hereby proclaim, by beat of drum that the Qadiani will fall into disgrace again, as ever, in his prediction of this earthquake; and Allah, the Exalted, by virtue of Muhammad (SAW), the intercessor of sinners, will protect His guilty creatures under His Cloak of Mercy, from any such impending catastrophe and not a hair of any individual will come to harm."

Mullah Muhammad Bux Hanafi, Secretary, Anjuman Haami-e-Islam, Lahore.

(Majmua-e-Ishtiharat Mirza Qad Ian I, Yol.3,pp.541-542).

Respected Readers! You see the divination of a genuine Muslim and what came out from the mouth of a spurious one. Compare what the Musalman said and what the Kafir said. By virtue of glory of Hazrat Khatam-un-Nabieen Hazrat Muhammad (SAW) Allah corroborated the truthfulness of the Muslim while Mirza Qadiani fell into disgrace by saying: "If that earthquake does not come to pass during my lifetime then I am not God-sent".

Proved: Mirza, a liar

Prediction No. 7

Mirza Qadiani wrote a letter to Qazi Hussain, Editor of Qul Qul, Bijnore, India It was published in the Qadiani newspaper, Badr, dated 19th July, 1906. Its extract is given below:

"My work for which I am standing .n this ground is none other than that I will shatter the pillar of 'Isa-worship' i.e. Jesus- worship) and will spread monotheism instead of Tririty and exhibit the eminence and dignity of the holy Prophet (SAW) to the world. Hence, if even millions of signs may appear from me but this real work does not come to pass through me, then I am a liar. Therefore, why is the world hostile to me and why does it not see my accomplishments? If I succeed in doing that work in Islam's support which ought to be done by the promised Masih and the promised Mahdi, then I am truthful and if nothing is achieved and I am dead, then let all be witness that I am a liar". (Akhbar Badr, Qadian Number, Vol. 29, p.4, dated July 2-19, 1906; Qadiani Mazhab Section 7, No.39)

Mirza's prediction came true. He died and nothing was achieved. The world saw the finale oi this fake sire. Eighty-five years have passed since his death and "the pillar of Isa-worship" has not yet crumbled; faith in Trinity has not yielded to monotheism; and Christianity has seen no impediments; only Mirza's loquacity has gone bottom-side up! His ravings only helped to spiral a greater ascendancy for the Christians.

In consequence the world must go on calling Mirza, as he wished to be called, a liar, a liar, a liar till his Day of Reckoning!

PART 3

SOME INVOCATIONS OF MIRZA WHICH WERE ACCEPTED IN ALLAH'S AUDIENCE

Invocation No.1

In his published notification dated October 27, 1894, Mirza wrote:

"In the end I beseech you, O God Almighty, All-knowing, if these predictions of mine, that Atham shall be drawn into fatal tortures and that Ahmad Baig's elder daughter shall ultimately come into Nikah of this humble self, are from Your side then reveal them in such a manner that it becomes a conclusive proof for the mankind and shuts the mouth of the inwardly blind envious people. And O God, if these predictions are not from Thine side then perish me with disgrace because I failed to attain my objective. Therefore, if in Your View, I am a driven outcast, accursed charlatan and one-eyed anti-Christ impostor, as my opponents have thought of me and that Benevolence of Yours is not with me which was With Your servant Ibrahim, and with Ishaq, and with Ismail, and with Yaqoob, and with Moosa and with Dawood, and with Masih bin Mariam, and with Muhammad (SAW) the best of all prophets and With the eminent saints of the Ummah, then efface me and annihilate me contemptuously making me a target of never ending imprecations, curses, and make all my enemies happy and accept their prayers". (Majmua-e-Ishtiharat: Vol. 2, pp. 11~;- 116).

Result: Despite these heartrending entreaties and self-accusements, Allah did keep Mirza deprived of Nikah with Muhammadi Begum, Ahmad Baig's elder daughter, until Mirza breathed his last; nor was Atham drawn into fatal tortures. This proves that these predictions were not from Allah's side and therefore Mirza's prayer was accepted that "if these predictions are not from Your Side then perish me with disgrace." Therefore according to his own statement, Mirza was "a driven outcast, accursed charlatan and one-eyed anti-Christ impostor," and "a target of never ending imprecations, and curses".

Invocation No. 2 In his poster, "Aakhri Faisla with Maulvi Sanaullah Amritsari," Mirza wrote:

"I invoke Allah: O my Master, All-seeing, All-Powerful, Who is also All-knowing and All-Aware and Who is also conscious of spirityual conditions of my heart that if this claim of promised Masihship is a false imputation from me and if i am in your eyes a liar, mischief monger, imputing false attributes to my own self, as my day and night routine, then, O my dear Master, I pray ro your Exalted Audience with all possible humility that destroy me in the lifetime of Maulana Sanaullah and let my death make him happy". (Majmua e Ishtiharat, vol 3, pp. 578-579)

Result: Allah accepted this invocation of Mirza also Mirza died in the lifetime of Maulana Sanaullah, which proved that Mirza was, "a liar, mischief monger, imputing false attributes", and this was his, "day and night routine".

Invocation No.3

In this very poster Mirza wrote:

"Holding the garment of your sincerity and Mercy, I supplicate in Your Lofty Audience to bring out the true decision between me and Sansullah and whosever is really a liar in Your eye, then carry him off from this world in the lifetime of the one who is t ruthful, or invoke him in such severe affliction as is like death. O, my dear Master, do in this very. In the end, my request to Maulana Sahib is that he should print all this writing in his peridical and may write underneath whatever he wants to write. Now decision rests with Allah". (Ibid)

Result: This invocation of Mirza was also accepted by Allah, the Exalted, because exactly after one year and ten days, Mirza went into the grave and Maulana saw him go.

Proved: Mirza was an imposter and arch-liar in the Eyes of Allah> Maulana lived fourty one years after Mirza.

PART 4

MIRZA QADIANI AND PROMISED MASIH

Who is promised Masih ?

He is Masih Ibn Mariam about whom it has been pledged to the Ummah that he would come into the world in its last era.

Thus, Mirza Qadiani writes:

"This is not a hidden matter that the prediction for Masih Ibn Mariam coming again is a prediction of the first grade that has been acknowledged by everybody, unanimously. Out of all the divinations, recorded in books of Traditions, this one is proved to be matchless. Among the 'Tawatur Category, first place is occupied by it. Injii (Gospel) also confirms this". (Izala-e-A~ham, p.557; Khazain, Vol.3, p.400).

Proof No. 1: Mirza is not the promised Masih

Mirza himself wrote that, "Neither I am the promised Masih, nor Masih Ibn Mariam; therefore, he who calls me 'Promised Masih', lacks intellect and one who calls me Masih Ibn Mariam is a knave and a first class liar".

Says Mirza:

"Humble solicitation before learned men of India. O-brethern-in-faith, and theologians of inviolable religious law: Listen, you people, my submissions

attentively. The claim of 'Maseel-e-Masih', (Likeness of Masih) which this humble self has made, has been misunderstood by some erratic people as the "Promised Masih". Maseel-e- Mssih claim is not a new claim that you are listening today from my mouth, but it is the same previous Revelation which I received from Allah, the Exalted, and which I wrote very specifically at several places in Braheen Ahmadiya after whose publication more than some seven years should have elapsed by now. Certainly I have not made this claim that I am Masih Ibn Mariam. Any person who blames me for this is an outright liar and a false accuser because continuously for the past seven, eight years I have been notifying that I am 'Maseel-e-Masih" (Izala-e-Auham, p.190: Roohani Khazain, Vol.3,p.192).

Result: The outcome of the above two statements of Mirza is crystal clear, viz.

- (a) because the Masih whose coming has been promised is Masih Ibn Mariam, and
 - (b) because Mirza has not claimed to be Masih Ibn Mariam;
- Therefore, Mirza Qadiani is not the promised Masih. On the contrary whosoever calls him Masih Ibn Mariam or the promised Masih is an outright liar and false accuser.

Proof No. 2: Mirza Qadiani did not come in the last era

Hazrat Masih Ibn Mariam, peace be on him, will come in the last era of the world and will be the revivalist of the last century. Acknowledging this fact, Mirza quotes a holy Hadith:

"On the head of each century, God will commission a person who will revive His Deen for the Ummah..... And any variation in the utterance of Muhammad (SAW) is impossible ... and this, is a unanimous point among Ahle-Sunnat that the last revivalist of the Ummah shall be the promised Masih who will appear in the last era. Now the issue that needs to be ascertained is whether the present time is the last era or not? Both the Jewish and Christian communities agree that this is the last era. Ask them if you wish". (Haqiqat ul Wahi, p.193; Roohani Khazain, Vol.22, pp.200-201).

Respected Readers: Mirza has said here three things: (1) Holy Hadith has it that at the start of each century a revivalist will come and that it is impossible that a century starts and the Revivalist does not come. 2) Consensus of Ahle Sunnat is that the last revivalist of the last century will be Hazrat Isa Ibn Mariam, Allah's mercy on him and (3) Agreeing with the Jews and the Christians, Mirza says that the fourteenth century (AH) is the last era of the world.

But with the advent of the fifteenth century (AH) the third point of Mirza is contradicted and is void because as per prophetic revelation, a revivalist must come in the fifteenth century; and when the sixteenth century starts a revivalist will again come and so on, till in the last century, the last revivalist will be Masih Ibn Mariam. This proves that Mirza's claim in the fourteenth

century that he is the promised Masih is false. Mirza proved to be a liar in his contention.

Proof No. 3: Masih Ibn Mariam shall live for forty years in the world

"It is in the Hadith that Hazrat Masih peace be on him, shall live in the world for forty years". (Ret: Haqiqat-un- Nubuwwah,, p.192 Author: Mirza Mahmud Ahmad, son of Mirza Ghulam Ahmad).

Mirza Ghulam Qadiani in his book Nishan-e-Aasmani, quoted a couplet from Shah Niamat Ullah Wali and conveniently fitted its contents upon himself:

"Till forty years, O brother mine Era of that Cavalier I see".

"From that day, the Imam (here Mirza calls himself Imam; in the couplet he calls himself Cavalier) will reveal his self, as one who is divinely inspired. He will have a life of forty years thereafter. Now let it be clear that this humble has been commissioned for preaching the truth by special revelation in fortieth year of his life and has been given a good news that "Thine age is up to eighty years or thereabout". Therefore, by this revelation, my preaching commission is proved to last 40 years, out of which full ten Years have already passed". (Nishan-e-Aasmani, p. 14; Roohani Khazain Vol . 4, p.374).

Respected Readers: Mirza wrote this booklet, Nishan-e-Aasmani, in June, 1892, as is written on its title page. Mirza acknowledged that 10 years had already passed and 30 more years remained for him to live (to complete the forty-year Commission of the promised Masih). In other words, Mirza should have lived up to the year 1922, but Mirza died in 1908. So his claim of being promised Masih was a lie and his revelation of living for the said forty years was a hoax.

Proof No. 4: Masih Ibne Mariam, Allah's Mercy on him, shall marry.

Hadith Shareef has it that Masih Ibn Mariam, Allah's mercy on him, shall marry. (Ret. Mishkat, p.480). Mirza Qadiani while mentioning the above Hadith in his book, Nishan-e-Aasmani, writes:

"For corroboration of this prediction (Viz., Mirza's illusory, 'heavenly Nikah With ~uhammadi Begum), the holy Prophet of Allah has also previously predicted that the promised Masih will take a wife and have children. Now it is obvious that this mention of Marriage and children normally bears no significance because every one generally marries and has children. There is no excellence in it. But here marriage means special marriage as a token and by children is meant

MIRZA GHULAM AHMAD IN THE MIRROR OF HIS OWN WRITINGS

PREFACE

Truth and untruth are two on-going serials of the world. But Allah, Exalted in Munificence, has bestowed some distinctive factors enabling a man of

ordinary understanding and common sense to identify one from the other. Praise to Him.

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad of Qadian (India) made a number of claims for himself, from being a 'Revivalist' to being a 'Prophet'. The falsehood or otherwise of a claim is easily identifiable through several tokens of cognisance which Allah, the Exalted, has laid down.

But the easiest pointer, readily available, is Mirza's own writings about which he himself said that his writings were a touchstone to check upon the veracity of his claims. I, therefore, invite readers to go through his written statements and judge for themselves.

Here are presented 22 excerpts from Mirza's writings. You would see in these that Mirza challenges the world to prove him a liar. He is bold about it. I expect my brethren to test his metal in a cool unprejudiced manner.

I pray to Allah, the Exalted, to grant us His Favour in identifying truth as truth and untruth as untruth.

Aameen!

(1)

Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani wrote in a letter to Qazi Nazar Hussain, Editor, Newspaper 'Qul-Qul' Bijnor, (India):

"Those persons who come from Allah's side.... they do achieve essential intent of their 'sending down and do not die till the purpose of their commission is not manifested".

"My mission for which I am standing in this field is this very one that 1 (a) topple the pillar of worship of Isa, (b) spread monotheistic doctrine in place of Trinity, (c) and display dignity and glory o the holy Prophet (SAW) before the world".

"Therefore I am a liar if I fail in the manifestation of this essential intent, notwithstanding millions of tokens coming forth from me

. "Why is the world, therefore, enmical to me? And why does it not see my end? If I manage to succeed in doing in support of Islam that work which the promised Masih or the promised Mahdi should have done, then I am truthful. And if nothing happens by the time I am dead then let everybody be witness that I am a liar. (Newspaper, 'Badr,' Qadian, Volume 2, page 4, July 19,1906).

COMMENTS

How far did Mirza succeed in his mission is recounted in the official newspaper of Qadianis, 'Al Fazl,' in its issue dated June 19,1941, on page 5. It says:

"Do you know, there are 137 Christian Missions operating in India, i.e., Head Missions. Number of their branches is many more. More than 1800 'Priests' are working in the head missions. There are 403 hospitals in which 500 doctors are working There are 43 printing presses and about 100 newspapers are printed in different languages. They are running 51 Colleges, 617 High Schools and 61 Training Colleges where 60,000 students study. In the (Christian) 'Salvation Army' there are 308 European and 2886 Indian priests. Under it (the 'Army') there are 507 Primary Schools in which 18,675 students study Eighteen colonies and 11 Dailies are their own. Under different departments of this Army 3,290 men are nourished. Result of these efforts and sacrifices is that it is said that 224 persons of different religions are being daily converted to Christianity. Against this, what are the Musalmans doing? Perhaps they do not consider this work worth their attention. Ahmadi Jamaat should think what is the position of their own efforts against such widespread net of Christian missionaries. We have only two dozen preachers in the whole of India and the difficult conditions under which they are working, we know that too".

This is the evidence produced by a Qadiani newspaper, 33 years after Mirza's death! It shows that Mirza's claim did no damage to Christianity Monotheism did not flourish at the cost of Trinity. His effort to stop the spread of Christianity failed.

Thus Mirza's statement: "Therefore I am a liar if I fail in the manifestation of this essential intent..... And if nothing happens by the time I am dead then let everybody be witness that I am a liar" is a lie self-proved.

(2)

Mirza writes in Zamima Anjam-e-Atham: (Pages 30-35).

"If within seven years, a distinct effect of my efforts does not appear by Allah's support in service of Islam and, since death of false religions is essential on the hands of Masih, if this death of false religions does not come by through me, i.e., if Allah, the Exalted, does not reveal those tokens through my hands which shall enhance Islam's success, as a result of which Islam starts to enter from all sides annihilating the void Christian Divinity and the world catching on a different hue, then, I swear by Allah that I shall consider myself a liar".

COMMENTS

Mirza wrote the above in January, 1897, or thereabout. In other words his promised seven years' limit closed in 1903. By that year Mirza had to fulfil his promise to substantiate his truthfulness, failing which he had sworn himself to be a liar. Now, readers know that nothing of that sort happened and his promise did not materialise according to the time-scale which he himself had stipulated. Thus Mirza is a self-proclaimed liar.

(3)

It so happened during Ramadhan-ul-Mubarak in the year 1311 Hijri that

lunar eclipse occurred on the 13th and solar eclipse on the 28th. Both eclipses chanced to fall during the same month. Mirza announced this phenomena as a proof of his 'Mahdviat'. He declared that this supernatural abnormality was a special sign for his sake only and which had never occurred before during lifetime of any other claimant to Mahdviat, Masihiat or Prophethood.

In Magazine, 'Anwar-ul-Islam' (p. 47) Mirza wrote:

"Since the times that this world was created never did lunar and solar eclipses get together during the times of any claimant of Prophethood/ Messengership/ Muhaddathiat. If somebody says they have conjoined then burden of proof lies on him".

"It never happened and certainly never happened from the start of the world till today that lunar and solar eclipses conjoined in this manner in Ramadhan and that some claimant to Prophethood, Messengership or Muhaddathiat was present at that time." (Page 40.)

COMMENTS

Mirza's lack of knowledge deserves pity. From the years Hijri 18 to Hijri 1312, lunar and solar eclipses have conjoined sixty times in Ramadhan alone; during these thirteen centuries there have been not one but dozens of claimants of Prophethood and Mahdviat. But Allah, the Exalted, willed that Mirza be proved a liar from his own ignorance.

Therefore Allah, the IExalted, made him write out this challenge:

"If these cruel Maulvis can produce an evidence of this type of conjunction of eclipses in the times of any claimant, they should come out with it. Undoubtedly, I shall go down as a liar, by this".

(Appendix, 'Anjam-e-Atham,' page 48).

Here I produce evidence, not one but four.

- 1) In Hijri 117, lunar and solar eclipses conjoined in month of Ramadhan in the times of a claimant, by name "Treef" who was living in Aljazair.
- 2) Again, Hijri 127 saw a conjunction of the eclipses and at that time a person called Saleh, son of Treef, was claimant of prophethood.
- 3) A conjunction again occurred in 1267 Hijri in Iran where Mirza Ali Muhammad Bab was blowing his trumpet of Mahdviat for seven years.
- 4) The Hijri of 1311 was again the year of conjunction when Mahdi Sudani was spreading his cult of Mahdviat in Sudan.

More historical proofs are available in respect of such phenomenal conjunctions in the lifetime of many claimants of prophethood and Mahdviat. For details refer to the following books:

- 1) 'Doosri Shadadat-e-Aasmani' by Maulana Abu Ahmad.
- 2) 'Aimma-e-Talbis' & Raees-e-Qadian ' by Maulana Abul Qasim Dilawari.

But the above four evidences are sufficient to prove Mirzas lies.

(4)

Mirza wrote in 'Izala-e-Auham ': (p. 190, 1st edition; p. 79, 5th edition.)

"O Brethren of Deen and theologians of inviolable Law! Listen, you people to my submissions intently; that the claim of the promised similar, which this humble has made, has been taken as the promised Masih by unwitting folks.... I have not certainly made this claim that I am Masih, son of Mariam. The person putting this blame on me is a downright slanderer and a liar".

COMMENTS

The above clarifies the position. Mirza admits he was NOT the promised Masih and he confirms that those who consider him so, are 'unwitted folks, downright slanderers and liars'.

(5)

Mirza wrote in Tuhfatun Nadwah: (Page 5).

- (1) "If I don't have knowledge of hidden things, then I am a liar;
- (2) "If death of Ibn-e-Mariam is not testified by Quran, then I am a liar;
- (3) "If Hadith-Mairaj did not make Ibn-e-Mariam sit among the dead souls, then I am a liar;
- (4) "If Quran did not declare in Sura 'Noor' that caliphs of this Ummat shall be from this very Ummat, then I am a liar;
- (5) "If Quran did not give me the name of Ibn-e- Mariam, then I am a liar".

COMMENTS

Each and every claim above is wrong. Lies stand proved.

(6)

Mirza wrote in Tuhfatun Nadwah (Page 4): "Allah, the Exalted, in His Holy Book says:..That is, if this (person) will be telling lies he will be destroyed while you will be seeing him. His falsehood shall kill him. But if he is truthful then some of you shall become a target of his predictions and will depart from this mortal place while he will be seeing them. Now, test me on this basis which is in God's words and evaluate my claims".

COMMENTS

We are ready to evaluate Mirza's claim on the basis set by him and draw these conclusions:

1) Mirza engaged himself against Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi in an imprecation of Mubahala. Right in front of his adversary's eyes Mirza met his doom. His falsehood killed him.

2) During a tete-a-tete with another opponent, by name Maulana Sanaullah, Mirza indulging in curses, implored Allah to take away first the life of whosoever was a liar. And lo! Mirza died first while Maulana Sanaullah lived on to see him dead

3) Mirza's rival-in-love was Sultan Muhammad Sahib. In burning jealousy Mirza died of Cholera while Sultan Muhammad lived on after Mirza's death.

4) Another opponent was Doctor Abdul Hakeem Khan. Mirza told him of the drawn sword of the Angel of Death hovering over his head and cursed him:

"O my Provider! decide between the Liar and the Truthful !"

'Liar and the Truthful!' And lo! Mirza died before Doctor Sahib's very eyes as a veritable liar!

The evidences of these four eye-witnesses amply testify Mirza's falsehood in accordance with the standards which he himself set forth.

(7)

On July 10, 1888, Mirza came forward to notify his "revelational foretelling", as follows:

"That Absolute Omnipotent has told me:"

"Start negotiations for the elder daughter (Muhammad Begum) of that person (Ahmad Baig). In case of declination from Nikah, the end of that girl will be extremely bad and if she will be married to another person he, within two and a half years from and similarly father of that daughter within three years, will die".

"Then in those days. attention was applied again and again for further clarification and details. It came to be known that God Almighty determined that He will bring the elder daughter (Muhammad Begum) of that person (i.e. Ahmad Baig) into Nikah of this humble self after removal of each hindrance".

"Be it clear to the evil-minded that in order to judge our truthfulness or falsehood there can be no greater touchstone of test than our predictions". (Majmua'i-e-Ishtharat Vol. I, pp. 157-159).

COMMENTS

This touchstone, which Mirza settled for himself to ascertain his truthfulness, has come very handy.

On April 7, 1892, Ahmad Baig married his daughter, Muhammadi Begum, to one of his relatives namely Sultan Muhammad, resident of village Patti District Lahore.

Now, in accordance with Mirza's divine revelation:

(1) Muhammadi Begum ought to have been widowed by Oct. 6, 1894, but Allah, the Exalted, kept her safe from Mirza's evil eye and the couple lived happily together for no less than 57 years, i.e., 16 years in the lifetime of Mirza and 41 years after his death. From 1949 till 1966, Muhammadi Begum lived as a widow. In this way, she escaped the clutches of Mirza's 'divine revelation', 41 years earlier.

(2) Sultan Muhammad should have died six months earlier than his father-in-law but by Allah's grace he lived for 57 years after him.

(3) Ahmad Baig should have died after the death of his son-in-law and ought to have witnessed his daughter's widowhood and destitution but he departed earlier from the world, leaving his daughter and son-in-law happy in their life.

(4) Each and every hindrance for Nikah between Mirza and the lady was destined to be removed by God, as per Mirza's 'repeated attentions to the Absolute Omnipotent' for bringing her into Nikah of that 'humble self. YET sorry! The Absolute Omnipotent did not help Mirza one bit, although he pursued the matter relentlessly. Poor Mirza failed miserably. The 'angel of death' wielded 'sword' over Mirza and he expired on May 26, 1908. Alas! he departed, burying pangs of love in his heart into his grave, coupled with heart-burnings of jilt.

(5) According to Mirza's own words those who do not put his predictions on the touchstone of test for falsehood are 'the evil-minded'.

(8)

On the occasion of Nikah announcement of Muhammadi Begum, on July 10, 1888, Mirza prefaced the following two-verse piece on top of his communication:

Allah's Divine Power will strangely show itself up;
When the end result of my predictions will be visible.
Distinction between truth and falsehood is to appear;

Someone will get honour and some, disgrace.
(Majmua-e-Ishtiharat, Vol. 1, p. 153).

COMMENTS

Result of predictions was seen on 26th May, 1908, in Mirza's death. Allah's Divine Power showed itself up on that day. Despite his twenty years' continuous efforts along with his 'revelation' hoaxes, Mirza was deprived of Muhammadi Begum. In this way, truth and falsehood became distinct in the

wake of which the lady was honoured and Mirza disgraced. Who was a liar?
(9)

Mirza made several predictions in the case of Muhammadi Begum. Her parents, ignoring all these predictions, settled her Nikah elsewhere. Mirza was consumed with lover's cast-off jealousy. Not controlling himself, he blurted forth a letter to her paternal uncle, Mirza Ali Sher Baig, who was Mirza's brother-in-law as well. He wrote:

"Now, I have heard that the girl's Nikah is going to be solemnised on the 2nd or the 3rd Eid Participants in this Nikah are my great enemies. Nay, they are great enemies of Islam. They want Christians to laugh at us and Hindus to make merry but they don't care a bit for the Deen of Allah and the Prophet".

"From their side, they are strongly determined to disgrace me and blacken my face. They are about to strike me with a sword. Now, to save me is the work of Allah, the Exalted. If I am His, He will surely save me. They want me to be dishonoured and to have my face blackened. God is Absolute. He may blacken the face of whomsoever He likes but, now, they want to push me into fire".

COMMENTS

Ah! The restlessness of Mirza for Muhammadi Begum and the coldness of her relatives. Woe betide! Enemies of Allah, enemies of the Prophet, enemies of Deen and enemies of Mirza are cutting into Mirza's core with the dagger of Nikah and turning him into a laughing stock of insult for the world.

Alas Mirza! Aglow with lover's fire! Allah's help, none!

Mirza bemoans in writhing agony:

"If I am His, He will surely save me".

Yes if he were His; otherwise, No. A straw from Allah for Mirza!

(10)

When Sultan Muhammad, Mirza's rival for Muhammadi Begum's hand, did not die within the period fixed by Mirza, he extended the duration of Sultan's life:

"Well, if not in two and a half years, certainly in my lifetime he will die. I declare that his living or not living is the test of my truthfulness or otherwise".

He wrote in Persian:

(Translation) "But I have not told you that this matter has come to a close at this state and that the end result is what has appeared or that the fact of prediction has finished at that. The real premise stands on its own. Nobody, by any of his tricks, can evade it. This is fated from Great Allah as inentable destiny (i.e. the predicted death of Sultan Muhammad whereby Mirza could

consummate his longing for Sultan's wife) and shortly the time of its occurrence will come. I swear by that Allah Who raised Hazrat Muhammad Mustafa (SAW) for us to make him His best creation that this prediction is true and will soon be visible. I make it a proposition for testing my truthfulness or my falsehood. And I have not said it on my own but that my Provider Himself has informed me of it". (Anjam-e-Atham, p.223).

Mirza declared Sultan Muhammad's imminent death as a proposition to test his truthfulness or falsehood, i.e., if Sultan Muhammad died in Mirza's lifetime then Mirza was true otherwise he was a liar.

What a pity that Mirza was a liar because he died in 1908 and Sultan Muhammad died in 1949.

(11)

Mirza wrote in case of Sultan Muhammad:

"Remember, if the second part of this prediction does not come true (i.e., son-in-law of Ahmad Baig does not die in Mirza's lifetime) then I shall be more wicked than every wicked man. O idiots! this is not a human's pretension. This is no business of any depraved impostor. Consider this definitely to be God's true promise, the same God Almighty Whose words never evade; the same Glorious Provider Whose intentions nobody can stop!" (Append ix, 'Anjam-e-Atham' p54)

COMMENTS

Because Sultan Muhammad did not die in Mirza's lifetime, the undermentioned conclusions are drawn:

- 1) Mirza admits himself to be 'more wicked than every wicked man'.
- 2) His prediction was 'Pretension'.
- 3) His prediction was 'Business of any depraved impostor'.
- 4) If his claim was 'God's true promise' it could not possibly 'evade' because who 'can stop intentions of the 'Glorious Provider'?
- 5) A person who does not understand this simple thing, Mirza gives him the title of 'idiot'.

(12)

"I say again and again that quintessence of my prediction in case of the son-in-law of Ahmad Baig shall be his inevitable destiny. Wait for it. If I am a liar then this prediction will not be fulfilled and my death will come and if I am truthful then God Almighty will certainly fulfil it" . (Marginal note p-31, Anjam-e-Atham)

COMMENTS

How shameful! Ahmad Baig's son-in-law did not die during Mirza's lifetime.

Thus Mirza had said correctly: "If I am a liar then this prediction will not be fulfilled, and my death will come.'

(13)

Mirza, in support of his 'heavenly' Nikah, had reasoned out from a Prophetic Hadith, (as written by him in 'Anjam-e-Atham , ' p-53 Annex):

"For corroboration of this prediction, the holy Prophet of Allah (SAW) has also previously predicted: the promised Masih will take a wife and have children. Now it is obvious that this mention of marriage and children normally bears no signi- ficance because every one generally marries and has children. There is no excellence in it. But here marriage means special marnage as a token and by children is meant special children for which the prediction of this humble self is there. It looks as if the Prophet of Allah (SAW) is answering here the doubts of those black-hearted unbelievers that these sayings will certainly be fulfilled".

COMMENTS

Allah, the Exalted, kept Mirza deprived-of that 'special marriage' and from those 'special children', proving that Mirza's claim of Promised Masihship was false and that the prophetic prediction did not fit upon him. The holy sajing was with regard to Hazrat Isa (peace be on him) that he would marry and would have children on his second appearance on earth Mirza has himself written about those persons who disbelieve his appearance: ~The Prophet of Allah (SAW) is answering here the doubts of those black-hearted unbelievers that these sayings will certainly be fulfilled.

(14)

For fifteen days, Mirza carried on arguments with a Christian Priest, named Abdulla Aatham. Having failed to give him a defeat he announced his revelation on 5th June 1893 that within fifteen months his adversary would be thrown into ~haaviya' (the hell) unless he returned to the truth. Mirza wrote in this case:

"I admit right this time that if this prediction goes false, that is, if within fifteen months from this date, the party who is on falsehood in view of Allah, does not fall into 'Haaviya' as death punishment then I am prepared to undergo every type of punishment: disgrace me, blacken my face, collar a rope around my neck or hang me on the gallows. I am ready for all. I swear by the Greatness of Allah's Glory that He will certainly do the same, will certainly do the same, will certainly do the same. Earth and sky my deviate but not His Ordainment. If-I am a liar, keep the gallows ready for me and consider me the most accursed of- all the accursed persons, evil-doers and Satans". (Jung-e-Muqaddas, p-189)

COMMENTS

Mirza's prediction expired on 5th September, 1894 but Atham neither renounced Christianity nor accepted Islam nor he fell into "Haaviya" in death punishment. Nothing of the sort happened and everything sailed smooth.

Mirza went to the extent of invoking charms over Atham, throwing over him spells and witchcraft (ret: 'Seerat-ul-A~ahdi' p-188, Vol. 1) but nothing happened.

On the last day, when this prediction was ending, i.e., 5th September he implored Allah, cried out and lamented: Ya Allah! Atham may die, Atham may die, Ya Allah! Atham may die" (ret. Newspaper Al- Fazl, dated 20th July 1940). But Atham lived on. Magic, charms, witchcraft and spells did him no damage. Allah rejected Mirza's implorations, lamentations and curses. Atham grew all the more prosperous!

On the other hand, Mirza had proposed his own punishment as a liar: "Disgrace me, blacken my face, collar a rope around my neck or hang me on the gallows.. keep the gallows ready for me, think of me as the most accursed of all the accursed persons, evil-doers and Satans". Consequently, how did the opposing party carry out this instruction of Mirza Saheb? It can be guessed by the dirty announcements which were published on the expiry of the fixed time. What his adversaries did? They heaped on Mirza such filthy abusive epithets that it shall be indecent to print them here. But a couplet, which became popular on public tongues may be reproduced here:

(Translations)

Shameless perverse are also in this world, But you. shame-proof, have surpassed all!

This was an echo to Mirza's own acknowledgment: "Most accursed of all the accursed, evil doers and Satans.' Really he was so, otherwise how Allah would have failed him before a 'Kafir' Christian?

(15)

Mirza wrote in Shahadat-ul-Quran: (p-80).

"In addition to this, there are similar other magnificent tokens of this humble self on the test list: There is my prediction in respect of Munshi Abdulla Atham Sahib Amritsari, the term of which is fifteen months beginning from 5th June, (1893). My other prediction is in respect of the son-in-law of Mirza Ahmad Baig, resident of Patti, District Lahore, term of which has eleven months more from today the 21st September, 1893. All these matters which are totally beyond human power are sufficient to identify the true from the liar".

COMMENTS

This is exactly the modus-operandi in which a liar is distinguishable from a truthful person, that is, if these predictions are fulfilled as per duration of their terms then the teller of the predictions will be considered truthful. As the predictions failed, therefore Mirza is identified as a proven liar. No other argument is necessary to prove Mirza's lies.

(16)

Mirza announced: "In the end, I beseech You, O God! Almighty All- Knowing, if these predictions are not from You that Atham will be caught in fatal tortures and Ahmad Baig's elder daughter coming ultimately into Nikah of this humble self then destroy me with disgrace and disappointment. Efface me, perish me with insults, make me permanent target of accursed imprecations, please my enemies, accept their prayers if, in Your estimate, I am a driven outcast, accursed charlatan and one-eyed anti-Christ impostor ('DaJjal') as my opponents have understood me, and if that Benevolence of Yours is not with me which was with..... " (so and so saints and prophets: here Mirza has named many saints and prophets). (Ishtihar dated Oct. 27, 1894, reproduced from Majmu'a Ishtiharat p-116, Vol. 2).

COMMENTS

Despite these heart-rending entreaties and self-accusements, Allah did keep Mirza deprived of Nikah with Muhammadi Begum until he breathed his last. From this it appears that Mirza, according to his own statements, was 'a driven outcast, accursed charlatan and one-eyed anti-Christ impostor ('Dajjal') as his opponents have understood him'.

Alas! As a result of this self-cursing he perished in disgrace and disappointment, became a permanent target of accursed imprecations, his enemies became happy and their prayers were accepted by Allah.

(17)

Mirza in his communication to Maulana Sanaullah Amritsari wrote:

"In your paper, you build up this reputation for me that this person imputes falsely, is a liar and is one-eyed anti-Christ impostor ('Dajjal'). I have borne a lot of tortures from you but endured them patiently..... if I am really a liar, an impostor and a charlatan, as you remember me in your paper then I will perish in your lifetime". (Advertisement, Moului Sanaullah Saheb se Aakhri Faislah, Ref Majmua-e-Ishtiharat, Vol. 3, p. 578.)

COMMENTS

Mirza died on 26th May, 1908, in the lifetime of Maulana Mirza's words are proved: If I am really a liar, an impostor and a charlatan, as you remember me in your paper then I will perish in your lifetime. Mirza was a 'truthful' liar, therefore died earlier.

(18)

In the same advertisement addressed to Maulana Sanaullah Amritsan, Mirza wrote:

If that punishment which is not in the hands of a human being but only in God's Hands, such as plague, cholera, etc., and similar fatal diseases and if the same do not descend upon you in my lifetime then I am not god-sent".

COMMENTS

Allah, the Exalted, kept Maulana safe from every amiction in Mirza's lifetime and fulfilled this proposal of Mirza: . "Then I am not God-sent."

(19)

Mirza implores Allah in the above-quoted Aakhri Faislah.

"O God! If this claim of the 'promised Masih' is only a false imputation from the 'self in me and in Your eyes I am a mischievous liar, then O my dear Master! Humbly I implore in Your Audience to put me to death in Maulana Sanaullah's life-time. Aameen".

COMMENTS

This prayer of Mirza came to be accepted; Mirza died while Maulana Sanaullah lived on. Proved: Mirza was a mischievous liar in the eyes of Allah the Exalted. Further proved: Mirza's claim of being 'promised Masih' was false imputation of his 'self and that Maulana Sanaullah was righteous.

Would that Mirza had implored Allah for his guidance instead of his death! Perhaps that would also have been accepted.

(20)

Mirza further wrote:

"O my Omnipotent! O Who has sent me down! Holding the garment of Your Sanctity and Mercy only, I beg in Your audience to honour us with a true decision between Maulana Sanaullah and myself. And he who in Your eyes is really a mischievous liar, lift him from the world in the very lifetime of whosoever is truthful. O Master! do it this Way. Aameen".

COMMENTS

This request of Mirza was also accepted. Maulana Sanaullah was truthful hence Mirza, struck by cholera, was lifted from the world in Maulana Sanaullah's lifetime. Mirza got from Allah what he asked from his mouth. Proved: Mirza was a mischievous liar.

(21)

Mirza wrote in Zamima Anjam-e-Atham pp.20- 21.

"Shaikh Muhammad Hussain Batalvi and other notable opponents may hold a Mubahala with me. After the Mubahala even if one person could save himself from my imprecations then I will admit I am a liar".

COMMENTS

Under this arrangement, Maulana Abdul Haq Ghaznavi held a Mubahala with Mirza. Result was that Mirza died in the lifetime of Maulana Sahib. Thus Mirza's covenant is corroborated: "I am a liar".

(22)

Mirza's writings show that he was a patient of 'Hyster-malaise.' He said so in

conversations also. Doctors confirmed it. Others also said about it. Proofs are given below:

- 1) "You behold! Hazrat (SAW) had foretold about my illness also which has come to pass likewise: He had said that when Masih will descend from the sky he will be wearing two saffron-coloured sheets of cloth. Therefore, same way, I have two diseases, one in the upper part of the body, viz., Hysteromania, and the other, excessive urination, in the lower part". (Malfoozat-e-Mirza Ghulam Ahmad Qadiani, Vol. 8, p. 445).
- 2) "My condition is such that, despite my constant involvement in two diseases, I remain preoccupied with work that I carry on very late having closed doors of the house at night. Although the disease of Hyster-malaise increases as a result, and my head feels more dizzy due to wakefulness yet I don't care and continue with my work". (Ibid. Vol. 2, p. 376)
- 3) "Hazrat Khalifat-ul-Masih-ul-Awwal said to hazrat promised Masih: "Your Honour! Ghulam Nabi suffers from Melancholia." The honourable was pleased to reply: "In a way, all the prophets suffered from Melancholia and I also suffer from the same". (Seerat-ul-Mahdi, p-304, V. 3).
- 4) "Doctor Mir Muhammad Ismail Sahib told me that he had heard many times from hazrat sahib, the promised Masih, that he suffered from Hysteria and also mentioned Melancholia. But the truth is that signs of nervous disorders developed in him only as a result of his diligent mental work and day and night preoccupations with literary compositions. These are often seen in patients of Hysteria (and Melancholia) also." (Seerat-ul-Mahdi, Vol. 2, p. 55).
- 5) "This disease, having occurred once in the family, was bound to penetrate into the next off- spring. Therefore hazrat Khilafat-ul-Masih Thani, Mian Mahmud Ahmad Sahib told me that occasionally, he also got an attack of Hyster- Malaise". (From: Article written by Doctor Shah Nawaz Sahib Qadiani, reproduced in Magazine 'Review Of Religions,' Qadian, August, 1926 p. 11).
- 6) "The cause of all his troubles such as vertigo, headache, sleeplessness, heart cramps, indigestion, diarrhoea, excessive urination and hystermalaise was only one and that was his weakness of nerves". (From the magazine: 'Review Qadian, May 1927, p. 26).
- 7) "Some patient of Melancholia think: "I am king"; Some think "I am God", some think "I am Prophet." (From Bayaz-Noor-ud-Din p. 212, Vol. 10).

COMMENTS

We have sufficed here to give only seven opinions and findings from all those who are Qadianis and it is possible to give more opinions as well but for the sake of brevity we stop. However, it is confirmed without any doubt that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was Hyster-maniac.

It shall be worthwhile to give medical opinion of experts of Medicine on this disease of Melancholia-- Hyster-Malaise. It will be noted that Mirza Ghulam Ahmad suffered from them all.

(a) From: 'Qanoon', Part One, Book three, Author Shaikh-ur-Raees. Boo Ali Seena:

"Melancholia is that malady in which worries and anxieties take the form of imagined horrors and dreads as opposed to the normal. Its cause is atrabillious temperament which takes away the entire essence of brain, leaving the patient effectually distracted. Or the other cause of this malady is extreme heating-up of the liver called Hyster-malaise. What happens is that excrements of victuals and intestinal disorders through vapours collect in the liver and humours of the body burn up and take the form of mania. Then black fumes arise from these parts and go towards the head. It is this condition which is called melancholic- flatulence, melancholic-fumigation or melancholic- hystermalaise".

(b) From: ' Sharah-ul Asbab wal Alamat-Amraz, Malikhoiia'. Author: Allama Burhan ud Din Nafees.

"When thoughts and ideas change from the normal path into fears and disorders it is called Melancholia.. With some patient this disturbance reaches to such limits that he starts to consider himself a Diviner, with a knowledge of hidden things. He informs in advance of what is going to happen later.. this disease increases in some patient so much so that he thinks himself to have been transformed into an angel."

(c) From: Same Author above:

"There is a branch of Melancholia, called Hystermania. This is caused by strong black bile that accumulates in the digestive tract. From that part of the body in which this matter accumulates rise black vapours that envelop brain. Its symptoms are: belching out bitter fumes, finding food tasteless, deliciousness of eatables reduced, worsening of digestion, belly inflammation, stool liquefaction and rising of smoke-like fumes. "

(d) From: 'Makhzan-e-Hikmat' Second Edition Author Shamsul Atibba, Hakim Doctor Ghulam Jilani.

"Previous theory was that this disease manifested itself into disorders, arising from animal faculty or from those vital principles of amiability that originate from liver or digestive tract. But later researches indicate that this disease takes from nerves.. in the menfolk nervous internal system produces weaknesses of brain and is called Hyster-malaise.

"Symptoms of this disease are: patient's letharginess and his anxiousness. He develops feelings of egotism, conceit and self-estimation. He is apt to exaggerate in his conversations, feels little appetite and suffers from Dyspepsia".

(e) From: 'Ikseer-e-Azam,' Volume one, p. 189 Author Hakim Muhammad Azam Khan.

Symptoms of this disease are: digestive disorders, bitter vaporous belches, constant mouth watering, belly flatulence, bowels grumbling, stomach inflated and heated, false appetite vapours rising towards palate in fumes.. Sometimes upper part of the body shivers and shakes, knock-down in severe attack, fainting and swooning..... sudden forgetfulness, drooping eye-lids, suffocation while swallowing, sudden lightning-like sparkle flashing in front of the eyes

(f) From: Same Author above:

"Patient, if a religious scholar, lays claim to miracles, to prophet hood and to supernatural powers. He talks of Divinity and preaches to men."

COMMENTS

All the symptoms laid down by the experts of Medicine were present in Mirza to the utmost extent. Very true, he was a patient of Melancholic Hyster-malaise.

There can be no better statement than the categorical pronouncement of no less a person than the so-called 'Khalifat ul Masih Thani, Mian Mahmud Ahmad himself, in which he said that the disease of Hysteria was present in Mirza Ghulam Ahmad: "Men also have this disease and those who suffer from this are called Hyster-Maniacs." (From Friday Sermon, by Mian Mahmud Ahmad, Khalifa Qadian, reproduced in Newspaper, 'Al-Fazl' Qadian Vol.10, dated April 30, 1923).

Mirza laid claims, very intensely, right from prophethood to Godhood. He called himself superior to all revered prophets and claimed to have performed one million miracles. He also claimed to be the King of the Aryans! He invited people to adopt his religion and those who rejected him he called them atheists, 'Kafirs' and Hellish folks. He found faults with all the Prophets, (peace upon them all) called respectful Companions of Our Prophet (SAW) (Allah be pleased with them all), as silly fools, and abused the saints of the entire Ummat. He called Quranic commentators erring folks. He reproached narrators of the Traditions and categorised Ulemas of the Ummat with Jews. He dubbed the whole Ummat as "gone astray from the path" and passed obscene remarks against learned men and pious persons.

Can a godly person or a religious revivalist stoop so low?

Certainly, Mirza Ghulam Ahmad was a maniac of Melancholic-Hyster-malaise.

A HEART-FELT SUBMISSION TO QADIANIS

With utmost sincerity of heart, I make this submission to you.

(1) It is possible that contents of this article have displeased you at places but my part is actually this much that I have quoted from Mirza's own writings. Making those a basis, I have evaluated facts. If you don't agree with my evaluation then I leave it to ye u to judge for yourselves his truth or falsehood on the touchstone of his writings.

(2) I tell you that all our revered prophets were most dignified souls. What to speak of them, even respectable saints and pious elders of our Ummat never tied challenges of truth/untruth. Their call to righteousness was direct. Here we find Mirza stipulating horrible bids for his veracity again and again. No sooner did he lose the wager, he tied another. Can such a gamble ever be a modus- operandi of chosen souls of Allah's faithful creatures? This point alone should suffice to guide anyone to truth provided Allah has bestowed him with judgement and insight.

(3) Have you noticed that whereas Mirza risked his entire career over these gambles, Allah the Exalted, on the other hand, if I may say so, vowed to let Mirza never succeed in saying a thing, conditional on his truth. Anything Mirza would say, Allah would bring it to naught.

For example, Mirza swore:

'Sultan Muhammad will die';

'Abdulla Atham will die';

'Sanaullah will die';

'Abdul Haq Ghaznavi will die';

and so on and so forth, "else call me a liar." Against this was the fated Ordainment that the more he would resort to swearings the more impossible they would become.

Indeed, it was a limit on the part of Mirza that when predicting about the death of an unholy Christian, he acknowledged himself to be 'the greatest accursed man on the earth' if that did not happen. And true enough, it did not happen. Allah, the Exalted, refused Mirza's plea against a defiled 'Worshipper of Cross.' Is 'here any instance to compare with it?' For Allah's sake. yonder over it.

(4) Last and not the least O Brethrell-in-humanity! Think over this situation:

If on the Day of Judgement, Allah the Exalted, puts this Question to Mirza Ghulam Ahmad why did you mislead people by claiming to be a prophet after Hazrat 'Khatam-Un-Nabieen (SAW)?

And in reply? if Mirza submits: "Ya Allah! I was a patient of Melancholic-Hyster-malaise. I told my people that I was a 'Miraqi' and even wrote it so in my books. Doctors said I was a maniac of Hysteria. Pray ask these 'sages' (the Qadianis) why did they follow nonsensical eruptions of a diseased 'Miraqi' maniac and accepted me as their Prophet and Masih".

Then? my Brethren-in-humanity, what will you say in reply to Allah?